

A common framework for
restriction semigroups and
regular $*$ -semigroups.

Peter Jones
Marquette University

At The University of York
November 22, 2010

Background on left restriction semigroups, aka weakly left E -ample semigroups.

One view: consider the semigroup of partial transformations \mathcal{PT}_X on a set as a unary semigroup under the additional unary operation $+$, where $\alpha^+ = 1_{\text{dom}\alpha}$. The left restriction semigroups are the abstractions of the (unary) semigroups of partial transformations. Notice that the set E of partial identity maps is a semilattice that is a proper subset of the set of idempotents of \mathcal{PT}_X .

An alternative view is that S is a semigroup with a designated subsemilattice E of idempotents, every element a is $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_E$ -related to a unique member a^+ of E (S is weakly left E -adequate), $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_E$ is a left congruence and the left ample condition $ae = (ae)^+a$ is satisfied for all $e \in E$.

Either way, as unary semigroups they are defined by the identities [Cockett and Lack, 2002; Gould “notes” 2009]:

$$x^+x = x, \quad x^+x^+ = x^+, \quad (xy)^+ = (xy^+)^+,$$

$$x^+y^+ = y^+x^+, \quad xy^+ = (xy)^+x.$$

Within this variety is the quasivariety of left ample semigroups – where $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_E = \mathcal{L}^*$ – which correspond to the (unary) semigroups of one-one transformations.

Every inverse semigroup $(S, \cdot, {}^{-1})$ induces a left restriction semigroup by setting $a^+ = aa^{-1}$; and dually, it induces a right restriction semigroup by setting $a^* = a^{-1}a$.

A restriction semigroup is a 'bi-unary' semigroup $(S, \cdot, +, *)$, the operations being attached to a common subsemilattice E . So every inverse semigroup induces a restriction semigroup.

At the opposite extreme, every monoid $(S, \cdot, 1)$ induces a restriction semigroup by setting $a^+ = 1 = a^*$.

Generalizing restriction semigroups.

First of all, we want to retain ‘adequacy’. In the past, this was approached by allowing E to be a band instead of a semilattice.

Rather than using E itself as the focus, we consider semigroups obtained by inducing one or both of the operations $a^+ = aa^{-1}$ and $a^* = a^{-1}a$ from a ‘nice’ class of semigroups endowed with an inversion operation.

Now E is just the set of ‘projections’, so we prefer to denote it P_S .

A *regular *-semigroup* [Nordahl and Scheiblich, 1978] is a semigroup $(S, \cdot, {}^{-1})$ with a regular involution:

$$\begin{aligned}
 xx^{-1}x &= x, & x^{-1}xx^{-1} &= x^{-1} \\
 (x^{-1})^{-1} &= x, & (xy)^{-1} &= y^{-1}x^{-1}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Induced operations are obtained as above. Now $P_S = \{a^+ : a \in S\} = \{a^* : a \in S\}$ is the usual set of projections, in the standard terminology.

The induced unary semigroup $(S, \cdot, {}^+)$ satisfies:

$$\begin{aligned}
 x^+x &= x, & x^+x^+ &= x^+, & (xy)^+ &= (xy^+)^+, \\
 (x^+y)^+ &= x^+y^+x^+.
 \end{aligned}$$

The last identity is purely a consequence of the involutory property.

I call such unary semigroups *left P-Ehresmann semigroups*, modelled on the term for left restriction semigroups ‘minus the left ample condition’. The right *P-Ehresmann semigroups* are the duals $(S, \cdot, *)$.

P-Ehresmann semigroups are the obvious bi-unary semigroups $(S, \cdot, +, *)$.

The bi-unary semigroup $(S, \cdot, +, *)$ induced from a regular $*$ -semigroup $(S, \cdot, {}^{-1})$ also satisfies the ‘generalized left and right ample’ identities

$$(xy)^+x = xy^+x^*, \quad x(yx)^* = x^+y^*x.$$

Again, these are consequences of the involutory property only.

A *P-restriction semigroup* is a *P-Ehresmann semigroup* that, in addition, satisfies the generalized ample identities.

Projection algebras and the generalized Munn representation

There are useful one-sided versions of the Munn representation for P -Ehresmann semigroups, but the best outcome is for P -restriction semigroups.

