#### Automated Reasoning for Probabilistic Sequential Programs with Theorem Proving

Kangfeng Ye, Simon Foster, Jim Woodcock

University of York, UK

November 04, 2021



#### robostar.cs.york.ac.uk

Kangfeng Ye, Simon Foster, Jim Woodcock

Motivations	Relational semantics	Mechanisation in Isabelle/UTP	Examples	Conclusion
Overview				

Background

RoboChart<sup>1</sup>: DSL for robotics (state machines: reactive+time+probability), unification of semantics (Unifying Theories of Programming or UTP)

Kangfeng Ye, Simon Foster, Jim Woodcock

Automated Reasoning for Probabilistic Programs

https://robostar.cs.york.ac.uk/notations/

Background

- RoboChart<sup>1</sup>: DSL for robotics (state machines: reactive+time+probability), unification of semantics (Unifying Theories of Programming or UTP)
- Recent work<sup>2</sup>: probabilistic semantics to RoboChart (He et al.'s relational model<sup>3</sup>): sequential+probability

Kangfeng Ye, Simon Foster, Jim Woodcock

https://robostar.cs.york.ac.uk/notations/

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Woodcock et al.: Probabilistic semantics for RoboChart - A weakest completion approach. UTP 2019 <sup>3</sup>He et al.: Deriving probabilistic semantics via the 'weakest completion'. ICFEM 2004

## . . .

Background

- RoboChart<sup>1</sup>: DSL for robotics (state machines: reactive+time+probability), unification of semantics (Unifying Theories of Programming or UTP)
- Recent work<sup>2</sup>: probabilistic semantics to RoboChart (He et al.'s relational model<sup>3</sup>): sequential+probability

Our contributions

► A formalisation of the proof that embedding sequential composition is a homomorphism,

Background

- RoboChart<sup>1</sup>: DSL for robotics (state machines: reactive+time+probability), unification of semantics (Unifying Theories of Programming or UTP)
- Recent work<sup>2</sup>: probabilistic semantics to RoboChart (He et al.'s relational model<sup>3</sup>): sequential+probability

Our contributions

- ► A formalisation of the proof that embedding sequential composition is a homomorphism,
- ► A mechanisation of probabilistic designs in Isabelle/UTP for automated reasoning,

Background

- RoboChart<sup>1</sup>: DSL for robotics (state machines: reactive+time+probability), unification of semantics (Unifying Theories of Programming or UTP)
- Recent work<sup>2</sup>: probabilistic semantics to RoboChart (He et al.'s relational model<sup>3</sup>): sequential+probability
- Our contributions
  - ► A formalisation of the proof that embedding sequential composition is a homomorphism,
  - ► A mechanisation of probabilistic designs in Isabelle/UTP for automated reasoning,
  - ▶ With mechanisation, more interesting details are disclosed.
    - PMFs are convex-closed,
    - Probabilistic choice is not idempotent in general,
    - ► Embedding sequential composition is a homomorphism only for finite state space.

Motivations	Relational semantics		Conclusion
Outline			

#### Motivations

**Relational semantics** 

Mechanisation in Isabelle/UTP

#### Examples

Conclusion

Kangfeng Ye, Simon Foster, Jim Woodcock

Automated Reasoning for Probabilistic Programs

## A RoboChart algorithm

Goal: a randomisation algorithm (the same probability 1/N to choose *i* from [0, N-1])



Kangfeng Ye, Simon Foster, Jim Woodcock

# A RoboChart algorithm

Question: does this model correctly implement the randomisation algorithm for any N?

Analysis by PRISM on a Linux server:



- ▶ N = 100: model construction (4s) + checking (0.002s);
- ▶ N = 10,000: 8s + 0.004s;
- ▶ N = 100,000: 830s + 0.011s;
- ▶ N = 1,000,000: not finished after several hours;

► N = 1,.....?

# A RoboChart algorithm

Question: does this model correctly implement the randomisation algorithm for any N?

Analysis by PRISM on a Linux server:



▶ N = 100: model construction (4s) + checking (0.002s);

- ▶ N = 10,000: 8s + 0.004s;
- ▶ N = 100,000: 830s + 0.011s;
- ▶ N = 1,000,000: not finished after several hours;

► N = 1,.....?

