

\mathcal{PT} -symmetry and its spontaneous breakdown explained by anti-linearity

Stefan Weigert

HuMP (Hull Mathematical Physics), Department of Mathematics, University of Hull, Cottingham Road, Hull HU6 7RX, UK

E-mail: s.weigert@hull.ac.uk

Received 1 November 2002, in final form 18 December 2002

Published 9 June 2003

Online at stacks.iop.org/JOptB/5/S416

Abstract

The impact of an anti-unitary symmetry on the spectrum of non-Hermitian operators is studied. Wigner’s normal form of an anti-unitary operator accounts for the spectral properties of non-Hermitian, \mathcal{PT} -symmetric Hamiltonians. The occurrence of either single real or complex conjugate pairs of eigenvalues follows from this theory. The corresponding energy eigenstates span either one- or two-dimensional irreducible representations of the symmetry \mathcal{PT} . In this framework, the concept of a spontaneously broken \mathcal{PT} -symmetry is not needed.

Keywords: \mathcal{PT} -symmetry, anti-linearity, anti-unitarity, invariances, representation theory

Deep in their hearts, many quantum physicists will renounce hermiticity of operators only reluctantly. However, non-Hermitian Hamiltonians are applied successfully in nuclear physics, biology, and condensed matter, often modelling the interaction of a quantum system with its environment in a phenomenological way. Since 1998, non-Hermitian Hamiltonians have continued to attract interest from a conceptual point of view [1]: surprisingly, the eigenvalues of a one-dimensional harmonic oscillator Hamiltonian remain *real* when the *complex* potential $\hat{V} = i\hat{x}^3$ is added to it. Numerical, semiclassical, and analytic evidence [2] has been accumulated confirming that bound states with *real* eigenvalues exist for the vast class of *complex* potentials satisfying $V^\dagger(\hat{x}) = V(-\hat{x})$. In addition, pairs of complex conjugate eigenvalues occur systematically.

\mathcal{PT} -symmetry has been put forward to explain the observed energy spectra. The Hamiltonian operators \hat{H} under scrutiny are invariant under the combined action of parity \mathcal{P} and time reversal \mathcal{T} :

$$[\hat{H}, \mathcal{PT}] = 0. \tag{1}$$

They act on the fundamental observables according to

$$\mathcal{P}: \begin{cases} \hat{x} \rightarrow -\hat{x}, \\ \hat{p} \rightarrow -\hat{p}, \end{cases} \quad \mathcal{T}: \begin{cases} \hat{x} \rightarrow \hat{x}, \\ \hat{p} \rightarrow -\hat{p}, \end{cases} \tag{2}$$

and \mathcal{T} *anti-commutes* with the imaginary unit:

$$\mathcal{T}i = i^*\mathcal{T} \equiv -i\mathcal{T}. \tag{3}$$

Whenever a \mathcal{PT} -symmetric Hamiltonian has a *real* eigenvalue E , the associated eigenstate $|E\rangle$ is found to be an eigenstate of the symmetry \mathcal{PT} :

$$E = E^*: \quad \hat{H}|E\rangle = E|E\rangle, \quad \mathcal{PT}|E\rangle = +|E\rangle. \tag{4}$$

Occasionally, $\mathcal{PT}|E\rangle = -|E\rangle$ occurs [3] which is equivalent to (4) upon redefining the phase of the state: $\mathcal{PT}(i|E\rangle) = +(i|E\rangle)$. There is no difference between symmetry and anti-symmetry under \mathcal{PT} .

However, if the eigenvalue E is *complex*, the operator \mathcal{PT} does *not* map the corresponding eigenstate of \hat{H} to itself:

$$E \neq E^*: \quad \hat{H}|E\rangle = E|E\rangle, \quad \mathcal{PT}|E\rangle \neq \lambda|E\rangle, \tag{5}$$

where λ is any real or complex number. This situation is described as a ‘spontaneous breakdown’ of \mathcal{PT} -symmetry. No mechanism has been identified which would explain this breaking of the symmetry.

