Free idempotent generated semigroups over biordered sets

Dandan Yang The University of York

(Dandan Yang)

Free idempotent generated semigroups over b

May 3, 2012 1 / 1

• Free idempotent generated semigroup IG(E) over biordered set E.

- Free idempotent generated semigroup IG(E) over biordered set E.
- The maximal subgroups of IG(E) for several classes of biordered sets.

- Free idempotent generated semigroup IG(E) over biordered set E.
- The maximal subgroups of IG(E) for several classes of biordered sets.
- The maximal subgroups of IG(E) for the biordered set of the endomorphism monoid of free *G*-act F_n .

Let S be a semigroup and E = E(S) the set of idempotents of S. The biordered set of a semigroup S is the partial algebra consisting of the set E = E(S) with multiplication restricted to basic pair.

Let S be a semigroup and E = E(S) the set of idempotents of S. The biordered set of a semigroup S is the partial algebra consisting of the set E = E(S) with multiplication restricted to basic pair.

 $(e, f) \in E \times E$ is called a *basic pair* if ef = e or ef = f or fe = e or fe = f.

Let S be a semigroup and E = E(S) the set of idempotents of S. The *biordered set* of a semigroup S is the partial algebra consisting of the set E = E(S) with multiplication restricted to basic pair.

 $(e, f) \in E \times E$ is called a *basic pair* if ef = e or ef = f or fe = e or fe = f.

Let IG(E) denote the semigroup defined by the following presentation.

 $IG(E) = \langle E | e.f = ef$ if (e, f) is a basic pair \rangle .

IG(E) is called the *free idempotent generated semigroup* on E.

Free idempotent generated semigroup IG(E) over biordered set E

(IG1) There exists a natural homomorphism ϕ from IG(*E*) into the subsemigroup *S'* of *S* generated by *E*.

(IG1) There exists a natural homomorphism ϕ from IG(*E*) into the subsemigroup *S'* of *S* generated by *E*.

(IG2) The restriction of ϕ to the set of idempotents of IG(*E*) is a bijection.

(IG1) There exists a natural homomorphism ϕ from IG(*E*) into the subsemigroup *S'* of *S* generated by *E*.

(IG2) The restriction of ϕ to the set of idempotents of IG(*E*) is a bijection.

(IG3) ϕ maps the \mathcal{R} -class (respectively \mathcal{L} -class) of $e \in E$ onto the corresponding class of e in S'.

(IG1) There exists a natural homomorphism ϕ from IG(*E*) into the subsemigroup *S'* of *S* generated by *E*.

(IG2) The restriction of ϕ to the set of idempotents of IG(*E*) is a bijection.

(IG3) ϕ maps the \mathcal{R} -class (respectively \mathcal{L} -class) of $e \in E$ onto the corresponding class of e in S'.

(IG4) The restriction of ϕ to the maximal subgroups of IG(*E*) containing $e \in E$ is a homomorphism onto the maximal subgroup of *S'* containing *e*.

Free idempotent generated semigroup IG(E) over biordered set E

If S is regular semigroup, the *free regular idempotent generated* semigroup RIG(E) on E is defined by adding the relation:

$$ehf = ef(h \in S(e, f))$$

to the presentation of IG(E), where

$$S(e, f) = \{h \in E : ehf = ef, fhe = h\},\$$

called the *sandwich set* of a pair of idempotents $e, f \in E$.

Free idempotent generated semigroup IG(E) over biordered set E

If S is regular semigroup, the *free regular idempotent generated* semigroup RIG(E) on E is defined by adding the relation:

 $ehf = ef(h \in S(e, f))$

to the presentation of IG(E), where

$$S(e, f) = \{h \in E : ehf = ef, fhe = h\},\$$

called the *sandwich set* of a pair of idempotents $e, f \in E$.

The semigroup RIG(E) also satisfies the properties (IG1), (IG2), (IG3) and (IG4). In addition, RIG(E) is regular; and the maximal subgroups of any $e \in E$ in IG(E) and RIG(E) are isomorphic.

Question: Which groups can arise as maximal subgroups of a free idempotent generated semigroups?

Question: Which groups can arise as maximal subgroups of a free idempotent generated semigroups?