#### Our solution: theorem proving

## Nondeterministic probabilistic sequential programming language

# $pGCL^{1}$ $P ::= \perp \mid \mathbf{I} \mid x := e \mid P \lhd b \rhd Q \mid P \sqcap Q \mid P \oplus_{r} Q \mid P; \ Q \mid \mu X \bullet P(X)$

<sup>1</sup>McIver,A.,Morgan,C.: Introduction to pGCL: Its logic and its model. Springer (January 2005)

Kangfeng Ye, Simon Foster, Jim Woodcock

University of York

# Nondeterministic probabilistic sequential programming language

#### $pGCL^1$

 $P \quad ::= \quad \perp \mid \mathbf{I} \mid x := e \mid P \lhd b \rhd Q \mid P \sqcap Q \mid P \oplus_r Q \mid P; \ Q \mid \mu X \bullet P(X)$ 

#### Randomisation algorithm in pGCL



<sup>1</sup>McIver,A.,Morgan,C.: Introduction to pGCL: Its logic and its model. Springer (January 2005)

Kangfeng Ye, Simon Foster, Jim Woodcock

	Relational semantics		Conclusion
Outline			

#### **Motivations**

#### **Relational semantics**

Mechanisation in Isabelle/UTP

#### Examples

Conclusion

Kangfeng Ye, Simon Foster, Jim Woodcock

Automated Reasoning for Probabilistic Programs

Embedding

$$\mathcal{K}(D) \triangleq D/\rho$$
  $D \triangleq (p \vdash_n R)$  Embeddeding

University of York

Embedding

 $Y/K \triangleq \neg (\neg Y; K^{-})$  Weakest prespecification

$$\mathcal{K}(D) \triangleq D/\rho$$
  $D \triangleq (p \vdash_n R)$  Embeddeding

Embedding

$$\begin{array}{ll} Y/K \triangleq \neg \ (\neg \ Y; \ K^-) & \mbox{Weakest prespecification} \\ \rho \triangleq \left( true \vdash prob(s') > 0 \right) & prob : PROB \ (\triangleq S \rightarrow [0,1]) & \mbox{Forgetful function} \\ \mathcal{K}(D) \triangleq D/\rho & D \triangleq \left( p \vdash_n R \right) & \mbox{Embeddeding} \end{array}$$

Embedding

$$\begin{array}{ll} Y/K \triangleq \neg \ (\neg \ Y; \ K^-) & \mbox{Weakest prespecification} \\ \rho \triangleq \left( true \vdash prob(s') > 0 \right) & prob : PROB \ (\triangleq S \rightarrow [0,1]) & \mbox{Forgetful function} \\ \mathcal{K}(D) \triangleq D/\rho & D \triangleq \left( p \vdash_n R \right) & \mbox{Embeddeding} \end{array}$$



Embedding

 $\begin{array}{ll} Y/K \triangleq \neg \ (\neg \ Y; \ K^-) & \mbox{Weakest prespecification} \\ \rho \triangleq \left( true \vdash prob(s') > 0 \right) & prob : PROB \ (\triangleq S \rightarrow [0,1]) & \mbox{Forgetful function} \\ \mathcal{K}(D) \triangleq D/\rho & D \triangleq \left( p \vdash_n R \right) & \mbox{Embeddeding} \end{array}$ 



 $\begin{aligned} \mathcal{K}(D); \ \rho &= D & \text{Retraction} \\ D &\sqsubseteq (P; \ \rho) \Leftrightarrow (D/\rho) \sqsubseteq P \end{aligned}$ 