The \mathcal{PT} -symmetric square-well model provides a simple example for this behaviour [4]. It describes a particle moving between reflecting boundaries at $x = \pm 1$, in the presence of a piecewise constant complex potential,

$$V_Z(x) = \begin{cases} iZ, & x < 0, \\ -iZ, & x > 0, \end{cases} \quad Z \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{6}$$

Acceptable solutions of Schrödinger's equation must satisfy both the boundary conditions, $\psi(\pm 1) = 0$, and continuity conditions at the origin. As long as the value of the parameter Z is below a critical value, $Z < Z_0^c$, the eigenvalues E_n of the non-Hermitian Hamiltonian $\hat{H} = -\partial_{xx} + V_Z(x)$ are real, and each eigenstate $|\psi_n\rangle$ satisfies the relations (4), with eigenvalues E_n and $+1$, respectively. Above the threshold, $Z > Z_0^c$, at least one pair of complex conjugate eigenvalues E_0 and E_0^* develops. One of the corresponding eigenstates has the form [4]

$$\psi_0(x) = \begin{cases} K_p \sinh \kappa(1-x), & x > 0, \\ K_n \sinh \lambda^*(1+x), & x < 0, \end{cases} \quad (7)$$

the complex parameters κ , λ , K_n , and K_p being determined by the boundary and continuity conditions. The state $\psi_0(x)$ is not invariant under \mathcal{PT} ; i.e. (5) holds.

The purpose of the present contribution is a group-theoretical analysis of \mathcal{PT} -symmetry. The properties of \mathcal{PT} -symmetric systems are explained in a natural way by taking into account that \mathcal{PT} is not a unitary but an *anti-unitary* symmetry of a *non-Hermitian* operator. The argument proceeds in three steps. First, Wigner's normal form of anti-unitary operators is reviewed; this amounts to identifying their (irreducible) representations. Second, the properties of non-Hermitian operators with anti-unitary symmetry are derived. These results are then shown to account for the characteristic features of \mathcal{PT} -symmetric systems, including the occurrence of both single real and pairs of complex conjugate eigenvalues.

Wigner develops a normal form of anti-unitary operators \hat{A} in [5]. Anti-unitarity of \hat{A} is defined by the relation

$$\langle \hat{A}\chi | \hat{A}\psi \rangle = \langle \psi | \chi \rangle. \quad (8)$$

Anti-unitarity implies anti-linearity:

$$\hat{A}(\alpha|\psi\rangle + \beta|\chi\rangle) = \alpha^* \hat{A}|\psi\rangle + \beta^* \hat{A}|\chi\rangle, \quad (9)$$

which is equivalent to (3). The representation theory of \hat{A} relies on the fact that the square of an anti-unitary operator is *unitary*:

$$\langle \hat{A}^2 \chi | \hat{A}^2 \psi \rangle = \langle \hat{A}\psi | \hat{A}\chi \rangle = \langle \chi | \psi \rangle. \quad (10)$$

Let the operator \hat{A}^2 have a *discrete* spectrum (according to Wigner, operators with a *continuous* spectrum can be treated similarly [5]). Then it has a complete, orthonormal set of eigenvectors $|\Omega\rangle$ with eigenvalues Ω of modulus one:

$$\hat{A}^2|\Omega\rangle = \Omega|\Omega\rangle, \quad |\Omega| = 1. \quad (11)$$

It plays the role of a Casimir-type operator labelling different representations of \hat{A} . Wigner distinguishes three different types of representation corresponding to the eigenvalues of \hat{A}^2 : complex Ω ($\neq \Omega^*$), $\Omega = +1$, or $\Omega = -1$, summarized in table 1.

(1) An eigenstate $|\Omega\rangle$ of \hat{A}^2 with eigenvalue Ω ($\neq \Omega^*$) is not invariant under \hat{A} . Instead, the states $|\Omega\rangle$ and $|\Omega^*\rangle \equiv \hat{A}|\Omega\rangle$ constitute a 'flipping pair' with complex 'flipping value' ω (and ω^*), where $\omega^2 = \Omega$. They span a two-dimensional space which is closed under the action of \hat{A} . Therefore, it carries a two-dimensional representation of \hat{A} , denoted by Γ_* , which is *irreducible*: due to the anti-linearity of \hat{A} , no (non-zero) linear combination of the flipping states exist which would be invariant under \hat{A} .

Table 1. Representations Γ of the operator \hat{A} .