It was conjectured that maximal subgroups of IG(E) must always be free groups.

Question: Which groups can arise as maximal subgroups of a free idempotent generated semigroups?

It was conjectured that maximal subgroups of IG(E) must always be free groups.

This conjecture was confirmed for several classes of biordered sets.

Question: Which groups can arise as maximal subgroups of a free idempotent generated semigroups?

It was conjectured that maximal subgroups of IG(E) must always be free groups.

This conjecture was confirmed for several classes of biordered sets.

Brittenham, Margolis and Meakin (2009)

They gave a 72-element semigroup S and proved that IG(E) has a maximal subgroup isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$.

Let *e* be an idempotent with rank *r* of the full transformation semigroup \mathcal{T}_n . Then the maximal subgroup with identity *e* of \mathcal{T}_n is isomorphic to S_r .

Let *e* be an idempotent with rank *r* of the full transformation semigroup \mathcal{T}_n . Then the maximal subgroup with identity *e* of \mathcal{T}_n is isomorphic to S_r .

Gray and Ruskuc(2011)

Let \mathcal{T}_n be the full transformation semigroup, let E be its biordered set, and let $e \in E$ be an arbitrary idempotent with rank r $(1 \leq r \leq n-2)$. Then the maximal subgroup H_e of the free idempotent generated semigroup IG(E) containing e is isomorphic to the symmetric group S_r . Let *e* be an idempotent with rank *r* of the full transformation semigroup \mathcal{T}_n . Then the maximal subgroup with identity *e* of \mathcal{T}_n is isomorphic to S_r .

Gray and Ruskuc(2011)

Let \mathcal{T}_n be the full transformation semigroup, let E be its biordered set, and let $e \in E$ be an arbitrary idempotent with rank r $(1 \leq r \leq n-2)$. Then the maximal subgroup H_e of the free idempotent generated semigroup IG(E) containing e is isomorphic to the symmetric group S_r .

Methods: The authors applied a presentation for H arising from singular squares of \mathcal{T}_n and proved that this presentation is the well known Coxeter presentation for symmetric groups.

→ Ξ →

Let $M_n(D)$ be the matrix semigroup of all $n \times n$ matrices over a division ring D. It is well known that the maximal subgroup of $M_n(D)$ with identity $e \in M_n(D)$ of rank r is isomorphic to the general linear group $GL_r(D)$.

Let $M_n(D)$ be the matrix semigroup of all $n \times n$ matrices over a division ring D. It is well known that the maximal subgroup of $M_n(D)$ with identity $e \in M_n(D)$ of rank r is isomorphic to the general linear group $GL_r(D)$.

In particular, if r = 1, then the maximal subgroup is isomorphic to D^* , the multiplicative group of units of D.

Let $M_n(D)$ be the matrix semigroup of all $n \times n$ matrices over a division ring D. It is well known that the maximal subgroup of $M_n(D)$ with identity $e \in M_n(D)$ of rank r is isomorphic to the general linear group $GL_r(D)$.

In particular, if r = 1, then the maximal subgroup is isomorphic to D^* , the multiplicative group of units of D.

Brittenham, Margolis and Meakin(2010)

Let *E* be the biordered set of idempotents of $M_n(D)$, for *D* a division ring, and let *e* be an idempotent matrix of rank 1 in $M_n(D)$. For $n \ge 3$, the maximal subgroup of IG(*E*) containing *e* is isomorphic to D^* , the multiplicative group of units of *D*.

→ Ξ →

Methods: The authors used *Graham-Houghton 2-complex GH(E)* of the biordered set E, and showed that the maximal subgroups of IG(E) are the fundamental groups of the connected components of GH(E).

Methods: The authors used Graham-Houghton 2-complex GH(E) of the biordered set E, and showed that the maximal subgroups of IG(E) are the fundamental groups of the connected components of GH(E).

Dolinka and Gray(2011)

Let *E* be the biordered set of idempotents of $M_n(D)$, for *D* a division ring, and let *e* be an idempotent matrix of $M_n(D)$ with rank $r \leq n/3$. For $n \geq 3$, the maximal subgroup of IG(*E*) with identity *e* is isomorphic to the general linear group $GL_r(D)$.