Kangfeng Ye, Simon Foster, Jim Woodcock

## Relational semantics (embedding: a homomorphism)

Homomorphism on the structure of standard programs

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{K}(\bot) &= \bot & \mathcal{K}(x := e) = \left( true \vdash prob'(\mathbf{v}[e/x]) = 1 \right) \\ \mathcal{K}(\varPi) &= \left( true \vdash prob'(\mathbf{v}) = 1 \right) & \mathcal{K}(P \lhd b \rhd Q) = \mathcal{K}(P) \lhd b \rhd \mathcal{K}(Q) \\ (P \oplus_r Q) &= \dots & \mu X \bullet P(X) = \bigcap \{ X \mid X \sqsupseteq P(X) \} \\ \mathcal{K}(P \sqcap Q) &= \left( \bigcap r \in [0..1] \bullet \mathcal{K}(P) \oplus_r \mathcal{K}(Q) \right) & \left( \sqsubseteq \mathcal{K}(P) \oplus_r \mathcal{K}(Q) \right) & \text{Nondeterminism}^* \\ \mathcal{K}(P; Q) &= \mathcal{K}(P); \uparrow \mathcal{K}(Q) \end{split}$$

### Relational semantics (previous [Woodcock et al.], a new contribution)

Homomorphism on the structure of standard programs

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{K}(\bot) &= \bot & \mathcal{K}(x := e) = \left( true \vdash prob'(\mathbf{v}[e/x]) = 1 \right) \\ \mathcal{K}(\varPi) &= \left( true \vdash prob'(\mathbf{v}) = 1 \right) & \mathcal{K}(P \lhd b \rhd Q) = \mathcal{K}(P) \lhd b \rhd \mathcal{K}(Q) \\ (P \oplus_r Q) &= \dots & \mu X \bullet P(X) = \bigcap \{ X \mid X \sqsupseteq P(X) \} \\ \mathcal{K}(P \sqcap Q) &= \left( \bigcap r \in [0..1] \bullet \mathcal{K}(P) \oplus_r \mathcal{K}(Q) \right) & (\sqsubseteq \mathcal{K}(P) \oplus_r \mathcal{K}(Q)) & \text{Nondeterminism}^* \\ \mathcal{K}(P; Q) &= \mathcal{K}(P); \uparrow \mathcal{K}(Q) & (P \sqsubseteq Q \Rightarrow (\uparrow P) \sqsubseteq (\uparrow Q)) & \text{Sequential composition+} \\ \end{split}$$

	Relational semantics	Mechanisation in Isabelle/UTP	Conclusion
Outline			

**Motivations** 

**Relational semantics** 

#### Mechanisation in Isabelle/UTP

Examples

Conclusion

Kangfeng Ye, Simon Foster, Jim Woodcock

### Probabilistic state space and probabilistic choice

Probabilistic state space

- $prob :: [\alpha] pmf$  (Isabelle measure-based pmf).
- Probabilistic designs:

$$\mathcal{K}\left(p \vdash R(S,S)
ight) = \left(p \vdash \left(\Sigma i \in S \mid (R \textit{wp}(\mathbf{v}=i)) \bullet prob'(i)
ight) = 1
ight)$$

### Probabilistic state space and probabilistic choice

Probabilistic state space

- $prob :: [\alpha] pmf$  (Isabelle measure-based pmf).
- Probabilistic designs:

 $\mathcal{K}\left(p \vdash R(S,S)\right) = \left(p \vdash \left(\Sigma i \in S \mid (R \textit{ wp } (\mathbf{v} = i)) \bullet prob'(i)\right) = 1\right)$ 

Probabilistic choice

- [S]pmf is convex-closed in terms of distribution combination operator  $+_r$ ;
- $+_r$  is idempotent:  $p +_r p = p$ ;
- $\oplus_r$  is not idempotent:  $P \oplus_r P = P$  only if prob' in P(s, prob') is convex-closed.
  - ► the distribution of a deterministic probabilistic program (singleton);
  - the distributions of embedding nondeterministic choice.

# Sequential composition

Kleisli lifting

$$\uparrow (q \vdash R) \triangleq \left( \begin{array}{c} (\Sigma i \in \llbracket q \rrbracket \bullet prob\,(i) = 1) \vdash \\ (\forall ss \bullet prob'(ss) = \Sigma t \bullet prob(t) * (Q(t))(ss))) \land \\ \exists Q \bullet \left( \begin{array}{c} (\forall ss \bullet prob'(ss) = \Sigma t \bullet prob(t) * (Q(t))(ss))) \land \\ (\forall s \bullet \left( \neg (prob(\mathbf{v}') > \mathbf{0} \land \mathbf{v}' = s); \\ (\neg R; (\forall t \bullet prob(t) = (Q(s))(t))) \end{array} \right) \right) \end{array} \right)$$

