## SEMIGROUP NEAR-RINGS by

## J. D. P. Meldrum

A near-ring is a generalization of a ring where two axioms are omitted: addition is not necessarily abelian and only one distributive law holds.

**Definition 1.1.** Let (R, +, .) be a set with two binary operations + and . satisfying

(i) (R, +) is a group, not necessarily abelian;

(ii) (R, .) is a semigroup;

(iii) (x+y)z = xz + yz for all  $x, y, z \in R$ .

A similar definition with (iii) replaced by the left distributive law gives rise to a left near-ring. We will be using only right near-rings. The standard example of a near-ring is given by the following.

**Example 1.2.** Let (G, +) be a group. Define M(G) to be the set of all functions from G to G with pointwise addition  $((\alpha + \beta)(g) = \alpha(g) + \beta(g))$  for all  $\alpha, \beta \in M(G), g \in G$  and composition of maps. Then (M(G), +, .) is a right near-ring and all near-rings can be embedded in a suitable M(G).

For more information on near-rings the following books are useful: Pilz [6], Meldrum [4], Clay [1] and Ferrero-Cotti [2]. In this account we wish to define and begin an investigation of semigroup near-rings. Group near-rings have been investigated starting with Le Riche, Meldrum and van der Walt [3] and several subsequent papers. By replacing the group involved by a semigroup we get a semigroup near-ring. Many of the early results about semigroup near-rings are proved in a very similar way to the group near-rings case and for those we will not give proofs in this account. First we set the scene with a series of definitions.

**Definition 1.3.** Let (R, +, .) be a near-ring. A subset A of R such that A is closed under addition and composition is called a subnear-ring. A subnear-ring B such that (B, +) is normal in (R, +) and

(i)  $BR \subseteq B$  is a right ideal;

(ii)  $r(x+b) - rx \in B$  for all  $b \in B$ ,  $r, x \in R$  is a left ideal;

(iii) if (i) and (ii) are satisfied then B is an ideal.

**Definition 1.4.** Let (R, +, .) be a near-ring. If (H, +) is a group and R can be embedded in M(H) then H is an R-module where the action of R on H is defined using the embedding.

If  $K \subseteq H$  is a subgroup and  $RK \subseteq K$  then K is a (R)-submodule. If  $r(k+h) - rh \in K$  for all  $k \in K$ ,  $h \in H$ ,  $r \in R$  and K is normal in H then K is an R-ideal of H.

The various definitions of ideals and modules parallel the use of these ideas in ring theory. In particular the ideas of *R*-homomorphisms and *R*-module homomorphisms parallel the ring case very closely.

We now turn to defining group near-rings and semigroup near-rings. The definitions may seem strange compared with the ring case but they have proved to be necessary in order for progress to be made.

Let R be a right near-ring with identity 1 and which is zero-symmetric (i. e. r0 = 0 = 0r for all  $r \in R$ ). Let G be a multiplicative group with identity e. Denote by  $R^G$  the cartesian direct sum of |G| copies of (R, +) indexed by the elements of G. Define a set of functions in  $M(R^G)$  by

$$[r,g]\mu(h) = r\mu(hg) \text{ for all } \mu \in R^G, \ h,g \in G, r \in R$$

$$(1.5)$$

**Definition 1.6.** The subnear-ring of  $M(R^G)$ , denoted by R[G] is the subnear-ring generated by

$$\{[r,g]; r \in R, g \in G\}.$$

**Definition 1.7.**Let S be a multiplicative semigroup with identity e. Define [r, s] as a function on  $\mathbb{R}^S$  by (1.5) with suitable change in notation. Then the subnear-ring of  $M(\mathbb{R}^S)$  generated by

 $\{[r,s]; r \in R, s \in S\}.$ 

is denoted by R[S].

**Definition 1.8.** With the notation of the two previous definitions we call R[G] the group near-ring of R over G and R[S] the semigroup near-ring of R over S.

We will now list a number of elementary results about semigroup nearrings which parallel very closely the corresponding results about group nearrings. They will be given without proof but with a reference to the corresponding result in Le Riche, Meldrum and van der Walt [5].

**Theorem 1.9.** If R happens to be a ring, then R[S] is isomorphic to the standard semigroup ring constructed from R and S. (Theorem 2.4.)

