Neoclassical transport

Dr Ben Dudson

Department of Physics, University of York Heslington, York YO10 5DD, UK

28th January 2013

- Toroidal devices such as the Stellarator and Tokamak
- Need for a rotational transform to short out the vertical electric field caused by the ∇B drift
- This can either be created using shaped coils (Stellarators) or by running a current in the plasma (Tokamaks)

- Toroidal devices such as the Stellarator and Tokamak
- Need for a rotational transform to short out the vertical electric field caused by the ∇B drift
- This can either be created using shaped coils (Stellarators) or by running a current in the plasma (Tokamaks)
- Calculated the "classical" transport of particles and energy out of a tokamak. This gave a wildly unrealistic confinement
- This lecture we'll look at one reason why...

Particle trapping

In a tokamak, the magnetic field varies with the major radius



Particle trapping

In a tokamak, the magnetic field varies with the major radius



There is now a minimum in the *B* field at the outboard (large R) side of the tokamak \Rightarrow Trapped particles.

The study of these particles and their effect is called NEOCLASSICAL THEORY.

Large aspect-ratio approximation

A useful approximation is that the variation in major radius R is small, and that the toroidal field is much bigger than the poloidal field.



For a circular cross-section of radius r, the major radius varies like

$$R = R_0 + r \cos \theta = R_0 \left(1 + \epsilon \cos \theta \right)$$

 $\epsilon = r/R_0$ is inverse aspect ratio

Hence

$$B \simeq \frac{B_0}{1 + \epsilon \cos \theta}$$

For small $\epsilon \ll 1$

 $B\simeq B_0\left(1-\epsilon\cos heta
ight)$

- If there is no electrostatic potential ϕ , Kinetic energy $\frac{1}{2}mv^2$ is conserved (i.e. speed is conserved)
- Magnetic magnetic moment also conserved $\mu = m v_{\perp}^2/2B$

- If there is no electrostatic potential ϕ , Kinetic energy $\frac{1}{2}mv^2$ is conserved (i.e. speed is conserved)
- Magnetic magnetic moment also conserved $\mu = mv_{\perp}^2/2B$ Define velocity at the outboard side ($\theta = 0$): $v_{\perp 0}$ and $v_{||0}$. K.E. conserved

$$v^2 = v_{||}^2 + v_{\perp}^2 = v_{||0}^2 + v_{\perp 0}^2 \qquad \Rightarrow v_{||}^2 = v^2 \left(1 - \frac{v_{\perp}^2}{v^2}\right)$$

Conservation of $\boldsymbol{\mu}$

$$\frac{v_{\perp}^2}{B_0 \left(1 - \epsilon \cos \theta\right)} = \frac{v_{\perp 0}^2}{B_0 \left(1 - \epsilon\right)}$$
$$v_{\parallel}^2 = v^2 \left(1 - \frac{v_{\perp 0}^2}{v^2} \frac{1 - \epsilon \cos \theta}{1 - \epsilon}\right) = v^2 \left(1 - \frac{v_{\perp 0}^2}{v^2} \left[1 + \epsilon \left(1 - \cos \theta\right)\right]\right)$$

- If there is no electrostatic potential ϕ , Kinetic energy $\frac{1}{2}mv^2$ is conserved (i.e. speed is conserved)
- Magnetic magnetic moment also conserved $\mu = mv_{\perp}^2/2B$ Define velocity at the outboard side ($\theta = 0$): $v_{\perp 0}$ and $v_{||0}$. K.E. conserved

$$v^2 = v_{||}^2 + v_{\perp}^2 = v_{||0}^2 + v_{\perp 0}^2 \qquad \Rightarrow v_{||}^2 = v^2 \left(1 - \frac{v_{\perp}^2}{v^2}\right)$$

