The Lexical Encoding of Novel Phonological Features: Discrimination of Arabic Phonemes by Native English Speakers

Introduction

- Second language (L2) learners often exhibit difficulties perceiving novel phonemic contrasts
- It may be more difficult for learners to distinguish between novel contrasts when lexical access is required (Curtin et al. 1998, Hayes-Harb & Masuda 2008)
- On the other hand (Pater 2003), learners distinguished between novel contrasts equally well when lexical access was required as they did when not required
 - This was only the case when task was an AXB discrimination task consisting of sound-picture-sound (SPS) stimuli
 - When discrimination task consisted of picture-sound-picture (PSP) stimuli, discrimination was at-chance levels
- This mixed finding suggests that the nature of the task is crucial Hayes-Harb & Masuda (2008) speculate that learners may not initially store the relevant features of novel phonemes but instead may store them as being "strange" versions of familiar L1 phoneme If this is the case, then the "strangeness" of the phones could be used to distinguish between the two phones in an auditory task This relative strangeness account may explain Pater's findings. When two auditory forms are presented the learner could compare
- them for strangeness
- When only one sound is presented, learners could not compare relative strangeness of the sounds
- First research question: To what extent does task type affect learners' ability to discriminate between novel contrasts? When both phones are presented in the task, are learners able to more accurately distinguish between the phones than when only one phone is presented?
- This study utilizes the Arabic /t/- /t^c/ phonemes as the novel contrast
- Vowel context has been found to affect learners' ability to perceive pharyngeal contrasts (Bolewicz et al. 2009)
- The following vowel was found to have a significant effect on the perception of the contrast (i.e., $t^a > t^i > t^u$)
- Vowel context may affect learners' ability to perceive the contrast in PSP and SPS task types
- Second research question: Does vowel context interact with task type to facilitate learners' ability to perceive contrasts?

Participants

- 30 native English speakers (15 per task condition)
- No prior knowledge of Arabic, 18+ years old, recruited from courses at the University of Utah
- Normal-hearing with no neurological disorders or medication affecting motor skills

Stimuli

- Eighteen Arabic non-words consisting of six target and three filler minimal pairs
 - Each stimulus was associated with a distinct picture



Aaron Wood & Asmaa Shehata

Department of Linguistics

University of Utah

- Six target pairs were distinguished only by pharyngealization (i.e. /tik/-/t[°]ik/)
- Three filler pairs distinguished by phonemes present in both Arabic and English (i.e. /baf/-/maf/)
- Three native Arabic speakers produced the stimuli
- 54 unique non-word stimuli (18 non-words x 3 talkers= 54 nonwords)

Procedure

- SPS Task Participants underwent a word learning phase: subjects learned to associate the Arabic non-words with pictures Each word was presented six times
- Criterion task: Participants were presented with an AXB discrimination task consisting of a sound-picture-sound (SPS)
- Sounds were not minimal pairs
- Participants required to correctly associate word-picture pairings with at least 90% accuracy before proceeding with task
- Participants registered their responses by pressing buttons on a keyboard

/t[°]ik/

Final task: Participants presented with an AXB task where A and B were minimal pairs and X was a picture associated with either A or B

/t°ik/

In each of the AXB groups presented, A and B were produced by different talkers

/tik/

- The AXB items presented in random order in a block Each AXB group was presented twice in the block and the block was presented four times, for a total of 144 trials PSP Task
- Identical SPS task, except the AXB tasks consisted of picture-soundpicture (PSP) items instead of sound-picture-sound

/t°ik/

Results

- Proportion correct calculated for each participant individually Participants were significantly more accurate at discriminating familiar /m/-/b/ contrasts than novel /t/-/t^c/contrasts (Wilcoxon)
- signed ranks test: z=-4.612 , p<.005)
- No significant difference was found between task conditions (F(1,28)=1.939, p=.175)

Target-Filler 🔲 Target 🔲 Filler 0.20 -Significant effect of vowel context on subjects' ability to perceive the novel contrast (F(2,66)=6.239, p=.004) No significant interaction of vowel context with task conditions (F(2,66) < 1)Effect of vowels n.s. between task conditions (I<A<U, A:p=.100;</p> I:p=.424; U: p=.533) owel Contex /maf/ Conclusions L2 learners did not replicate Pater's findings in PSP and SPS conditions Vowel context was not found to interact with task type More follow up is needed to see if Pater's results can be replicated Acknowledgments We are grateful for the contributions of the native speakers of Arabic who produced the experimental stimuli; Jeff Green, Bill Roundy, and Caitlin Wood for assistance in data collection; Other members of the Speech Acquisition Lab for their input; And Rachel Hayes-Harb for her assistance and expertise with this experiment. References Bolewicz, K., A. Zaba & R. Hayes-Harb. (2009). Exploiting familiar contrasts to perceive novel contrasts: The perception of Arabic pharyngealization contrasts by native English speakers. Second Language Research Forum. East Lansing, MI October-November Curtin, S., Goad, H., & Pater, J. V. (1998). Phonological transfer and levels of representation: The perceptual acquisition of Thai voice and aspiration by English and French speakers. Second Language Research, 14(4), 389–405. Hayes-Harb, R., & Masuda, K. (2008). Development of the ability to lexically encode novel L2 phonemic contrast. Second Language Research, 24(1), 3–33. , J. (2003). The perceptual acquisition of Thai phonology by English speakers: Task and stimulus effects. Second Language Research, 19, 209–223.



