Patterning ferromagnetism in Ni$_{80}$Fe$_{20}$ films via Ga$^+$ ion irradiation
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We demonstrate that focused Ga$^+$ ion irradiation can comprehensively modify the ferromagnetic properties of Ni$_{80}$Fe$_{20}$ thin films. Magneto-optic Kerr effect measurements at room temperature and magnetoresistance measurements at temperatures between 1.5 and 270 K characterized the irradiation effects. Irradiation steadily reduced the films’ room temperature coercivity, and a dose of $1.0 \times 10^{16}$ ions/cm$^2$ at 30 keV was found sufficient to cause a loss of ferromagnetism at room temperature in films of thickness up to 15.5 nm. In situ end-point detection and postirradiation atomic force microscopy confirmed that the sputtering which accompanied doses up to $1.0 \times 10^{16}$ ions/cm$^2$ did not compromise the protective caps on these Ni$_{80}$Fe$_{20}$ films. We therefore conclude that the modification of ferromagnetic properties occurred primarily because of direct Ga$^+$ ion implantation. From these results, we speculate that focused Ga$^+$ ion irradiation could be a convenient tool for the nanoscale patterning of magnetic properties in 3d transition metal thin films.


In reports over the last three years, ion irradiation has emerged as a promising tool for the nanoscale patterning of highly structured magnetic thin film systems such as ultrathin Co/Pt multilayers$^{1–8}$ and chemically-ordered FePt superlattices.$^{8–10}$ In this letter, we demonstrate the promise of ion irradiation for patterning two important thin film systems that are not so highly structured and thus so fragile with respect to irradiation: Ni$_{80}$Fe$_{20}$ and Ni$_{80}$Fe$_{20}$/Cu/Ni$_{80}$Fe$_{20}$. Specifically, we demonstrate that focused 30 keV Ga$^+$ irradiation can comprehensively modify the magnetic properties of Ni$_{80}$Fe$_{20}$ ($\approx 15.5$ nm) thin films up to and including rendering them non-ferromagnetic at room temperature. (Previous research on the ion irradiation of Ni$_{80}$Fe$_{20}$ has used films that were an order of magnitude thicker than those used here and thus found comparatively more minor irradiation effects.$^{11}$)

The samples employed were a series of Ni$_{80}$Fe$_{20}$(15.5 nm)/Ni$_{80}$Cr$_{20}$(9.0 nm) films and Ni$_{80}$Fe$_{20}$(9.0 nm)/Cu(8.0 nm)/Ni$_{80}$Fe$_{20}$(9.0 nm)/Ni$_{80}$Cr$_{20}$(9.0 nm) trilayers grown by thermal evaporation at $<10^{-6}$ mbar onto room-temperature GaAs(100). The samples were then homogeneously irradiated with a commercial 30 keV Ga$^+$ focused ion beam system (FEI Corporation 200 xP$^0$ focused ion beam workstation).

Such Ga$^+$ ion irradiation can sputter 3d transition metal targets rather quickly. The relatively thick 9.0 nm cap of Ni$_{80}$Cr$_{20}$ was chosen to ensure that the ions did not sputter through the underlying magnetic film. Ion beam sputtering was monitored in situ by end-point detection.$^{12}$ With this method, it was determined that a dose of $2.3 \times 10^{16}$ ions/cm$^2$ sputtered away the entire 9.0 nm Ni$_{80}$Cr$_{20}$ cap. Computer simulations using the TRIM-90 program by Ziegler and Biersack$^{13}$ closely corroborated this empirical figure, calculating that $2.0 \times 10^{16}$ ions/cm$^2$ on average would completely remove the cap. The maximum dose used in these experiments was only $1.0 \times 10^{16}$ ions/cm$^2$, and therefore the remaining Ni$_{80}$Cr$_{20}$ cap should have always been ample, having a mean thickness between 4.5 and 5.2 nm.