Conservation of $\boldsymbol{\mu}$

$$\frac{v_{\perp}^2}{B_0 \left(1 - \epsilon \cos \theta\right)} = \frac{v_{\perp 0}^2}{B_0 \left(1 - \epsilon\right)}$$
$$v_{||}^2 = v^2 \left(1 - \frac{v_{\perp 0}^2}{v^2} \frac{1 - \epsilon \cos \theta}{1 - \epsilon}\right) = v^2 \left(1 - \frac{v_{\perp 0}^2}{v^2} \left[1 + \epsilon \left(1 - \cos \theta\right)\right]\right)$$
$$v_{||}^2 = v^2 \left(1 - \frac{v_{\perp 0}^2}{v^2} \left[1 + 2\epsilon \sin^2 \left(\theta/2\right)\right]\right)$$

$$v_{||}^{2} = v^{2} \left(1 - \frac{v_{\perp 0}^{2}}{v^{2}} \left[1 + 2\epsilon \sin^{2} \left(\theta/2 \right) \right] \right)$$

If $v_{||}^2 < 0$ for any θ then a particle is trapped. Therefore,

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{if} \quad v_{||}^2 \left(\theta = \pi \right) \leq 0 \quad \Rightarrow \text{Particle is trapped} \\ \frac{v_{\perp 0}^2}{v^2} \left[1 + 2\epsilon \right] \geq 1 \quad \Rightarrow \frac{v_{\perp 0}}{v} \geq 1 - \epsilon \quad \text{For trapped particles} \end{array}$$



 $\frac{v^2}{v_{\perp 0}^2} \le 1 + 2\epsilon \quad \Rightarrow \frac{v_{\perp 0}^2 + v_{||0}^2}{v_{\perp 0}^2} \le 1 + 2\epsilon$ $\boxed{\frac{v_{||0}}{v_{\perp 0}} \le \sqrt{2\epsilon}}$

As particles move around the torus, their orbits drift. We have already come across the ∇B drift:

$$\underline{v}_{\nabla B} = rac{v_{\perp}^2}{2\Omega} rac{\underline{B} \times \nabla \underline{B}}{B^2}$$

but there is also the curvature drift



As particles move around the torus, their orbits drift. We have already come across the ∇B drift:

$$\underline{v}_{\nabla B} = \frac{v_{\perp}^2}{2\Omega} \frac{\underline{B} \times \nabla \underline{B}}{B^2}$$

In the frame of the particle, there is a centrifugal force

but there is also the curvature drift

$$F_R = \frac{m v_{||}^2 \underline{R}_C}{R_C^2}$$



$$\underline{v}_{R} = \frac{1}{q} \frac{\left(m v_{||}^{2} \underline{R}_{C} / R_{C}^{2} \right) \times \underline{B}}{B^{2}}$$
$$= \frac{m}{qB} \frac{v_{||}^{2} \underline{R}_{C} \times \underline{B}}{R_{C}^{2} B} = \frac{v_{||}^{2}}{\Omega} \frac{\underline{R}_{C} \times \underline{B}}{R_{C}^{2} B}$$



So how big are these drifts, and what direction are they in?

$$\underline{v}_{\nabla B} = \frac{v_{\perp}^2}{2\Omega} \frac{\underline{B} \times \nabla \underline{B}}{B^2}$$
$$\underline{\underline{B}} \simeq B_0 \underline{\underline{e}}_{\phi} \quad B_0 \propto \frac{1}{R} \Rightarrow \nabla B \simeq -\frac{B_0}{R} \nabla R$$
$$\frac{\underline{B} \times \nabla \underline{B}}{B^2} \simeq \frac{-\underline{e}_{\phi} \times \nabla R}{R} = \frac{\underline{e}_Z}{R}$$
$$\Rightarrow \underline{v}_{\nabla B} = \frac{v_{\perp}^2}{2\Omega R} \underline{\underline{e}}_Z$$

So how big are these drifts, and what direction are they in?