Methods: The authors used Graham-Houghton 2-complex GH(E) of the biordered set E, and showed that the maximal subgroups of IG(E) are the fundamental groups of the connected components of GH(E).

Dolinka and Gray(2011)

Let *E* be the biordered set of idempotents of $M_n(D)$, for *D* a division ring, and let *e* be an idempotent matrix of $M_n(D)$ with rank $r \leq n/3$. For $n \geq 3$, the maximal subgroup of IG(*E*) with identity *e* is isomorphic to the general linear group $GL_r(D)$.

Methods: The authors used the presentation for H arising from singular squares of $M_n(D)$ and showed that, when rank $r \leq n/3$, this presentation defines $GL_r(Q)$.

→ Ξ →

Methods: The authors used Graham-Houghton 2-complex GH(E) of the biordered set E, and showed that the maximal subgroups of IG(E) are the fundamental groups of the connected components of GH(E).

Dolinka and Gray(2011)

Let *E* be the biordered set of idempotents of $M_n(D)$, for *D* a division ring, and let *e* be an idempotent matrix of $M_n(D)$ with rank $r \leq n/3$. For $n \geq 3$, the maximal subgroup of IG(*E*) with identity *e* is isomorphic to the general linear group $GL_r(D)$.

Methods: The authors used the presentation for H arising from singular squares of $M_n(D)$ and showed that, when rank $r \leq n/3$, this presentation defines $GL_r(Q)$.

→ Ξ →

I concentrate on the free idempotent generated semigroups IG(E), where E is the biordered set of the endomorphism monoid $EndF_n$ of a *n*-dimensional independence algebra F_n , where *n* is finite.

I concentrate on the free idempotent generated semigroups IG(E), where E is the biordered set of the endomorphism monoid $EndF_n$ of a *n*-dimensional independence algebra F_n , where *n* is finite.

The idea is to compare the maximal subgroup of IG(E) and $EndF_n$.

I concentrate on the free idempotent generated semigroups IG(E), where E is the biordered set of the endomorphism monoid $EndF_n$ of a *n*-dimensional independence algebra F_n , where *n* is finite.

The idea is to compare the maximal subgroup of IG(E) and $EndF_n$.

To solve this problem, we are beginning with a special kind of independence algebra, namely, the free G-act F_n .

I concentrate on the free idempotent generated semigroups IG(E), where E is the biordered set of the endomorphism monoid $EndF_n$ of a *n*-dimensional independence algebra F_n , where *n* is finite.

The idea is to compare the maximal subgroup of IG(E) and $EndF_n$.

To solve this problem, we are beginning with a special kind of independence algebra, namely, the free G-act F_n .

We proved that if $e \in \text{End}F_n$ is an idempotent of rank $m \ (m \leq n)$, then the maximal subgroup H with identity e of $\text{End}F_n$ is isomorphic to the automorphism group $\text{Aut}F_m$ of a free G-act F_m of rank m.

I concentrate on the free idempotent generated semigroups IG(E), where E is the biordered set of the endomorphism monoid $EndF_n$ of a *n*-dimensional independence algebra F_n , where *n* is finite.

The idea is to compare the maximal subgroup of IG(E) and $EndF_n$.

To solve this problem, we are beginning with a special kind of independence algebra, namely, the free G-act F_n .

We proved that if $e \in \text{End}F_n$ is an idempotent of rank $m \ (m \leq n)$, then the maximal subgroup H with identity e of $\text{End}F_n$ is isomorphic to the automorphism group $\text{Aut}F_m$ of a free G-act F_m of rank m.

In fact, from the paper 'Independence Algebras' by V.Gould, we can also deduce that this result will hold for the endomorphism monoid of finite independence algebra.

If e is an idempotent with rank r = 1, then the maximal subgroup of End F_n is isomorphic to G.

If e is an idempotent with rank r = 1, then the maximal subgroup of End F_n is isomorphic to G.

Is the maximal subgroup of IG(E) also isomorphic to G?

If e is an idempotent with rank r = 1, then the maximal subgroup of End F_n is isomorphic to G.

Is the maximal subgroup of IG(E) also isomorphic to G?

Let $D_1 = \{ \alpha \in EndF_n : rank\alpha = 1 \}$. Then we have the following Lemma.