Kangfeng Ye, Simon Foster, Jim Woodcock

University of Yor

# Sequential composition

Kleisli lifting

$$\uparrow (q \vdash R) \triangleq \left( \begin{array}{c} (\Sigma i \in \llbracket q \rrbracket \bullet prob(i) = 1) \vdash \\ (\forall ss \bullet prob'(ss) = \Sigma t \bullet prob(t) * (Q(t))(ss))) \land \\ \exists Q \bullet \left( \begin{array}{c} (\forall ss \bullet prob'(ss) = \Sigma t \bullet prob(t) * (Q(t))(ss))) \land \\ (\forall s \bullet \left( \neg (prob(\mathbf{v}') > \mathbf{0} \land \mathbf{v}' = s); \\ (\neg R; (\forall t \bullet prob(t) = (Q(s))(t))) \end{array} \right) \right) \end{array} \right)$$

Lifting

 $\uparrow (\mathcal{K} (\boldsymbol{\varPi})) = (\boldsymbol{true} \vdash prob' = prob)$  $P \sqsubseteq Q \Rightarrow \uparrow P \sqsubseteq \uparrow Q$ 

Kangfeng Ye, Simon Foster, Jim Woodcock

University of York

## Sequential composition

Kleisli lifting

$$\uparrow (q \vdash R) \triangleq \left( \begin{array}{c} (\Sigma i \in \llbracket q \rrbracket \bullet prob(i) = 1) \vdash \\ (\forall ss \bullet prob'(ss) = \Sigma t \bullet prob(t) * (Q(t))(ss))) \land \\ \exists Q \bullet \left( \begin{array}{c} (\forall ss \bullet prob'(ss) = \Sigma t \bullet prob(t) * (Q(t))(ss))) \land \\ (\forall s \bullet \left( \neg (prob(\mathbf{v}') > \mathbf{0} \land \mathbf{v}' = s); \\ (\neg R; (\forall t \bullet prob(t) = (Q(s))(t))) \end{array} \right) \end{array} \right) \right)$$

Sequential composition

Lifting

$$\uparrow (\mathcal{K} (\mathbf{I})) = (\textit{true} \vdash prob' = prob)$$
$$P \sqsubseteq Q \Rightarrow \uparrow P \sqsubseteq \uparrow Q$$

$$\begin{split} P & ;_p Q \triangleq P ; \uparrow Q \\ P & ;_p \mathcal{K} (\mathbf{I}) = P = \mathcal{K} (\mathbf{I}) ;_p P \\ \mathcal{K} (P; Q) & = \mathcal{K} (P) ;_p \mathcal{K} (Q) \end{split} \qquad \begin{array}{l} \text{(left/right unit)} \\ \text{Only if } S \text{ is finite} \end{array}$$

Kangfeng Ye, Simon Foster, Jim Woodcock

Automated Reasoning for Probabilistic Programs

#### Recursion

Theorem (Refinement introduction)

We assume

- R is a well-founded relation:  $\mathbf{wf}R$ ;
- ► *F* is monotonic:  $\forall P \ Q \bullet \llbracket P \sqsubseteq Q$ ; *P* is **N**; *Q* is **N** $\rrbracket \Rightarrow F(P) \sqsubseteq F(Q)$ ;
- *F* is a **N**-healthy function:  $F \in \mathbf{N} \to \mathbf{N}$ ;
- ▶ Induct step:  $\forall st \bullet ((p \land e = st) \vdash Q) \sqsubseteq F((p \land (e, st) \in R) \vdash Q);$

then

 $(p\vdash Q)\sqsubseteq \mu\ F$ 

Relational semantics	Examples	Conclusion

#### Outline

Motivations

**Relational semantics** 

Mechanisation in Isabelle/UTP

#### Examples

Conclusion

Kangfeng Ye, Simon Foster, Jim Woodcock

Automated Reasoning for Probabilistic Programs

#### Probabilistic choice<sup>1</sup>

$$P1 \triangleq (\mathcal{K} (x := 0) \oplus_{1/3} \mathcal{K} (x := 1))$$

$$P2 \triangleq (\mathcal{K} (x := x + 2) \oplus_{1/2} \mathcal{K} (x := x + 3))$$