We denote the restricted direct power of (R, +) by  $R^{(S)}$ .

**Theorem 1.10.**  $R^{(S)}$  is a faithful R[S]-module. (Theorem 2.5.)

A key lemma is important in other contexts.

**Lemma 1.11.** Let  $t \in S$ ,  $\mu \in \mathbb{R}^S$ ,  $A \in \mathbb{R}[S]$ . Then there exists a finite set X, independent of  $\mu$ , such that for all  $X' \supseteq X$  we have  $(A\mu)(t) = (A\mu|_{X'})(t)$ , where  $\mu|_{X'}$  is defined by  $\mu|_{X'}(t) = \mu(t)$  if  $t \in X'$ ,  $\mu|_{X'}(t) = 0$  if  $t \in S \setminus X'$ . (Lemma 2.6.)

Note that  $\mu|_{X'} \in R^{(S)}$ .

**Lemma 1.12.** In R[S] the following rules apply for all values of the parameters.

(1) [r<sub>1</sub>, s<sub>1</sub>][r<sub>2</sub>, s<sub>2</sub>] = [r<sub>1</sub>r<sub>2</sub>, s<sub>1</sub>s<sub>2</sub>];
(2) [r<sub>1</sub>, s] + [r<sub>2</sub>, s] = [r<sub>1</sub> + r<sub>2</sub>, s];
(3) [r, s] is zerosymmetric if and only if r is;
(4) [r, s] is distributive if and only if r is. (Lemma 2.7.)

An element  $r \in R$  is distributive if and only if r(a+b) = ra + rb for all  $a, b \in R$ .

**Corollary 1.13.** The map  $r \mapsto [r, e]$  is an embedding of R into R[S]and the map  $s \mapsto [1, s]$  is an embedding of S into the semigroup (R[S], .). (Corollary 2.8.)

A near-ring R is dg (distributively generated) by X is (R, +) is generated by X and every element of X is distributive. (Definition 3.1.) We now turn to homomorphisms of the near-ring and the semigroup. We start with homomorphisms of the near-ring as it is much less complicated than the case of homomorphisms of the semigroup.

Let  $\phi : R \to N$  be an epimorphism of near-rings. We wish to show that this leads to an epimorphism  $\phi^* : R[S] \to N[S]$ . Define  $\overline{\phi}$  as an epimorphism from  $R^S \to N^S$  given by

 $\overline{\phi}(\mu)(s) = \phi(\mu(s))$  for all  $s \in S$ . Then we have the following result.

**Corollary 1.14.** If  $\overline{\phi}(\mu) = \overline{\phi}(\nu)$  for  $\mu, \nu \in \mathbb{R}^S$ , then  $\overline{\phi}(A\mu) = \overline{\phi}(A\nu)$  for all  $A \in \mathbb{R}[S]$ . (Lemma 4.1)

Let  $\tilde{\phi}$  be any right inverse of  $\overline{\phi}$ , so  $\tilde{\phi} : N^S \to R^S$  and  $\overline{\phi}\tilde{\phi}(\sigma) = \sigma$  for all  $\sigma \in N^S$ . We define  $\phi^* : R[S] \to N[S]$  by

$$\phi^*(A)(\sigma) = \overline{\phi}(A\widetilde{\phi}(\sigma)) \text{ for all } \sigma \in N^S.$$
(1.15)

Note that  $\phi^*$  does not depend on the particular right inverse  $\phi$  of  $\overline{\phi}$  chosen.

**Theorem 1.16.** If  $\phi : R \to N$  is an epimorphism, then  $\phi^* : R[S] \to N[S]$  is an epimorphism. (Theorem 4.2).

Let  $\mathcal{A} = \operatorname{Ker} \phi$  then

 $\operatorname{Ker} \phi^* = \mathcal{A}^* := (\mathcal{A}^S : R^S) = \{A \in R[S]; A\mu \in \mathcal{A}^S \text{ for all } \mu \in R^S\}$ If  $\mathcal{A}$  is a left ideal of R then  $\mathcal{A}^S$ , the cartesian direct sum of |S| copies of  $(\mathcal{A}, +)$  indexed by the elements of S is an R[S]-ideal of the R[S] module  $R^S$ , so  $\mathcal{A}^*$  is an ideal of R[S].