If e is an idempotent with rank r = 1, then the maximal subgroup of End F_n is isomorphic to G.

Is the maximal subgroup of IG(E) also isomorphic to G?

Let $D_1 = \{ \alpha \in EndF_n : rank\alpha = 1 \}$. Then we have the following Lemma.

Lemma 1 Let $\alpha, \beta \in D_1$ such that $x_i \alpha = u_i x_i$ and $x_i \beta = v_i x_k$, for some $l, k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. Then $\text{Ker}\alpha = \text{Ker}\beta$ if and only if there exists some $g \in G$, such that $u_i = v_i g$ for any $i \in [1, n]$. Furthermore, each \mathcal{H} -class in D_1 is a group.

Let *E* be a biordered set. An *E*-square is a sequence (e, f, g, h, e) of elements of *E* with eRfLgRhLe.

Let *E* be a biordered set. An *E*-square is a sequence (e, f, g, h, e) of elements of *E* with eRfLgRhLe.

Usually, we write an *E*-square (e, f, g, h, e) as $\begin{bmatrix} e & f \\ h & g \end{bmatrix}$.

Let *E* be a biordered set. An *E*-square is a sequence (e, f, g, h, e) of elements of *E* with $e \mathcal{R} f \mathcal{L} g \mathcal{R} h \mathcal{L} e$.

Usually, we write an *E*-square
$$(e, f, g, h, e)$$
 as $\begin{bmatrix} e & f \\ h & g \end{bmatrix}$.

An *E*-square $\begin{bmatrix} e & f \\ h & g \end{bmatrix}$ is said to be *singular* if there exists $k^2 = k$ such that either of the following conditions holds:

$$ek = e, fk = f, ke = h, kf = g$$
 or

$$ke = e, kh = h, ek = f, hk = g.$$

Lemma 2 An *E*-square
$$\begin{bmatrix} e & f \\ h & g \end{bmatrix}$$
 in D_1 is singular if and only it is a semigroup (i.e. rectangular band).

Lemma 2 An *E*-square
$$\begin{bmatrix} e & f \\ h & g \end{bmatrix}$$
 in D_1 is singular if and only it is a semigroup (i.e. rectangular band).
Lemma 3 An *E*-square $\begin{bmatrix} e & f \\ h & g \end{bmatrix}$ in D_1 is singular if and only
 $ek = e, fk = f, ke = h, kf = g$

for some idempotent $k \in E$.

Lemma 2 An *E*-square
$$\begin{bmatrix} e & f \\ h & g \end{bmatrix}$$
 in D_1 is singular if and only it is a semigroup (i.e. rectangular band).

Lemma 3 An *E*-square
$$\begin{bmatrix} e & r \\ h & g \end{bmatrix}$$
 in D_1 is singular if and only

$$ek = e, fk = f, ke = h, kf = g$$

for some idempotent $k \in E$.

Lemma 4 Suppose that f and g are idempotents in End F_n such that fg = h is a rank 1 idempotent. Then in IG(E), we have $\overline{f}\overline{g} = \overline{h}$ if and only if $\overline{f}\overline{g}$ is regular in IG(E). (see the board)

Given to this, it might be convenient for us to consider the maximal subgroup in RIG(E).

Given to this, it might be convenient for us to consider the maximal subgroup in RIG(E).

Proposition 5 Suppose e is a rank 1 idempotent, $f, g \in E$ and \overline{fg} be an element in the maximal subgroup of RIG(E) with identity \overline{e} . Then fg = e implies $\overline{fg} = \overline{e}$. Furthermore, if e = fgh, then either fg or gh is a rank 1 idempotent implies $\overline{e} = \overline{fgh}$.

Given to this, it might be convenient for us to consider the maximal subgroup in RIG(E).

Proposition 5 Suppose e is a rank 1 idempotent, $f, g \in E$ and \overline{fg} be an element in the maximal subgroup of RIG(E) with identity \overline{e} . Then fg = e implies $\overline{fg} = \overline{e}$. Furthermore, if e = fgh, then either fg or gh is a rank 1 idempotent implies $\overline{e} = \overline{fgh}$.

Recently, we are working on a special case: n = 3 and |G| = 2 by using elementary methods. (see the board)