$$\underline{v}_{\nabla B} = \frac{v_{\perp}^{2}}{2\Omega} \frac{\underline{B} \times \nabla \underline{B}}{B^{2}} \qquad \underline{v}_{R} = \frac{v_{\parallel}^{2}}{\Omega} \frac{\underline{R}_{C} \times \underline{B}}{R^{2}B}$$

$$\underline{\underline{B}} \simeq B_{0} \underline{\underline{e}}_{\phi} \quad B_{0} \propto \frac{1}{R} \Rightarrow \nabla B \simeq -\frac{B_{0}}{R} \nabla R \qquad \underline{R} = R \nabla R$$

$$\frac{\underline{B} \times \nabla \underline{B}}{B^{2}} \simeq \frac{-\underline{\underline{e}}_{\phi} \times \nabla R}{R} = \frac{\underline{\underline{e}}_{Z}}{R} \qquad \underline{v}_{R} = \frac{v_{\parallel}^{2}}{\Omega} \frac{\nabla R \times \underline{\underline{e}}_{\phi}}{R}$$

$$\Rightarrow \underline{v}_{\nabla B} = \frac{v_{\perp}^{2}}{2\Omega R} \underline{\underline{e}}_{Z} \qquad = \frac{v_{\parallel}^{2}}{\Omega} \frac{\underline{e}_{Z}}{R}$$

So how big are these drifts, and what direction are they in? $\underline{v}_{R} = \frac{v_{||}^{2}}{\Omega} \frac{\underline{R}_{C} \times \underline{B}}{R_{-}^{2}B}$ $\underline{v}_{\nabla B} = \frac{v_{\perp}^2}{2\Omega} \frac{\underline{B} \times \nabla \underline{B}}{B^2}$ $R_{C} = R\nabla R$ $\underline{B} \simeq B_0 \underline{e}_{\phi} \quad B_0 \propto \frac{1}{R} \Rightarrow \nabla B \simeq -\frac{B_0}{R} \nabla R$ $\frac{\underline{B} \times \nabla \underline{B}}{\underline{P}^2} \simeq \frac{-\underline{e}_{\phi} \times \nabla R}{\underline{P}} = \frac{\underline{e}_{Z}}{\underline{P}}$ $\underline{v}_{R} = \frac{v_{||}^{2}}{\Omega} \frac{\nabla R \times \underline{e}_{\phi}}{R}$ $\Rightarrow \underline{v}_{\nabla B} = \frac{v_{\perp}^2}{2\Omega P} \underline{e}_Z$ $= \frac{v_{\parallel}^2}{\Omega} \frac{e_Z}{P}$

Total drift is therefore

$$\underline{v}_{\nabla B} + \underline{v}_{R} = \frac{\left(v_{||}^{2} + v_{\perp}^{2}/2\right)}{R\Omega}\underline{e}_{Z}$$

Note that the drift is in the vertical direction and opposite for electrons and ions



Characteristic shape of the orbits in the poloidal plane gives this the name **banana orbit**. The width of this orbit is the banana width, often denoted δr_{bj} or ρ_{bj} with j indicating electrons or ions.

Banana orbit characteristics

Let us calculate the banana width for a **barely trapped** particle i.e. one with a bounce point at $\theta = \pi$, on the inboard side

Time for half an orbit: Velocity along the field-line $v_{||} \sim \sqrt{2\epsilon}v_{\perp}$ is small. Total speed is therefore approximately $v \sim v_{\perp}$. This will be approximately the thermal speed $v \sim v_{th}$.