**Corollary 1.17.** For any ideal  $\mathcal{A}$  of R we have  $(R/\mathcal{A})[S] \cong R[S]/\mathcal{A}^*$  (Corollary 4.3)

**Theorem 1.18.** The mapping ()\* is an injection from the set of ideals of R into that of R[S]. Moreover

 $(\mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{B})^* = \mathcal{A}^* \cap \mathcal{B}^*$  and  $\mathcal{A}^* + \mathcal{B}^* \subseteq (\mathcal{A} + \mathcal{B})^*$ . (Theorem 4.4.)

There is another way of associating an ideal in R[S] with an ideal  $\mathcal{A}$  in R:  $\mathcal{A}^+ = \mathrm{Id}\langle \{[a, e]; a \in \mathcal{A} \rangle.$ 

**Theorem 1.19.** The mapping  $()^+$  is an injection from the set of ideals of R into that of R[S]. Moreover

$$\mathcal{A}^+ \subseteq \mathcal{A}^*, (\mathcal{A} + \mathcal{B})^+ = \mathcal{A}^+ + \mathcal{B}^+, (\mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{B})^+ \subseteq \mathcal{A}^+ \cap \mathcal{B}^+.$$
 (Theorem 4.5.)

The next step is to associate an ideal of R with an ideal in R[S]. This part differs from the treatment given in Le Riche, Meldrum and van der Walt [3].

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be an ideal in R[S]. Define  $\mathcal{A}_*$  as an ideal in R by

$$\mathcal{A}_* = \mathrm{Id}\langle \{a \in R; \exists t \in S, \mu \in R^S, A \in \mathcal{A} \text{ such that } (A\mu)(t) = a \} \rangle.$$
(1.20)

 $\mathcal{A}_*$  is certainly an ideal of R.

**Lemma 1.21.** For any ideal  $\mathcal{A}$  of R[S],  $\mathcal{A}_*$  is an ideal of R.

**Theorem 1.22.** For any ideal  $\mathcal{A}$  of R[S],  $\mathcal{A} \subseteq (\mathcal{A}_*)^*$ .

**Proof.** Let  $A \in \mathcal{A}$ ,  $\mu \in R[S]$ . Then  $(A\mu)(t) \in \mathcal{A}_*$  by (1.20). This holds for all  $t \in S$ . So  $(A\mu) \in \mathcal{A}^S_*$  and A maps an arbitrary element of  $R^S$  into  $\mathcal{A}^S_*$ , i. e.  $\mathcal{A} \subseteq (\mathcal{A}^S_* : R^S) = (\mathcal{A}_*)^*$ .

This proof is quicker than the proof of the corresponding result in Le Riche, Meldrum and van der Walt [3], but has a much less satisfactory definition of  $\mathcal{A}_*$ .

The next situation to consider is that of epimorphisms of the semigroup. This is an area where the results and proofs will differ somewhat from the group near-ring case because the kernel of a semigroup homomorphism is a congruence and not a particular substructure.

So let  $\theta$  be an epimorphism from S to a semigroup T. Let  $\rho$  be the kernel,  $\rho$  being a congruence on S. We want to relate  $R^T = R^{S/\rho}$  to a semigroup near-ring and find its relation to  $R^S$ . It is possible to get a connection using only a right congruence. This parallels the group near-ring case where  $R^H$  is considered for a subgroup H of G, not necessarily a normal subgroup. Let  $\rho$  be a right congruence. Let  $\mu \in \mathbb{R}^S$ . Call  $\mu$  a  $\rho$ -function if  $(x, y) \in \rho$ implies  $\mu(x) = \mu(y)$  for all  $x, y \in S$  and denote by  $\mathbb{R}^S_{\rho}$  the set of all  $\rho$ -functions.

**Definition 1.23.** The right congruence  $\rho$  on S gives rise to  $\rho$ -functions and the set of  $\rho$ -functions is denoted by  $R_{\rho}^{S}$ .

The  $\rho$ -functions are just functions in  $\mathbb{R}^S$  which are constant on  $\rho$ -classes. To provide the background for the proof of the next result we need to define the complexity of an element of  $\mathbb{R}^S$ , the basis of a large number of induction arguments.

