

References

- Carlson, Greg N. 1977a. *Reference to kinds in English*. New York and London: Garland Publishing, INC. Published 1980.
- Carlson, Greg N. 1977b. A unified analysis of the English bare plural. *Linguistics and Philosophy* 1:413–458.
- Chierchia, Gennaro. 1998. Reference to kinds across languages. *Natural Language Semantics* 6:339–405.
- Condoravdi, Cleo. 1992. Strong and weak novelty and familiarity. In *Proceedings of the Second Conference on Semantics and linguistic theory*, 17–37.
- Kratzer, Angelika. 1980. Die Analyse des blossen Plurals bei Gregory Carlson. *Linguistische Berichte* 70:47–50.
- Krifka, Manfred. 2004. Bare NPs: kind-referring, indefinites, both, or neither? In *Proceedings of SALT 13*. Ithica, New York: CLC Publications, Cornell University.
- Partee, Barbara H. 1985. “Dependent plurals” are distinct from bare plurals. U. Mass Ms.
- Sauerland, Uli, Jan Anderssen, and Kazuko Yatsushiro. 2005. The plural is semantically unmarked. In *Linguistic evidence*, ed. S. Kesper and M. Reis. Berlin: Mouton de Gruyter.
- Spector, Benjamin. 2007. Aspects of the pragmatics of plural morphology: on higher-order implicatures. In *Presupposition and implicature in compositional semantics*, ed. Uli Sauerland and Penka Stateva, 243–281. Palgrave-Macmillan.
- Zweig, Eytan. 2005. The implications of dependent plurals. In *Proceedings of NELS 36*, ed. Christopher Davis, Amy Rose Deal, and Youri Zabbal. Amherst: GLSA.
- Zweig, Eytan. 2008. Dependent plurals and plural meaning. Doctoral Dissertation, New York University.