 \Rightarrow $v_{||} \sim \sqrt{2\epsilon} v_{th}$ For trapped particles



Distance travelled = $2\pi Rq$ so time for a trapped particle to execute half an orbit

$$t_b = \frac{2\pi Rq}{v_{th}\sqrt{2\epsilon}}$$

During this time $t_b = 2\pi Rq / (v_{th}\sqrt{2\epsilon})$, the particle drifts to a new flux surface, a distance δr_b from the original one.

$$\delta r_b = (V_{\nabla B} + V_R) \frac{2\pi Rq}{v_{th}\sqrt{2\epsilon}}$$

During this time $t_b = 2\pi Rq / (v_{th}\sqrt{2\epsilon})$, the particle drifts to a new flux surface, a distance δr_b from the original one.

$$\delta r_b = (V_{\nabla B} + V_R) \frac{2\pi Rq}{v_{th}\sqrt{2\epsilon}} \simeq \frac{1}{R\Omega} \left[\underbrace{2\epsilon v_{th}^2}_{v_{||}^2} + \underbrace{\frac{v_{th}^2}{2}}_{v_{\perp}^2/2} \right] \frac{2\pi Rq}{v_{th}\sqrt{2\epsilon}}$$

During this time $t_b = 2\pi Rq / (v_{th}\sqrt{2\epsilon})$, the particle drifts to a new flux surface, a distance δr_b from the original one.

$$\delta r_b = (V_{\nabla B} + V_R) \frac{2\pi Rq}{v_{th}\sqrt{2\epsilon}} \simeq \frac{1}{R\Omega} \left[\underbrace{2\epsilon v_{th}^2}_{v_{||}^2} + \underbrace{\frac{v_{th}^2}{2}}_{v_{\perp}^2/2} \right] \frac{2\pi Rq}{v_{th}\sqrt{2\epsilon}}$$
$$= \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{2}} \underbrace{\frac{v_{th}}{\Omega}}_{r_L} \frac{(4\epsilon + 1)}{\sqrt{\epsilon}} q \simeq \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{r_L q}{\sqrt{\epsilon}}$$

Note that this is much larger than the Larmor radius r_L \Rightarrow does this provide another transport mechanism?

Collisions

We've already seen collisions, and come across the collision times

$$au_{ei} < au_{ii} \sim \sqrt{rac{m_i}{m_e}}rac{1}{Z^2} < au_{ie} \sim rac{m_i}{m_e} au_{ei}$$

 τ_{jk} average times it takes to change the velocity of particles of species j by 90°, through scattering with species k.

- To take a step of size δr_b , a particle doesn't need to be deflected by 90°. It just needs to be scattered from a trapped into a passing particle.
- To do this, the parallel velocity needs to be changed by $\Delta v_{||} \sim \sqrt{\epsilon} v_{th}$
- The effective collision time is therefore $au_{\rm eff} \sim au\epsilon$

We can also define a collision frequency, which is just $\nu \equiv \frac{1}{\tau}$, and so an effective collision frequency for trapped particles

$$u_{\rm eff} \sim rac{
u}{\epsilon} = rac{1}{ au\epsilon}$$

A useful quantity used in MCF is the **collisionality** ν_* . This is the average number of times a particle is scattered into a passing particle before completing a banana orbit.

$$u_* \equiv rac{t_b}{ au_{eff}}$$

A useful quantity used in MCF is the **collisionality** ν_* . This is the average number of times a particle is scattered into a passing particle before completing a banana orbit.

$$\boxed{\nu_* \equiv \frac{t_b}{\tau_{eff}}} = \frac{\nu}{\epsilon} \frac{Rq}{\sqrt{\epsilon} v_{th}}$$

A useful quantity used in MCF is the **collisionality** ν_* . This is the average number of times a particle is scattered into a passing particle before completing a banana orbit.

$$\nu_* \equiv \frac{t_b}{\tau_{eff}} = \frac{\nu}{\epsilon} \frac{Rq}{\sqrt{\epsilon} v_{th}}$$

$$\nu_* = \frac{\nu Rq}{\epsilon^{3/2} v_{th}}$$

Note that if $\nu_* > 1$ then trapped particles do not complete a full banana orbit before being scattered. Thus trapped particles only exist for $\nu_* < 1$.