The Munn representation for an *inverse* semigroup $(S, \cdot, {}^{-1})$ maps each $a \in S$ to the isomorphism

$$\theta_a : (aa^{-1})\downarrow \longrightarrow (a^{-1}a)\downarrow$$

between principal ideals of the semilattice E_S that is defined by conjugation:

$$e\theta_a = a^{-1}ea = (ea)^{-1}ea.$$

This definition has a natural interpretation in the induced restriction semigroup $(S, \cdot, \dagger, *)$, which also makes sense for P -restriction semigroups: define

$$\theta_a : a^\dagger \downarrow \longrightarrow a^* \downarrow$$

by

$$e\theta_a = (ea)^*.$$

Then θ_a is an order isomorphism between principal ideals of the poset of projections, with inverse ϕ_a , given by

$$f\phi_a = (af)^\dagger$$

.

The projection algebra of a P -restriction semigroup.

In the case of inverse semigroups (and of restriction semigroups), the Munn semigroup comprises isomorphisms between principal ideals, and it is a subsemigroup of the symmetric inverse semigroup on the semilattice E .

- In the case of P -restriction semigroups, the operation cannot be composition, because this would force projections to commute.
- How can θ_a and ϕ_a be regarded as isomorphisms? How can the set of projections be regarded as an algebra?

The set P_S of projections on any left P -Ehresmann semigroup $(S, \cdot, +)$ is turned into a ‘left projection algebra’ (P_S, \times) by virtue of the last defining identity: put

$$e \times f = efe.$$

Dually, on any right P -Ehresmann semigroup $(S, \cdot, *)$, (P_S, \star) becomes a right projection algebra under:

$$e \star f = fef.$$

And on a (two-sided) P -Ehresmann semigroup, (P_S, \times, \star) becomes a ‘projection algebra’.

Here are the axioms for a right projection algebra:

1. $e \star e = e;$

2. $(f \star e) \star e = e \star (f \star e) = f \star e;$

3. $g \star (f \star e) = ((g \star e) \star f) \star e;$

4. $(g \star f) \star e = ((g \star f) \star e) \star (f \star e).$

Let (P, \times, \star) be a projection algebra.

The ‘generalized Munn semigroup’, T_P , consists of the isomorphisms between the principal ideals of P .

Its binary operation is a ‘sandwich’ product in the symmetric inverse semigroup on P .*

*If α has range $f\downarrow$ and β has domain $g\downarrow$, then $\alpha\star\beta$ is the product $\alpha\pi_{g,f}\beta$ in the symmetric inverse semigroup, where

$$\pi_{g,f} : (g\star f)\downarrow \rightarrow (f\star g)\downarrow,$$

$$e\pi_{g,f} = e\star f.$$

Theorem. If P is a projection algebra, then T_P is a fundamental, regular $*$ -semigroup whose projection algebra is isomorphic with P .

Corollary. The projection algebras of P -Ehresmann semigroups, P -restriction semigroups and regular $*$ -semigroups form the same class of algebras.

Theorem. For any P -restriction semigroup $(S, \cdot, +, *)$, the map

$$\theta : a \rightarrow \theta_a$$

defines a $(+, *)$ -preserving representation onto a full subsemigroup of T_{P_S} , which induces an isomorphism between their respective projection algebras.

If S is the P -restriction semigroup induced from a regular $*$ -semigroup, then θ preserves the operation of inversion. (Cf [Imaoka, 1981], [Nambooripad and Pastijn, 1981])

Projection sets and adequacy.

Projection algebras provide an abstract characterization of the sets of projections of left, right, or two-sided P -Ehresmann semigroups.

To describe left P -Ehresmann semigroups in terms of ‘adequacy’, an internal characterization of the sets of projections is needed.

Theorem. A semigroup S is a left P -Ehresmann semigroup if and only if it is weakly left P -adequate with respect to a set P of idempotents that satisfies

$$(ef)^2 = ef \text{ and } efe \in P, \text{ for all } e, f \in P,$$

and the relation $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_P$ is a left congruence.

If S is a left P -Ehresmann semigroup and P_S is a band, then it is a right regular band and the operation on the projection algebra is just the product in the band. This occurs if, for example, $P_S = E_S$, or if S satisfies the left ample identity.

So an E -Ehresmann semigroup is just a P -Ehresmann semigroup with P_S a subsemilattice; and a restriction semigroup is a P -restriction semigroup with P_S a subsemilattice.

References:

A common framework for regular $$ -semigroups and restriction semigroups*, submitted.

Weak P -abundance and P -adequacy: a general analysis in varietal terms, manuscript.