$\Omega \equiv \omega^2$	Γ	Action of \hat{A}	Dim Γ	
$\Omega \neq \Omega^*$	Γ_*	$\hat{A} \Omega\rangle = \omega^* \Omega^*\rangle$ $\hat{A} \Omega^*\rangle = \omega \Omega\rangle$	2	
	-1	Γ_-	$\hat{A} -\rangle = -i -*\rangle$ $\hat{A} -*\rangle = +i -\rangle$	2
	$+1$	Γ_+	$\hat{A} +\rangle = + +^*\rangle$ $\hat{A} +^*\rangle = + +\rangle$	2
	$+1$	γ_+	$\hat{A} 1\rangle = + 1\rangle$	1

- (2) Similarly, if \hat{A}^2 has an eigenvalue $\Omega = -1$, then the operator \hat{A} flips the states $|-\rangle$ and $|-*\rangle \equiv \hat{A}|-\rangle$. The flipping value is $\omega = \sqrt{-1} = i$, and the associated two-dimensional representation Γ_- is not reducible.
- (3) Two different situations arise if there is an eigenstate $|1\rangle$ of \hat{A}^2 with eigenvalue $+1$. The state $\hat{A}|1\rangle$ is either a multiple of itself or not. In the first case, the space spanned by $|1\rangle$ is invariant under \hat{A} and hence carries a one-dimensional representation γ_+ of \hat{A} . When redefining the phase of the state appropriately, one obtains an eigenstate $|1\rangle$ of \hat{A} with eigenvalue $+1$. In the second case, the two states $|+\rangle \equiv |1\rangle$ and $|+^*\rangle \equiv \hat{A}|1\rangle$ provide a flipping pair with flipping value $\omega = +1$, and hence a representation Γ_+ . This representation, however, is *reducible* due to the reality of the flipping value: the linear combinations $|1_r\rangle = |+\rangle + |+^*\rangle$ and $|1_i\rangle = i(|+\rangle - |+^*\rangle)$ are both eigenstates of \hat{A} with eigenvalue $+1$.

Consequently, a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} naturally decomposes into a direct product of invariant subspaces, each invariant under the action of the anti-unitary operator \hat{A} :

$$\mathcal{H} = \Gamma_*^{\otimes N_*} \otimes \Gamma_-^{\otimes N_-} \otimes \Gamma_+^{\otimes N_+} \otimes \gamma_+^{\otimes n_+}; \quad (12)$$

the non-negative integers N_* , N_\pm , and n_+ account for the degeneracies of the eigenvalues Ω ($\neq \Omega^*$) and $\Omega = \pm 1$ of the operator \hat{A}^2 . The corresponding decomposition of a vector $|\psi\rangle \in \mathcal{H}$ is the closest analogue of an expansion into the eigenstates of a Hermitian (or unitary) operator. In contrast to the representation theory of linear operators, *two-dimensional irreducible representations of \hat{A} exist*, although there is only one generator, \hat{A} . However, there is no 'good quantum number' which would label the states spanning these representations.

A (diagonalizable) *non-Hermitian* Hamiltonian \hat{H} with a discrete spectrum [6] and its adjoint \hat{H}^\dagger each have a complete set of eigenstates:

$$\hat{H}|\psi_n\rangle = E_n|\psi_n\rangle, \quad \hat{H}^\dagger|\psi^n\rangle = E^n|\psi^n\rangle, \quad (13)$$

with complex conjugate eigenvalues related by $E^n = E_n^*$. They form a *bi-orthonormal* basis in \mathcal{H} , as they provide two resolutions of unity:

$$\sum_n |\psi^n\rangle\langle\psi_n| = \sum_n |\psi_n\rangle\langle\psi^n| = \hat{1}, \quad (14)$$

and satisfy orthogonality relations:

$$\langle\psi_m|\psi^n\rangle = \delta_m^n. \quad (15)$$

Let the non-Hermitian operator \hat{H} have an anti-unitary symmetry \hat{A} :

$$[\hat{H}, \hat{A}] = 0. \quad (16)$$

Then the unitary operator \hat{A}^2 commutes with \hat{H} , and it has eigenvalues Ω of modulus one. Consequently, there are simultaneous eigenstates $|n, \Omega\rangle$ of \hat{H} and \hat{A}^2 :

$$\hat{H}|n, \Omega\rangle = E_n|n, \Omega\rangle, \quad \hat{A}^2|n, \Omega\rangle = \Omega|n, \Omega\rangle, \quad (17)$$

with complex energies $E_n \in \mathbb{C}$. For simplicity, the eigenvalues Ω are assumed discrete and not degenerate. Wigner's normal form of anti-unitary operators suggests considering three cases separately: complex Ω ($\neq \Omega^*$) and $\Omega = \pm 1$.