**Definition 1.24.** Let  $A \in R[S]$ . A generating sequence for A is a finite sequence  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n$  of elements of R[S] such that  $A_n = A$  and for all  $i, 1 \leq i \leq n$  one of the following three cases applies:

(i)  $A_i = [r, s]$  for some  $r \in R, s \in S$ ;

(ii)  $A_i = A_k + A_\ell$  for some  $k, \ell, 1 \le k, \ell < i$ ;

(iii)  $A_i = A_k A_\ell$  for some  $k, \ell, 1 \le k, \ell < i$ .

The length of a generating sequence of minimal length for A will be called the complexity of A and be denoted by c(A).

It is obvious that  $c(A) \ge 1$  for all  $A \in R[S]$  and c(A) = 1 if and only if A = [r, s] for some  $r \in R$ ,  $s \in S$ . If  $c(A) \ge 1$  then either A = B + C or A = BC with c(B), c(C) < c(A).

**Lemma 1.25.** Using the notation in Definition 1.23,  $R_{\rho}^{S}$  is an R[S] module, a submodule of the left R[S] module  $R^{S}$ .

**Proof.** The elements of  $R_{\rho}^{S}$  are simply the maps  $S \to R$  which are constant on the equivalence classes of  $\rho$ . So it is obvious that  $R_{\rho}^{S}$  is a subgroup of  $(R^{S}, +)$  under addition. We have to show that if  $\mu \in R_{\rho}^{S}$  and  $A \in R[S]$  then  $A\mu \in R_{\rho}^{S}$  and we can do this by induction on c(A). Let  $(x, y) \in \rho$ . If c(A) = 1, then A = [r, s] for some  $r \in R, s \in S$  and so  $([r, s]\mu)(x) = r\mu(xs)$  and  $([r, s]\mu)(y) = r\mu(ys)$ . Since  $\rho$  is a right congruence  $(x, y) \in \rho$  implies  $(xs, ys) \in \rho$  and so  $\mu(xs) = \mu(ys)$  and  $[r, s]\mu \in R_{\rho}^{S}$ . Now suppose that the result holds for all  $D \in R[S]$  with c(D) < c(A). Then A = B + C or A = BC with c(B), c(C) < c(A). In the former case we have

$$(A\mu)(x) = ((B+C)\mu)(x) = (B\mu+C\mu)(x) = (B\mu)(x) + (C\mu)(x)$$
  
=  $(B\mu)(y) + (C\mu)(y) = ((B+C)(\mu))(y) = (A\mu)(y).$ 

using the induction hypothesis.

In the second case  $A\mu = (BC)\mu = B(C\mu)$  and by the induction hypothesis  $C\mu \in R^S_{\rho}$  so  $A\mu \in R^S_{\rho}$  by a second application of the induction hypothesis. The induction is now complete.

So for each right congruence  $\rho$ ,  $R_{\rho}^{S}$  is an R[S] submodule and R[S] acts on  $R_{\rho}^{S}$  but in general not faithfully. So we have the following definition.

**Definition 1.26.** With the notation given above the kernel of the action of R[S] on  $R_{\rho}^{S}$  is denoted by  $\omega(\rho)$ .

Note that  $\omega(\rho)$  is a two sided ideal being the kernel of the near-ring homomorphism

$$f: R[S] \to M(R_{\rho}^S).$$

**Lemma 1.27.** If  $\rho$  is a congruence on S then there is a natural isomorphism between  $R_{\rho}^{S}$  and  $R^{S/\rho}$ .

**Theorem 1.28.** Let  $\rho$  be a congruence on S. Then  $R[S]/\omega(\rho) \cong R[S/\rho].$ 

The kernel of the full congruence on S, generally denoted by  $\Delta$ , is called the augmentation ideal and plays an important role in group rings and nearrings. So we will write  $\Delta$  for  $\omega(S)$ . Note that  $R_S^S$  just has one factor R and the natural map  $R[S] \to M(R_S^S)$  maps R[S] onto R. This is also brought out in the following argument.

**Lemma 1.29.** Let  $\mu, \nu \in R[S]$  and let  $x \in R$  be such that  $\nu(s) = \mu(s)x$ for all  $s \in S$ . Then  $(A\nu)(s) = ((A\mu)(s))x$  for all  $s \in S$ .

