

Electron energy loss spectroscopy (EELS)

Phil Hasnip

Condensed Matter Dynamics Group

Department of Physics,

University of York, U.K.

http://www-users.york.ac.uk/~pjh503

Many slides courtesy of Jonathan Yates (University of Oxford)

THE UNIVERSITY of York Scanning Transmission Electron Microscopy





SuperSTEM II - Daresbury

Electron Energy Loss





Energy loss

Plasmons ~ 5-30 eV Single excitations ~50-2000eV

- Phonon Scattering
- Plasmon Scattering
- •Single electron excitation
- Direct Radiation losses



Atomic picture





Fermi's Golden rule:

$$T_{i \to f} = \frac{2\pi}{\hbar} \left| \langle f | H | i \rangle \right|^2 \rho$$

Dielectric Response

The dielectric function $\epsilon(\omega,q)$ tells us about the response of a material to an electric field

 $\mathbf{D}(\omega,\mathbf{q}) = \varepsilon(\omega,\mathbf{q}) \mathbf{E}(\omega,\mathbf{q})$

$$\varepsilon(\omega, \mathbf{q}) = \varepsilon_1(\omega, \mathbf{q}) + i\varepsilon_2(\omega, \mathbf{q})$$

Loss function: Im $\left\{ \frac{-1}{\varepsilon(\omega, \mathbf{q})} \right\}$

Kramers-Kronig: $\varepsilon_1 \leftrightarrow \varepsilon_2$

When an electron moves through a medium it creates a displacement field D. The loss function gives the rate of energy absorption. At high energies (i.e. transitions from core-states) the loss function is given by the imaginary part of the dielectric function.

$$Im\left\{\frac{-1}{\varepsilon(\mathbf{q},\omega)}\right\} = \varepsilon_{2}(\mathbf{q},\omega)$$

$$\varepsilon_{2}(\mathbf{q},E) = \frac{4\pi e^{2}}{\Omega q^{2}} \sum_{n,\mathbf{k}} |\langle \psi_{\mathbf{k}}^{n}|e^{i\mathbf{q}\cdot\mathbf{r}}|\mathbf{c}\rangle|^{2} \delta(E_{\mathbf{k}}^{c} - E_{1\mathbf{s}} - E)$$

$$\int_{\mathbf{q}}^{\mathbf{b}} \underbrace{\int_{\mathbf{q}}^{\mathbf{b}} \underbrace{\int_{\mathbf{q}}^{\mathbf{c}} \underbrace{\int_{\mathbf{c}}^{\mathbf{c}} \underbrace{\int_{\mathbf{c}} \underbrace{\int_{\mathbf{c}}^{\mathbf{c}} \underbrace{\int_{\mathbf{c}}^{\mathbf{c}$$



Core Edges



$$\langle f|e^{i\mathbf{q}\cdot\mathbf{r}}|i\rangle = \langle f|i\rangle + i\langle f|\mathbf{q}\cdot\mathbf{r}|i\rangle + \frac{1}{2}\langle f|(\mathbf{q}\cdot\mathbf{r})^2|i\rangle - \frac{i}{6}\langle f|(\mathbf{q}\cdot\mathbf{r})^3|i\rangle + \frac{1}{24}\langle f|(\mathbf{q}\cdot\mathbf{r})^4|i\rangle + \cdots$$

Dipole term

$$\langle f | x | 1 s \rangle \approx \langle f | P_x \rangle$$

Core level spectra can be thought of as angular momentum projected density of states







N-doping in graphene

Josh Tsang - Masters Thesis



Substitutional

bi-nitrogen

tri-pyridinic

... and many others. Growth conditions, curvature etc. affects defect stability

Substitutional Defect



Carbon K-edge



STEM Imaging







Nanoribbon: armchair termination





"Theoretical study of core-loss electron energy-loss spectroscopy at graphene nanoribbon edges", Nobuyuki Fujita, Philip Hasnip, Matt Probert and Jun Yuan, J. Phys. Condens. Matter 27, 305301 (2015).