(1) $\Omega \neq \Omega^*$. The state

$$|n, \Omega^*\rangle \equiv \omega \hat{A}|n, \Omega\rangle, \quad \omega^2 = \Omega, \quad (18)$$

is a second eigenstate of \hat{A}^2 , with eigenvalue Ω^* . The states $\{|n, \Omega\rangle, |n, \Omega^*\rangle\}$ provide a *flipping pair* under the action of the operator \hat{A} :

$$\hat{A}|n, \Omega\rangle = \omega^*|n, \Omega^*\rangle, \quad \hat{A}|n, \Omega^*\rangle = \omega|n, \Omega\rangle, \quad (19)$$

carrying the representation Γ_* . No degeneracy of the eigenvalue E_n is implied by the anti-unitary \hat{A} -symmetry of \hat{H} . However, the non-Hermitian Hamiltonian has a second eigenstate $|n, \Omega^*\rangle$ with eigenvalue E_n^* :

$$\hat{H}|n, \Omega^*\rangle = E_n^*|n, \Omega^*\rangle, \quad (20)$$

as follows from multiplying the first equation of (17) with \hat{A} and ω .

(2) $\Omega = -1$. Formally, the results for the representation Γ_- are obtained from the previous case by setting $\omega = \sqrt{-1} = i$. Again, a pair of complex conjugate eigenvalues is found, and the associated flipping pair spans a two-dimensional representation space.

(3) $\Omega = +1$. This case is conceptually different from the previous ones as two possibilities arise. Consider the state $|n, +\rangle$, an eigenvector of both \hat{H} and \hat{A}^2 with eigenvalues E_n and $+1$, respectively. It satisfies equations (17) with $\Omega \rightarrow +$. On the one hand, if applying \hat{A} to $|n, +\rangle$ results in $e^{i\phi}|n, +\rangle$, then the state $|n, 1\rangle \equiv e^{-i\phi/2}|n, +\rangle$ is an eigenstate of \hat{A} with eigenvalue $+1$:

$$\hat{A}|n, 1\rangle = |n, 1\rangle. \quad (21)$$

This occurrence of the one-dimensional representation γ_+ forces the associated eigenvalue E_n of \hat{H} to be real since

$$E_n|n, 1\rangle = \hat{H}\hat{A}|n, 1\rangle = \hat{A}\hat{H}|n, 1\rangle = E_n^*|n, 1\rangle. \quad (22)$$

If, on the other hand, the state $|n, +^*\rangle \equiv \hat{A}|n, +\rangle$ is *not* a multiple of $|n, +\rangle$, then these two states combine to form the representation Γ_+ , the flipping value being $+1$. Further, the state $|n, +^*\rangle$ is an eigenstate of the Hamiltonian with eigenvalue E_n^* . As the flipping number is real, linear combinations of $|n, +\rangle$ and $|n, +^*\rangle$ do exist which are eigenstates of \hat{A} —however, they are not eigenstates of \hat{H} . Consequently, the anti-unitary symmetry of the Hamiltonian makes itself felt on a subspace with $\hat{A}^2 = +\hat{I}$ via either a single real eigenvalue or a pair of two complex conjugate eigenvalues.

If any of the two-dimensional representations Γ_* or Γ_\pm occurs and the associated eigenvalue happens to be *real*, the anti-unitary symmetry implies a twofold degeneracy of the energy eigenvalue. However, the symmetry provides *no* additional label, and simultaneous eigenstates of \hat{H} and \hat{A} can be constructed for Γ_+ only. These cases will be denoted by Γ_*^d or Γ_\pm^d .

It will be shown now that the properties of \mathcal{PT} -symmetric quantum systems are consistent with the representation theory of non-Hermitian Hamiltonians possessing an anti-unitary symmetry. Upon identifying

$$\hat{A} = \mathcal{PT}, \quad (23)$$

one needs to check the value of $(\mathcal{PT})^2$ when applied to eigenstates of the Hamiltonian in order to decide which of the representations, Γ_* , Γ_\pm , or γ_+ , is realized. Various explicit examples will be given now.