Collisionality

For electrons colliding with ions or electrons,

$$\tau_e \propto \frac{m_e^{1/2} T_e^{3/2}}{n} \Rightarrow \nu_{*e} \propto \frac{n R q}{\epsilon^{3/2} T_e^2}$$

For ions colliding with ions (ion-electron negligible)

$$\tau_i \propto \frac{m_i^{1/2} T_e^{3/2}}{n} \Rightarrow \nu_{*i} \propto \frac{n R q}{\epsilon^{3/2} T_i^2}$$

For electrons colliding with ions or electrons,

$$au_e \propto rac{m_e^{1/2} T_e^{3/2}}{n} \Rightarrow
u_{*e} \propto rac{n Rq}{\epsilon^{3/2} T_e^2}$$

For ions colliding with ions (ion-electron negligible)

$$\tau_i \propto \frac{m_i^{1/2} T_e^{3/2}}{n} \Rightarrow \nu_{*i} \propto \frac{nRq}{\epsilon^{3/2} T_i^2}$$

Note that

- The ratio $u_i/
 u_e \sim \sqrt{m_e/m_i} \ll 1$
- Collisionality is independent of mass (\sim equal for ions and electrons)
- $\nu_* \propto n/T^2 \Rightarrow$ very low for hot tokamaks so trapped particles become more important

Neoclassical transport

At low collisionality When $\nu_* \ll 1$, trapped particles exist for many banana orbits. This is called the **banana regime**. After *N* steps in a random direction, particles or energy will diffuse an average of \sqrt{N} steps

$$N\sim rac{t}{ au_{eff}}\sqrt{2\epsilon}$$

Note that N is multiplied by the fraction of trapped particles.

Neoclassical transport

At low collisionality When $\nu_* \ll 1$, trapped particles exist for many banana orbits. This is called the **banana regime**.

After N steps in a random direction, particles or energy will diffuse an average of \sqrt{N} steps

$$N\sim rac{t}{ au_{eff}}\sqrt{2\epsilon}$$

Note that N is multiplied by the fraction of trapped particles. The typical distance energy diffuses in a time t is

$$d_{neo} \sim \sqrt{\frac{t\sqrt{2\epsilon}}{\tau_{eff}}} \delta r_b = \sqrt{\frac{t}{\tau}\sqrt{\frac{2}{\epsilon}}} \delta r_b \simeq \underbrace{\frac{\pi}{2^{1/4}} \frac{q}{\epsilon^{3/4}}}_{\sim 10-30} \underbrace{\sqrt{\frac{t}{\tau_{ii}}} r_{Li}}_{\text{Classical result}}$$

- Classical transport ightarrow needed minor radius $r\sim$ 14cm
- Neoclassical transport increases this by \sim 10.
- ITER (expected Q = 10) has a minor radius of $\sim 2m$.
- Most transport in tokamaks is anomalous, due to turbulence

Consider a volume of plasma containing a particle density n and energy density nT

Flux of particles is $\underline{\Gamma}$

Flux of energy is \underline{Q}

Consider a volume of plasma containing a particle density n and energy density nT

Flux of particles is $\underline{\Gamma}$ Flux of energy is \underline{Q} Continuity gives $\frac{\partial n}{\partial t} = -\nabla \cdot \underline{\Gamma} \qquad \qquad \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (nT) = -\nabla \cdot \underline{Q}$

Consider a volume of plasma containing a particle density n and energy density nT