Optados

Numerically Accurate Spectral Properties

www.optados.org

Andrew Morris (Cambridge), Rebecca Nicholls (Oxford), Chris Pickard (UCL), Jonathan Yates (Oxford)



Adaptive broadening convolves each energy band with a Gaussian or Lorentzian whose width depends on the band-gradient (in reciprocal-space); from J.Yates, X.Wang, D.Vanderbilt and I.Souza, Phys. Rev. B, 75, 195121 (2007)

Optados



Adaptive broadening convolves each energy band with a Gaussian or Lorentzian whose width depends on the band-gradient (in reciprocal-space); from J.Yates, X.Wang, D.Vanderbilt and I.Souza, Phys. Rev. B, **75**, 195121 (2007)

CASTEP



OPTADOS www.optados.org

• Reads matrix elements

• Forms spectrum

•Can add instrument and lifetime broadening effects

more details in this afternoon's practical

Core-hole effects

Single-particle approximation Beyond the "sudden" approximation 1) Core electron ejected 2) Lattice remains fixed 3) Final states relax Initial core state from an atomic calculation Final states from self consistent calculation with a single excited atom



Supercell approximation

- Core-hole atom breaks translational symmetry
- Create "supercell" of unit cell to reduce interaction between images
- Converge wrt supercell size



Excited Atom

Methods to include core-hole

- •Z+1 approximation (e.g. replace C with N)
- •Constrained calculation (only possible with AE code)
- •Excited-state pseudopotentials

CASTEP's on-the-fly pseudopotential generator can create excited-state pseudopotentials (see practical)

Effect of core-hole depends on the material





"Theoretical study of core-loss electron energy-loss spectroscopy at graphene nanoribbon edges", Nobuyuki Fujita, Philip Hasnip, Matt Probert and Jun Yuan, J. Phys. Condens. Matter 27, 305301 (2015).

Low Loss

$$\epsilon_2(E) = \frac{2\pi e^2}{\Omega \epsilon_0} \sum_{i,j,\mathbf{k}} |\langle \psi_{i\mathbf{k}} | \hat{\mathbf{u}}.\mathbf{r} | \psi_{j\mathbf{k}} \rangle |^2 \delta(\epsilon_{i\mathbf{k}} - \epsilon_{j\mathbf{k}} - E)$$

The low-loss dielectric function is a weighted joint-density of states

$$jDOS(E) = \frac{1}{N_k} \sum_{i,j,\mathbf{k}} \delta(\epsilon_{i\mathbf{k}} - \epsilon_{j\mathbf{k}} - E)$$



Practical Details

CASTEP

computes valence wavefunctions
 forms optical matrix elements keywords
task : spectral
<pre>spectral_task : optics</pre>

OPTADOS <u>www.optados.org</u>

- •Reads matrix elements
- Forms
- •Uses Kramers-Kronig to obtain
- Computes loss function, conductivity, refractive index etc
- Can add broadening effects
- more details in this afternoon's practical



Low loss



BN sheets



Beyond the ground state?

Many-body perturbation theory

Start with DFT Use GW to get corrected excited states Use Bethe-Salpeter Equation (BSE) to include electron-hole interactions (exciton)

Time-Dependent DFT

Exact solution of time-dependent QM Need to devise time/frequency-dependent exchange-correlation kernel (see TDDFT lecture); may be useful for low-loss EELS

Multiplet Effects

For edges such as the $L_{2,3}$ there can be strong overlap between the core and valence wavefunctions

BSE



T. Mizoguchi et al. / Micron 41 (2010) 695-709

Standard electronic structure tools can provide qualitative interpretation of core and low-loss EELS

Care must be taken in interpreting unoccupied states in DFT; recall Hohenberg-Kohn theorem is only for *ground state* properties.

Many-body approaches such as GW (see next lecture) provide a route to *quantitative simulations*, but at a v. high computational cost.

For core states with I>0 there can be strong core-valence overlap, which is often not well captured; i.e. K-edge EELS simulated much more reliably than L- or M-edge EELS.

Can TD-DFT (see previous lecture) provide a useful balance of speed and accuracy?



OptaDOS manual http://www.optados.org

Chris Pickard's PhD thesis: http://www.tcm.phy.cam.ac.uk/~cjp20/old/thesis.ps.gz

Summary paper of Castep's spectroscopic capabilities:

<u>"Electron and vibrational spectroscopies using DFT, plane waves and</u> pseudopotentials: CASTEP implementation"

V. Milman, K. Refson, S.J. Clark, C.J. Pickard, J.R. Yates, S.P. Gao, P.J. Hasnip, M.I.J. Probert, A. Perlov, M.D. Segall Journal of Molecular Structure: THEOCHEM 954 (1-3) 22-35 (2010)