For parameters $Z < Z_0^c$, the eigenvalues of the \mathcal{PT} -symmetric square well are real throughout, and the operators \hat{H} and \mathcal{PT} have common eigenstates. Thus, the relations (4) correspond to a multiple occurrence of the representation γ_+ , compatible with $(\mathcal{PT})^2 = +\hat{I}$.

For $Z > Z_0^c$, the energy eigenstate $\psi_0(x) \equiv \langle x|E_0, +\rangle$ in (7) satisfies $(\mathcal{PT})^2|E_0, +\rangle = +|E_0, +\rangle$. Therefore, the states $|E_0, +\rangle$ and $|E_0, +^*\rangle \equiv \mathcal{PT}|E_0, +\rangle$ carry a representation Γ_+ , and the presence of two complex energy eigenvalues, E_0 and E_0^* , is justified. Equations (5) can be completed to read

$$E \neq E^*: \quad \begin{aligned} \hat{H}|E_0, +\rangle &= E_0|E_0, +\rangle, \\ \hat{H}|E_0, +^*\rangle &= E_0^*|E_0, +^*\rangle, \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

and, simultaneously,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{PT}|E_0, +\rangle &= +|E_0, +^*\rangle, \\ \mathcal{PT}|E_0, +^*\rangle &= +|E_0, +\rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

Consequently, \mathcal{PT} -symmetry is not broken, but at $Z = Z_0^c$ the system switches between the representations Γ_+ and γ_+ , with a corresponding change of the energy spectrum.

The following examples are taken from a discrete family of non-Hermitian operators [7]:

$$\hat{H}_M = \hat{p}^2 - (\zeta \cosh 2x - iM)^2, \quad \zeta \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (26)$$

M taking positive integer values. Each operator \hat{H}_M is invariant under the combined action of \mathcal{PT} where \mathcal{P} is the parity about the point $a = i\pi/2$: $x \rightarrow i\pi/2 - x$. Due to the reflection about a point off the real axis, the operators \mathcal{P} and \mathcal{T} do not commute, as has been pointed out in [8]. However, this fact is not essential here since only the anti-unitary character of the symmetry \mathcal{PT} is relevant.

For $M = 2$, two complex conjugate eigenvalues E_+ and $E_- = E_+^*$ of \hat{H}_2 exist, with associated eigenstates

$$\psi_+(x) = \Psi(x) \cosh x \equiv \langle x|E_+, -\rangle, \quad (27)$$

$$\psi_-(x) = \Psi(x) \sinh x \equiv \langle x|E_+, -^*\rangle, \quad (28)$$

and a \mathcal{PT} -invariant function $\Psi(x) = \exp[(i/2)\zeta \cosh 2x]$. These states are a flipping pair with flipping value i :

$$\mathcal{PT}\psi_+(x) = -i\psi_-(x), \quad \mathcal{PT}\psi_-(x) = i\psi_+(x), \quad (29)$$

and the twofold application of \mathcal{PT} gives (-1) . Hence, the representation Γ_- is realized. Similarly, for $M = 4$, four eigenstates form two flipping pairs, i.e. two representations Γ_- , each being associated with a pair of complex conjugate eigenvalues.

For $M = 3$, three different real eigenvalues of the Hamiltonian \hat{H}_3 have been obtained analytically if $\zeta^2 < 1/4$. The corresponding eigenfunctions are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(x) &= \Psi(x) \sinh 2x, \\ \psi_{\pm}(x) &= \Psi(x)(A \cosh 2x \pm iB), \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

with real coefficients A and B . Under the action of \mathcal{PT} , the state $\psi(x)$ is mapped to itself, while $\psi_{\pm}(x)$ each acquire an additional minus sign. Therefore, the states $\psi(x) \equiv \langle x|E, + \rangle$ and $i\psi_{\pm}(x) \equiv \langle x|E_{\pm} \rangle$ are simultaneous eigenstates of \hat{H} and \mathcal{PT} with eigenvalues $+1$. The part of Hilbert space spanned by these three states transforms according to three copies of the representation γ_+ . If $\zeta = 1/2$, the eigenvalues E_{\pm} turn degenerate, and the eigenstates given in (30) merge: $i\psi_+(x) = i\psi_-(x) \equiv \varphi(x)$. However, a second, independent \mathcal{PT} -invariant solution of Schrödinger's equation can be found:

$$\phi(x) = \Psi(x) \int_{x_0}^x dy \frac{e^{-i\varphi(y)/2}}{\varphi^2(y)}. \quad (31)$$

The solutions $\{\varphi, \phi\}$ transform according to $\gamma_+ \otimes \gamma_+ \equiv \Gamma_+^d$. So far, the representation Γ_* has apparently not been realized in \mathcal{PT} -symmetric quantum systems—a possible explanation is the constraint $\mathcal{T}^2 = \pm 1$ for time reversal [9].