**Proof** A straightforward induction on c(A) since the near-ring is a right near-ring.

Define  $f_{\omega} : R[S] \to R$  as follows: for any  $d \in R$  we let  $\varepsilon_d$  denote the element of  $R_S^S$  such that  $\varepsilon_d(s) = d$  for all  $s \in S$ . Then by lemmas 1.29 and 1.25 we see that for any  $A \in R[S]$  there exists a unique (because  $1 \in R$ )  $a \in R$  such that  $A\varepsilon_d = \varepsilon_{ad}$  for all  $d \in R$ . Define  $f_{\omega}(A) = a$ . Then  $f_{\omega}$  is an epimorphism and  $\operatorname{Ker} f_{\omega} = \Delta$ 

**Corollary 1.30.** The augmentation map  $f_{\omega} : R[S] \to R$  is an epimorphism with kernel  $\Delta$ , so  $R[S]/\Delta \cong R$ .

There is a special set of generators for  $\Delta$  mirroring the case of group rings and group near-rings.

**Theorem 1.31.** The augmentation ideal  $\Delta$  is generated as an ideal by the set  $\{[1, s] - [1, e]; s \in S\}$ .

**Proof.** Let  $\mathcal{J} = \mathrm{Id}\langle \{[1, s] - [1, e]; s \in S\}\rangle$ . Then  $\mathcal{J} \subseteq \Delta$  because  $([1, s] - [1, e]\varepsilon_d = 0$  for all  $s \in S, d \in R$ . We need to prove the reverse inclusion. For any  $r \in R, s \in S$  there are  $I, I' \in \mathcal{J}$  such that

[r,s] = [r,e][1,s] = [r,e]([1,e]+I) = [r,e][1,e]+I' = [r,e]+I'.It is now a straightforward induction that if  $A \in R[S]$ , then A = [a,e]+Jfor some  $a \in R$ ,  $J \in \mathcal{J}$ . So let  $A \in \Delta$  and A = [a,e]+J,  $J \in \mathcal{J}$ . Then  $A\varepsilon_1 = \varepsilon_a + 0$ , i. e. a = 0 which means that  $A \in \mathcal{J}$ .

We now consider more properties of the ideals of the form  $\omega(\rho)$ .

**Theorem 1.32.** The mapping  $\omega$  from the lattice of right congruences of S into the lattice of ideals of R[S] is an injection, isotone and  $\omega(\rho \cap \sigma) \subseteq \omega(\rho) \cap \omega(\sigma)$ .

**Proof.** Let  $\rho \neq \sigma$ , say  $(t, u) \in \rho \setminus \sigma$ . Let  $\mu \in R_{\rho}^{S}$ . Then  $\mu(t) = \mu(u)$  and  $([1,t] - [1,u])\mu(e) = \mu(t) - \mu(u) = 0$ . But  $(t, u) \notin \sigma$ , so  $\mu(t)$  and  $\mu(u)$  can take different values. Hence  $\mu \in R_{\rho}^{S} \setminus R_{\sigma}^{S}$  and  $\omega$  is injective. If  $\rho \subseteq \sigma$  then  $R_{\sigma}^{S} \subseteq R_{\rho}^{S}$  so  $\omega(\rho) = \operatorname{Ann}_{R[S]} R_{\rho}^{S} \subseteq \operatorname{Ann}_{R[S]} R_{\sigma}^{S} = \omega(\sigma)$  and  $\omega$  is isotone and the last part follows immediately.

## Bibliography

[1] Clay, J. R. Nearrings: Geneses and applications. Oxford, 1992.

[2] Cotti Ferrero, C. Ferrero, G. Nearrings. Some Developments Linked to Semigroups and Groups. Kluwer, Dordrecht, 2002.

[3] Le Riche, L. R., Meldrum J. D. P., Van der Walt, A. P. J. On Group Near-rings. Arch. Math. 52, 132-139, 1989.

[4] Meldrum, J. D. P. Near-rings and their links with groups. Res. Notes in Mathematics 134, Longman, London, 1985.

[5] Meldrum, J. D. P., Meyer, J. H. The augmentation ideal in group near-rings. Monatsh. Math. 156, 313-323, 2009.

[6] Pilz, G. Near-rings. 2nd Edition, North Holland, Amsterdam, 1983.

School of Mathematics, University of Edinburgh.

Postal Address: 87 Belgrave Road, Edinburgh EH12 6NH. email:meldrum.john@gmail.com