Ion-induced roughening of the cap, potentially a major concern as it hypothetically could have compromised the cap with pinholes, was not a problem. Atomic force microscopy confirmed that the ion beam did not significantly roughen the surface of the targets. At the doses used ($\approx 1.0 \times 10^{16}$ ions/cm$^2$) the root-mean-square (rms) roughness of the irradiated regions never exceeded 0.9 nm. In comparison, the rms roughness of the samples as grown averaged 0.7 nm. Given that at the maximum dose used, $1.0 \times 10^{16}$ ions/cm$^2$, both in situ end-point detection and computer simulations imply that $\approx 4.5$ nm of Ni$_{80}$Cr$_{20}$ cap remained on average.
Figure 1 outlines how irradiation reduced the room temperature MOKE response of the Ni$_{80}$Fe$_{20}$ (15.5 nm)/Ni$_{80}$Cr$_{20}$ (9.0 nm) films to nil within experimental accuracy. All samples dosed with $\leq 8.5 \times 10^{15}$ ions/cm$^2$ possessed a clearly hysteretic MOKE response. At a dose of $1.0 \times 10^{16}$ ions/cm$^2$, which was the next highest dose performed, there was a complete absence of any measurable hysteresis. Therefore, the minimum dose necessary to destroy ferromagnetism at room temperature in the Ni$_{80}$Fe$_{20}$ (15.5 nm)/Ni$_{80}$Cr$_{20}$ (9.0 nm) films employed here was between $8.5 \times 10^{15}$ and $1.0 \times 10^{16}$ ions/cm$^2$.

The effect of lower doses on room temperature magnetic properties is best seen in the inset to Fig. 1, which plots all the coercivities obtained by MOKE. The coercivity of the films steadily decreased as the ion dose was increased through the entire investigated range of $6.0 \times 10^{14}$–$1.0 \times 10^{16}$ ions/cm$^2$.

Magnetoresistance measurements characterized irradiation effects on low temperature behavior. Figure 2 depicts AMR measurements performed at 40 K on the same samples depicted in Fig. 1. The data of Fig. 2 contain three notable results.

First, by 40 K, ferromagnetism was clearly regained in the Ni$_{80}$Fe$_{20}$ (15.5 nm)/Ni$_{80}$Cr$_{20}$ (9.0 nm) film dosed to $1.0 \times 10^{16}$ ions/cm$^2$. This sample, which lacked any measurable hysteretic MOKE response at room temperature, demonstrated a readily measurable hysteretic AMR response at 40 K. However, irradiation had reduced the AMR ratio $\Delta R/R_{H=500 \text{ Oe}}$ by over fivefold with respect to the control, while it had increased the baseline electrical resistance, $R_{H=500 \text{ Oe}}$, only by 1.8%.

Second, the samples dosed with $\geq 8.5 \times 10^{15}$ ions/cm$^2$ possessed much wider AMR responses at 40 K than the control. This is notable because room-temperature MOKE (Fig. 1) measured these highly dosed samples to have lower coercivities than the control. This implies that irradiation reduced the coercive force despite inducing pinning defects. At the same time, the dramatic reduction of Kerr angle in Fig. 1 and AMR ratio in Fig. 2 imply that compositional change resulting from ion implantation reduced the exchange interaction. Such an exchange-reducing compositional change could cause a room temperature coercivity reduction despite the introduction of pinning defects. However, possibly also playing a significant role in the observed magnetization reversal trends could be a reduction of the planar magnetcocrystalline anisotropy due to ion-induced displacement cascades that amorphize the sample.