In summary, the representation theory of anti-unitary symmetries of non-Hermitian 'Hamiltonians' has been developed on the basis of Wigner's normal form of anti-unitary operators. Typically, energy eigenvalues come in complex conjugate pairs, and the associated eigenstates of the Hamiltonian span a two-dimensional space carrying one of the two-dimensional representations, Γ_* or Γ_{\pm} . However, no simultaneous eigenstates of the Hamiltonian and the symmetry operator in the two-dimensional \hat{A} -invariant subspaces can be identified—only 'flipping pairs' of states. Furthermore, single real eigenvalues may occur, related to the multiple occurrence of the one-dimensional representation γ_+ . This is the situation considered in [10] where the reality of a \mathcal{PT} -invariant Hamiltonian has been shown under the assumption that \hat{A} -invariant states exist. Generally, the symmetry does not imply the existence of degenerate eigenvalues—only if the Hamiltonian happens to have a real eigenvalue a two-dimensional degenerate subspace may exist occasionally.

These results naturally explain the properties of eigenstates and eigenvalues of \mathcal{PT} -symmetric quantum systems. In particular, it is not necessary to invoke the concept of a *spontaneously broken* \mathcal{PT} -symmetry.

Contrary to the case for a unitary or Hermitian symmetry, the presence of an anti-unitary symmetry, $[\hat{H}, \hat{A}] = 0$, does not imply the existence of a set of simultaneous eigenstates of \hat{H} and \mathcal{PT} —simply because an anti-linear operator is not guaranteed to have a complete set of eigenstates. It will be worthwhile to reflect upon the proposed 'complex extension' of quantum mechanics [11] and its relation to pseudo-hermiticity [12] in the light of representation theory of anti-unitary operators. Finally, the present approach provides a new perspective on the suggested modification of the scalar product in Hilbert space [13] which will be presented elsewhere [14] in detail.

References

- [1] Bessis D, unpublished
Bender C M and Boettcher S 1998 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **24** 5243
- [2] See, for example,
Lévai G, Cannata F and Ventura A 2002 *Phys. Lett. A* **300** 271
Lévai G and Znojil M 2000 *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **33** 1973 and references therein
- [3] Bagchi B, Cannata F and Quesne C 2000 *Phys. Lett. A* **269** 79
Sinha A and Roychoudhury R 2002 *Preprint* quant-ph/0207132 (for example)
- [4] Znojil M and Lévai G 2001 *Mod. Phys. Lett. A* **16** 2273
- [5] Wigner E P 1960 *J. Math. Phys.* **1** 409
- [6] Wong J 1967 *J. Math. Phys.* **8** 2039
Mostafazadeh A 2002 *J. Math. Phys.* **43** 2814
- [7] Khare A and Mandal B P 2000 *Phys. Lett. A* **272** 53
- [8] Bagchi B, Mallik S, Quesne C and Roychoudhury R 2001 *Phys. Lett. A* **289** 34
- [9] Böhm A 1979 *Quantum Mechanics* (Berlin: Springer)
- [10] Bender C M, Berry M V and Mandilara A 2002 *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **35** L467
- [11] Bender C M, Brody D C and Jones H F 2002 Complex extension of quantum mechanics *Preprint* quant-ph/0208076
- [12] Ahmed Z 2001 *Phys. Lett. A* **282** 343
Mostafazadeh A 2002 Pseudo-hermiticity and generalized PT- and CPT-symmetries *Preprint* math-ph/0209018 and references therein
- [13] Znojil M 2001 Conservation of pseudo-norm in PT symmetric quantum mechanics *Preprint* math-ph/0104012
Bagchi B, Quesne C and Znojil M 2001 *Mod. Phys. Lett. A* **16** 2047
Japaridze G S 2002 *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **35** 1709
- [14] Weigert S, in preparation