$$P3 \triangleq (\mathcal{K} (x := x + 4) \oplus_{1/4} \mathcal{K} (x := x + 5))$$

$$P1 ;_{p} (P2 \lhd x = 0 \rhd P3) = \left( true \vdash \begin{pmatrix} prob' (\mathbf{v}[2/x]) = 1/6 \land prob' (\mathbf{v}[3/x]) = 1/6 \land prob' (\mathbf{v}[6/x]) = 1/2 \\ prob' (\mathbf{v}[5/x]) = 1/6 \land prob' (\mathbf{v}[6/x]) = 1/2 \end{pmatrix} \right)$$

<sup>1</sup>Hehner, E.C.R.: Probabilistic predicative programming. MPC2004

Kangfeng Ye, Simon Foster, Jim Woodcock

University of York

Probabilistic choice and nondeterministic choice<sup>1</sup>

$$P \triangleq (\mathcal{K} (x := 0) \sqcap \mathcal{K} (x := 1))$$

$$Q \triangleq (\mathcal{K} (y := 0) \oplus_{1/2} \mathcal{K} (y := 1))$$

$$P ;_{p} Q = \left( true \vdash \left( (prob' (\mathbf{v}[0, 0/x, y]) = 1/2 \land prob' (\mathbf{v}[0, 1/x, y]) = 1/2) \lor \right) \right)$$

$$Q ;_{p} P = \left( true \vdash \left( (prob' (\mathbf{v}[0, 0/x, y]) = 1/2 \land prob' (\mathbf{v}[0, 1/x, y]) = 1/2) \lor \right) \right)$$

<sup>1</sup>Jifeng, H., Seidel, K., McIver, A.: Probabilistic models for the guarded command language. SCP 1997

Kangfeng Ye, Simon Foster, Jim Woodcock

Probabilistic choice and nondeterministic choice<sup>1</sup>

$$\begin{split} P &\triangleq (\mathcal{K} \left( x := 0 \right) \sqcap \mathcal{K} \left( x := 1 \right)) \\ Q &\triangleq \left( \mathcal{K} \left( y := 0 \right) \oplus_{1/2} \mathcal{K} \left( y := 1 \right) \right) \\ P \,;_p Q &= \left( \textbf{true} \vdash \left( \begin{array}{c} \left( prob' \left( \mathbf{v}[0, 0/x, y] \right) = 1/2 \land prob' \left( \mathbf{v}[0, 1/x, y] \right) = 1/2 \right) \lor \\ \left( prob' \left( \mathbf{v}[1, 0/x, y] \right) = 1/2 \land prob' \left( \mathbf{v}[1, 1/x, y] \right) = 1/2 \right) \end{array} \right) \right) \\ Q \,;_p P &= \left( \textbf{true} \vdash \left( \begin{array}{c} \left( \textbf{t} \right) \right) \right) \right) \right) \right) \\ \textbf{true} \left( \textbf{true} \vdash \left( \begin{array}{c} \left( \textbf{t} \right) \right) \right) \right) \right) \right) \\ \textbf{true} \left( \textbf{true} \vdash \left( \begin{array}{c} \left( \textbf{true} \vdash \left( \begin{array}{c} \left( \textbf{true} \vdash \left( \begin{array}{c} \left( \textbf{t} \right) \right) \right) \right) \right) \\ \textbf{true} \left( \textbf{true} \vdash \left( \begin{array}{c} \left( \textbf{true} \vdash \left( \begin{array}{c} \left( \textbf{true} \vdash \left( \begin{array}{c} \left( \textbf{t} \right) \right) \right) \right) \\ \textbf{t} \right) \\ \textbf{true} \left( \textbf{true} \vdash \left( \begin{array}{c} \left( \textbf{true} \vdash \left( \begin{array}{c} \left( \textbf{t} \right) \right) \right) \\ \textbf{t} \right) \\ \textbf{t} \right) \\ \textbf{t} \right) \\ \textbf{t} \right) \end{array} \right) \\ \end{array} \right) \end{pmatrix}$$