Third, all samples dosed with $\leq 8.5 \times 10^{15}$ ions/cm$^2$ possessed $R_{H=500 \text{ Oe}}$ values significantly lower than that of the control sample. This may seem surprising, as the main effect of such doses was likely to amorphize the film through displacement cascades. However, such amorphization could potentially reduce resistance relative to the control sample if the control had nanometer scale gaps that could be erased by the nanometer-scale displacements induced by the irradiation. This assumption is plausible given that the control sample was deposited onto a room-temperature substrate without undergoing subsequent annealing. Such a mechanism can explain why resistance drops with the lowest doses and then increases with dose. There are hundreds of displacements per incident Ga$^+$ ion. As there are $\approx 10^{17}$ atoms/cm$^2$ in the Ni$_{80}$Fe$_{20}$ (15.5 nm)/Ni$_{80}$Cr$_{20}$ (9.0 nm) film targets, even a low dose of just $\approx 10^{15}$ ions/cm$^2$ will have essentially displaced every single atom from its original lattice site. Hence, low doses ($\approx 10^{15}$ ions/cm$^2$) should have fully achieved whatever benefit amorphization provided. As the dose increased, the growing ion implantation reversed the beneficial effects of these first ion-induced displacements.

Note that calculations show that the actual heating of the...
sample by the ion beam is negligible, and thus the ion beam should not have induced any conventional annealing.)

Further corroboration that 30 keV Ga\(^+\) ion irradiation could dramatically reduce the Curie point of Ni\(_{80}\)Fe\(_{20}\) films came from electrical measurements at 1.5 K on Ni\(_{80}\)Fe\(_{20}\) (9.0 nm)/Cu (8.0 nm)/Ni\(_{80}\)Fe\(_{20}\) (9.0 nm)/Ni\(_{80}\)Cr\(_{20}\) (9.0 nm) homogeneously irradiated with 30 keV Ga\(^+\) ions. Traces from different doses are offset for clarity. The applied field was always parallel to the current and in the plane of the mesa.

The remarkable aspect of Fig. 3 is not that the GMR response steadily decreases with increasing ion dose. Ion-induction displacement cascades compromising the Ni\(_{80}\)Fe\(_{20}\)/Cu interfaces readily explain this steady decrease. Instead, the remarkable aspect of Fig. 3 is the width of AMR response. The AMR response, which became more and more visible as GMR vanishes, first broadened with dose and then suddenly narrowed at a dose of 1.0 \times 10^{16} ions/cm\(^2\).

This trend in the AMR cannot be explained by any alteration of the Ni\(_{80}\)Fe\(_{20}\)/Cu interfaces. It also seems counter-intuitive. More ion irradiation should have meant more pinning defects and thus a higher saturating field and a wider AMR response. The AMR response, which became more and more visible as GMR vanishes, first broadened with dose and then suddenly narrowed at a dose of 1.0 \times 10^{16} ions/cm\(^2\).

In conclusion, we have demonstrated that focused Ga\(^+\) irradiation can comprehensively modify the magnetic properties of Ni\(_{80}\)Fe\(_{20}\) (=15.5 nm) films, up to and including rendering them non-ferromagnetic at room temperature. We estimate that the beam used here could attain \(<\pm 30\) nm resolution with a dosage ratio of 100:1 between “dosed” and “undosed” regions given that it has a full-width-at-half-maximum of 8 nm and that TRIM-90 simulations predict that ion lateral straggling in Ni\(_{80}\)Fe\(_{20}\) (15.5 nm)/Ni\(_{80}\)Cr\(_{20}\) (9.0 nm) films is only 3.2 \pm 0.2 nm (mean \pm standard deviation).

12 That is, a picocoulomb meter monitors beam—sample stage current. In a multilayer target, when the beam sputters completely through one layer to reveal a new material, there will be a sudden, sharp change in this current. This effect allows one to determine what dose is necessary to destroy each layer.
13 Ion ranges were calculated with TRIM-90 computer program, J. F. Ziegler and J. P. Biersack (1990); algorithm presented in J. P. Biersack and L. Haggmark, Nucl. Instrum. Methods 174, 257 (1980) (TRIM program made available for PCs by J. F. Ziegler, Ziegler@usa.edu).