Flux of particles is Γ Flux of energy is QContinuity gives $\frac{\partial n}{\partial t} = -\nabla \cdot \underline{\Gamma}$ $\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(nT) = -\nabla \cdot \underline{Q}$ Diffusive process: flux \propto gradient (Fick's law) $|\underline{\Gamma} = -D\nabla n|$ $\left|\underline{Q}=-n\chi\nabla T\right|$ $\frac{\partial n}{\partial t} = \nabla \cdot (D\nabla n)$ $\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(nT) = \nabla \cdot (n\chi \nabla T)$ so if D is approximately constant: Assuming *n* constant:

$$rac{\partial n}{\partial t} = D
abla^2 n$$

 $\frac{\partial T}{\partial t} = \nabla \cdot (\chi \nabla T)$

- From the units of D and χ (L^2/T), they must be the step size squared over the step time.
- For classical transport,

$$D_i = D_e \sim r_{Le}^2/ au_{ei} \simeq 3 imes 10^{-4} m^2/s$$

 $\chi_i \sim r_{Li}^2/\tau_{ii} \simeq 2 \times 10^{-2} m^2/s \quad \chi_e \sim r_{Le}^2/\tau_{ei} \simeq 3 \times 10^{-4} m^2/s$

- From the units of D and χ (L^2/T), they must be the step size squared over the step time.
- For classical transport,

$$D_i = D_e \sim r_{Le}^2 / \tau_{ei} \simeq 3 \times 10^{-4} m^2 / s$$
$$\chi_i \sim r_{Li}^2 / \tau_{ii} \simeq 2 \times 10^{-2} m^2 / s \quad \chi_e \sim r_{Le}^2 / \tau_{ei} \simeq 3 \times 10^{-4} m^2 / s$$

• For neoclassical transport,

$$\chi_i \sim \sqrt{2\epsilon} \delta r_{bi}^2 / \tau_{eff} \sim 0.4 m^2 / s$$
$$\chi_e \sim \chi_i \underbrace{\frac{\delta r_{bi}^2}{\delta r_{bi}^2}}_{\sim m_e/m_i} \times \underbrace{\frac{\tau_{ii}}{\tau_{ei}}}_{\sim \sqrt{m_i/m_e}} = \chi_i \sqrt{\frac{m_e}{m_i}} \simeq \chi_i / 60 \sim 7 \times 10^{-3} m^2 / s$$

What about neoclassical particle transport $D_{i,e}$?

Neoclassical particle transport

- For classical transport, collisions between particles of the same species didn't contribute to particle transport
- In this case most of the particles a trapped particle is colliding with are passing particles so this is no longer true

 $\chi_i \sim 60 \chi_e$ so does this mean that $D_i \sim 60 D_e$?

Neoclassical particle transport

- For classical transport, collisions between particles of the same species didn't contribute to particle transport
- In this case most of the particles a trapped particle is colliding with are passing particles so this is no longer true

 $\chi_i \sim 60 \chi_e$ so does this mean that $D_i \sim 60 D_e$?

- If this happened (and it does in some situations), the plasma would start to charge up, creating an electric field which held the ions back: this is called **non-ambipolar** transport
- It turns out that due to momentum conservation, ions and electrons actually diffuse at the same rate without an electric field (**intrinsically ambipolar**)
- Neoclassical particle diffusivity is comparable to χ_{e}

$$\chi_e \sim D_e \sim D_i \sim rac{q^2}{\epsilon^{3/2}} r_{Le}^2 / au_{ei} \quad \chi_i \sim \sqrt{rac{m_i}{m_e}} \chi_e$$

- In toroidal machines, the variation in magnetic field strength leads to particle trapping
- The Grad-B and curvature drifts cause trapped particles to follow **banana orbits**
- Collisions scatter trapped particles into passing particles, and **collisionality** ν_* is the average number effective collision times $\tau_{eff} \sim \tau \epsilon$ per banana orbit
- This leads to a diffusion with a step size of the banana width δr_b and time scale $\tau_{\rm eff}$: $\chi \sim \delta r_b^2 / \tau_{\rm eff}$
- This **neoclassical** transport is the minimum possible in a toroidal device
- Measured diffusivities in tokamaks are typically $\sim 10-100$ times larger than neoclassical: they are **anomalous**
- This is due to turbulence, which we'll study later in the course