<sup>1</sup>Jifeng, H., Seidel, K., McIver, A.: Probabilistic models for the guarded command language. SCP 1997

Kangfeng Ye, Simon Foster, Jim Woodcock

Probabilistic choice and nondeterministic choice<sup>1</sup>

$$\begin{split} P &\triangleq (\mathcal{K} \, (x := 0) \sqcap \mathcal{K} \, (x := 1)) \\ Q &\triangleq \left( \mathcal{K} \, (y := 0) \oplus_{1/2} \mathcal{K} \, (y := 1) \right) \\ P \, ;_p \, Q &= \left( true \vdash \left( \begin{array}{c} (prob' \left( \mathbf{v}[0, 0/x, y] \right) = 1/2 \land prob' \left( \mathbf{v}[0, 1/x, y] \right) = 1/2 \right) \lor \\ (prob' \left( \mathbf{v}[1, 0/x, y] \right) = 1/2 \land prob' \left( \mathbf{v}[1, 1/x, y] \right) = 1/2 \right) \end{matrix} \right) \right) \\ Q \, ;_p \, P &= \left( true \vdash \left( \begin{array}{c} (prob' \left( \mathbf{v}[0, 0/x, y] \right) = 1/2 \land prob' \left( \mathbf{v}[0, 1/x, y] \right) = 1/2 \right) \lor \\ (prob' \left( \mathbf{v}[0, 0/x, y] \right) = 1/2 \land prob' \left( \mathbf{v}[0, 1/x, y] \right) = 1/2 \right) \lor \\ \end{array} \right) \right) \end{split}$$

<sup>1</sup>Jifeng, H., Seidel, K., McIver, A.: Probabilistic models for the guarded command language. SCP 1997

Kangfeng Ye, Simon Foster, Jim Woodcock

Probabilistic choice and nondeterministic choice<sup>1</sup>

$$\begin{split} P &\triangleq (\mathcal{K} \, (x := 0) \sqcap \mathcal{K} \, (x := 1)) \\ Q &\triangleq \left( \mathcal{K} \, (y := 0) \oplus_{1/2} \mathcal{K} \, (y := 1) \right) \\ P \, ;_p \, Q &= \left( \textit{true} \vdash \left( \begin{array}{c} (prob' \left( \mathbf{v}[0, 0/x, y] \right) = 1/2 \land prob' \left( \mathbf{v}[0, 1/x, y] \right) = 1/2 \right) \lor \\ (prob' \left( \mathbf{v}[1, 0/x, y] \right) = 1/2 \land prob' \left( \mathbf{v}[1, 1/x, y] \right) = 1/2 \right) \lor \\ Q \, ;_p \, P &= \left( \textit{true} \vdash \left( \begin{array}{c} (prob' \left( \mathbf{v}[0, 0/x, y] \right) = 1/2 \land prob' \left( \mathbf{v}[0, 1/x, y] \right) = 1/2 \right) \lor \\ (prob' \left( \mathbf{v}[1, 0/x, y] \right) = 1/2 \land prob' \left( \mathbf{v}[0, 1/x, y] \right) = 1/2 \right) \lor \\ (prob' \left( \mathbf{v}[1, 0/x, y] \right) = 1/2 \land prob' \left( \mathbf{v}[0, 1/x, y] \right) = 1/2 \right) \lor \\ \end{array} \right) \end{split}$$

<sup>1</sup>Jifeng, H., Seidel, K., McIver, A.: Probabilistic models for the guarded command language. SCP 1997

Kangfeng Ye, Simon Foster, Jim Woodcock

Probabilistic choice and nondeterministic choice<sup>1</sup>

$$\begin{split} P &\triangleq (\mathcal{K} \, (x := 0) \sqcap \mathcal{K} \, (x := 1)) \\ Q &\triangleq \left(\mathcal{K} \, (y := 0) \oplus_{1/2} \mathcal{K} \, (y := 1)\right) \\ P \, ;_p \, Q &= \left( \textit{true} \vdash \left( \begin{array}{c} (prob' \left(\mathbf{v}[0, 0/x, y]\right) = 1/2 \land prob' \left(\mathbf{v}[0, 1/x, y]\right) = 1/2 \right) \lor \\ (prob' \left(\mathbf{v}[1, 0/x, y]\right) = 1/2 \land prob' \left(\mathbf{v}[1, 1/x, y]\right) = 1/2 \right) \lor \\ Q \, ;_p \, P &= \left( \textit{true} \vdash \left( \begin{array}{c} (prob' \left(\mathbf{v}[0, 0/x, y]\right) = 1/2 \land prob' \left(\mathbf{v}[0, 1/x, y]\right) = 1/2 \right) \lor \\ (prob' \left(\mathbf{v}[1, 0/x, y]\right) = 1/2 \land prob' \left(\mathbf{v}[0, 1/x, y]\right) = 1/2 \right) \lor \\ (prob' \left(\mathbf{v}[0, 0/x, y]\right) = 1/2 \land prob' \left(\mathbf{v}[1, 1/x, y]\right) = 1/2 \right) \lor \\ (prob' \left(\mathbf{v}[0, 0/x, y]\right) = 1/2 \land prob' \left(\mathbf{v}[1, 1/x, y]\right) = 1/2 \right) \lor \\ \end{pmatrix} \end{split} \right) \end{split}$$

<sup>1</sup>Jifeng, H., Seidel, K., McIver, A.: Probabilistic models for the guarded command language. SCP 1997

Kangfeng Ye, Simon Foster, Jim Woodcock

Probabilistic choice and nondeterministic choice<sup>1</sup>

$$\begin{split} P &\triangleq (\mathcal{K} \, (x := 0) \sqcap \mathcal{K} \, (x := 1)) \\ Q &\triangleq \left(\mathcal{K} \, (y := 0) \oplus_{1/2} \mathcal{K} \, (y := 1)\right) \\ P \, ;_p \, Q &= \left( \textit{true} \vdash \left( \begin{array}{c} (prob' \left(\mathbf{v}[0, 0/x, y]\right) = 1/2 \land prob' \left(\mathbf{v}[0, 1/x, y]\right) = 1/2 \right) \lor \\ (prob' \left(\mathbf{v}[1, 0/x, y]\right) = 1/2 \land prob' \left(\mathbf{v}[1, 1/x, y]\right) = 1/2 \right) \lor \\ (prob' \left(\mathbf{v}[0, 0/x, y]\right) = 1/2 \land prob' \left(\mathbf{v}[0, 1/x, y]\right) = 1/2 \right) \lor \\ (prob' \left(\mathbf{v}[0, 0/x, y]\right) = 1/2 \land prob' \left(\mathbf{v}[0, 1/x, y]\right) = 1/2 \right) \lor \\ (prob' \left(\mathbf{v}[0, 0/x, y]\right) = 1/2 \land prob' \left(\mathbf{v}[0, 1/x, y]\right) = 1/2 \right) \lor \\ (prob' \left(\mathbf{v}[0, 0/x, y]\right) = 1/2 \land prob' \left(\mathbf{v}[1, 1/x, y]\right) = 1/2 \right) \lor \\ (prob' \left(\mathbf{v}[1, 0/x, y]\right) = 1/2 \land prob' \left(\mathbf{v}[1, 1/x, y]\right) = 1/2 \right) \lor \\ (prob' \left(\mathbf{v}[1, 0/x, y]\right) = 1/2 \land prob' \left(\mathbf{v}[1, 1/x, y]\right) = 1/2 \right) \end{split} \right) \end{split}$$

<sup>1</sup>Jifeng, H., Seidel, K., McIver, A.: Probabilistic models for the guarded command language. SCP 1997

## Example 3: the randomisation algorithm in RoboChart

#### Assume $N \ge 1$ ,

$$\left( \textit{true} \vdash \left( \left( \begin{array}{c} c \land i < (N-1) \Rightarrow \\ \begin{pmatrix} \forall j < (N-i-1) \bullet \\ prob'(\mathbf{v}[j+i, false/i, c]) = 1/(N-i) \end{pmatrix} \land \\ prob'(\mathbf{v}[N-1, true/i, c] = 1/(N-i))) \end{pmatrix} \land \right) \right) \land \\ \end{pmatrix} \right) \\ \sqsubseteq (\mu X \bullet ChooseUniformBody(N, X))$$

Choose in Theorem (refinement introduction):  $e = N - i - (0 \triangleleft c \triangleright 1)$  and  $R = \{(x, y) | x < y\}$ 

## Example 3: the randomisation algorithm in RoboChart

#### Assume $N \ge 1$ ,

$$\left( \textit{true} \vdash \left( \begin{array}{c} c \land i < (N-1) \Rightarrow \\ \begin{pmatrix} \forall j < (N-i-1) \bullet \\ prob'(\mathbf{v}[j+i, false/i, c]) = 1/(N-i) \end{pmatrix} \land \\ prob'(\mathbf{v}[N-1, true/i, c] = 1/(N-i))) \end{pmatrix} \land \right) \right) \land \right) \right)$$

 $\sqsubseteq (\mu X \bullet ChooseUniformBody(N, X))$ 

Choose in Theorem (refinement introduction):  $e = N - i - (0 \lhd c \triangleright 1)$  and  $R = \{(x, y) | x < y\}$ 

$$\begin{pmatrix} \textit{true} \vdash \begin{pmatrix} (\forall j \bullet j < (N-1) \Rightarrow (prob'(\mathbf{v}[j, false/i, c] = 1/N))) \land \\ prob'(\mathbf{v}[(N-1), true/i, c]) = 1/N \\ \sqsubseteq ChooseUniform(N) \end{pmatrix} \end{pmatrix}$$

Relational semantics		Conclusion

#### Outline

Motivations

**Relational semantics** 

Mechanisation in Isabelle/UTP

Examples

Conclusion

Kangfeng Ye, Simon Foster, Jim Woodcock

Automated Reasoning for Probabilistic Programs

	Relational semantics		Conclusion
Conclusion			

**Formalisation** of the proof that embedding sequential composition is a homomorphism;

	Relational semantics		Conclusion
Conclusion			

- **Formalisation** of the proof that embedding sequential composition is a homomorphism;
- Mechanisation of the relational semantics in Isabelle/UTP;

	Relational semantics		Conclusion
Conclusion			

- **Formalisation** of the proof that embedding sequential composition is a homomorphism;
- Mechanisation of the relational semantics in Isabelle/UTP;
- Mechanisation shows that
  - (1) PMFs are convex-closed;

- **Formalisation** of the proof that embedding sequential composition is a homomorphism;
- Mechanisation of the relational semantics in Isabelle/UTP;
- Mechanisation shows that
  - (1) PMFs are convex-closed;
  - (2) the probabilistic choice is not idempotent in general;

	Relational semantics		Conclusion
Conclusion			

- **Formalisation** of the proof that embedding sequential composition is a homomorphism;
- Mechanisation of the relational semantics in Isabelle/UTP;
- Mechanisation shows that
  - (1) PMFs are convex-closed;
  - (2) the probabilistic choice is not idempotent in general;
  - (3) embedding distributes through sequential composition for finite state space.

	Relational semantics		Conclusion
Conclusion			

- **Formalisation** of the proof that embedding sequential composition is a homomorphism;
- Mechanisation of the relational semantics in Isabelle/UTP;
- Mechanisation shows that
  - (1) PMFs are convex-closed;
  - (2) the probabilistic choice is not idempotent in general;
  - (3) embedding distributes through sequential composition for finite state space.
- Analysed several examples;

	Relational semantics		Conclusion
Conclusion			

- **Formalisation** of the proof that embedding sequential composition is a homomorphism;
- Mechanisation of the relational semantics in Isabelle/UTP;
- Mechanisation shows that
  - (1) PMFs are convex-closed;
  - (2) the probabilistic choice is not idempotent in general;
  - (3) embedding distributes through sequential composition for finite state space.
- Analysed several examples;
- Future work: lift probabilistic designs to deal with reactive (instead of sequential) probabilistic systems.

# Thank you!

https://robostar.cs.york.ac.uk/

Kangfeng Ye, Simon Foster, Jim Woodcock

University of York