Metric Spaces

Consolidated Lecture Notes

Collated and Typeset by Oliver Dixon

Based on the [MAT00051I](https://www.york.ac.uk/students/studying/manage/programmes/module-catalogue/module/MAT00051I/) Lecture Series

University of York

Semester I, 2023/24

Compilation Date 19th December 2023 Author Contact  $\frac{1}{10}$  [od641@york.ac.uk](mailto: Oliver Dixon <od641@york.ac.uk>) Sources Link <https://github.com/oliverdixon/l5-notes/tree/master/metric-spaces> Latest Commit Hash [5af8285603a077399bdac641c79dc223aa8de0b8](https://github.com/oliverdixon/l5-notes/commit/5af8285603a077399bdac641c79dc223aa8de0b8) (master) York Web Link https://www-users.york.ac.uk/∼[od641/l5-notes/metric-spaces.pdf](https://www-users.york.ac.uk/~od641/l5-notes/metric-spaces.pdf) Document Licence | All rights reserved (draft copy)

## Structural Information

This document consolidates the content delivered during lectures  $#1$  through  $#17$  of the undergraduate *Metric Spaces* module, presented throughout Semester 1 of the 2023/24 academic year at the University of York. Each lecture introduction is annotated with references to the relevant Panopto lecture recording, accessible exclusively to UoY-authenticated users, and references to the germane sections in [\[SV06\]](#page-43-0) and  $[OSe07]$ , denoted by the icons  $\Box$ ,  $\Box$ ,  $\Box$ , and  $\Box$ , respectively. Comments and corrections are warmly welcomed, and should be directed to the Author via the Author Contact as printed on the title page.

## Lecture Index







# Draft Copy Only: To-Do List

In no particular order:

- In progress: lecture XIV;
- Fix page boundaries/trailing under-filled vertical boxes;
- Add Shirali and S&V book references;
- Improve the length and prose style of lecture-introduction paragraphs;

• Lectures:

- XV;
- XVI;
- XVII: *end of examinable content*.

## <span id="page-5-0"></span>Lecture 1: Introduction to Metric Spaces

Lecture One introduces the concept of a *metric* as a generalisation of the notion of distance between two points in a set. Three *canonical metrics* on  $\mathbb{R}^N$  are presented; these are then generalised further, and a short proof verifies the compliance of the generalised Euclidean metric with the relevant axioms.



#### <span id="page-5-1"></span>Definition 1.1 Metric Space

Suppose that X is a set, and  $d: X \times X \to [0, \infty) \subset \mathbb{R}$ . Then, d is a *metric* on X if and only if the following properties hold for  $a, b, c \in X$ :

- M1) *Positivity.*  $d(a, b) > 0$ ;
- M2) *Equality.*  $d(a, b) = 0 \iff a = b$ ;
- M3) *Symmetry.*  $d(a, b) = d(b, a)$ ;
- <span id="page-5-6"></span>M4) *Triangularity.*  $d(a, b) \leq d(a, c) + d(b, c)$ .
- The tuple (X, d) is a *metric space*.

<span id="page-5-2"></span>**Definition 1.2** Canonical Metrics on  $\mathbb{R}^N$ 

We can consider three metrics on  $\mathbb{R}^N$ :  $d_1, d_2$ , and  $d_\infty$ , each of which have a domain of  $\mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}^N$ and a codomain of  $[0, \infty)$ :

<span id="page-5-3"></span>
$$
d_1(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} |\mathbf{x}_i - \mathbf{y}_i|
$$
\n(1.1)

<span id="page-5-5"></span><span id="page-5-4"></span>
$$
d_2(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = \left[ \sum_{i=1}^{N} (\mathbf{x}_i - \mathbf{y}_i)^2 \right]^{1/2}
$$
(1.2)

$$
d_{\infty}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = \max_{1 \le i \le N} |\mathbf{x}_i - \mathbf{y}_i|
$$
 (1.3)

Unless otherwise stated,  $\mathbb{R}^N$  is endowed with  $d_2$  Euclidean metric. This is consistent with our current understanding of the real line, which uses the absolute value  $|x - y|$  to denote distance between  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ .

#### *Oliver Dixon* DRAFT/UNFINISHED *Page 1 of 39*

#### <span id="page-6-0"></span>Example 1.1 Unit Circles in the Three Canonical Spaces

Using the definitions of the canonical metrics [equation 1.1,](#page-5-3) [equation 1.2,](#page-5-4) and [equation 1.3](#page-5-5) (and a very loose understanding of a *circle*) we can draw the unit "circles" generated in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  under each of these metrics. For instance, [figure 1.3](#page-6-2) shows the boundary of the set  $S^2_{\infty}$ , where

$$
S_{\infty}^{2} = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^{2} : d_{\infty}(x, y) \le 1\}.
$$
 (1.4)



<span id="page-6-4"></span>Figure 1.1: Unit Circle in  $d_1$ 



<span id="page-6-5"></span>Figure 1.2: Unit Circle in  $d_2$ 



<span id="page-6-2"></span>

#### <span id="page-6-1"></span>Theorem 1.1 The Generalised Metric is a Metric

Consider the  $d_p$  metric, where  $d_p: \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}^N \to [0, \infty)$  is a generalisation of the  $d_2$  Euclidean metric for  $p \in \mathbb{N}$ :

<span id="page-6-3"></span>
$$
(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \mapsto \left[ \sum_{i=1}^{N} |\mathbf{x}_i - \mathbf{y}_i|^p \right]^{1/p} \text{ for all } \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^N. \tag{1.5}
$$

Then,  $(\mathbb{R}^N, d_p)$  is a metric space.

*Proof.* To show that  $d_p$  is a metric on  $\mathbb{R}^N$ , we must verify that  $d_p$  is in compliance with the constraints enumerated in [definition 1.1.](#page-5-1) The positivity, equality, and symmetry axioms are easy to show, so we will focus on the triangularity property here, proving it by demonstrating a reduction to *Minkowski's Theorem*.

Let  $\mathbf{a}_k$  and  $\mathbf{b}_k$  be such that

$$
d_p(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}) =: \left[ \sum_{k=1}^{N} |\mathbf{a}_k|^p \right]^{1/p} \tag{1.6}
$$

$$
d_p(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z}) =: \left[ \sum_{k=1}^{N} |\mathbf{b}_k|^p \right]^{1/p}.
$$
 (1.7)

Then, note that  $d_p(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$  (as defined in [equation 1.5\)](#page-6-3) can be written in terms of  $\mathbf{a}_k$  and  $\mathbf{b}_k$ , since

*Oliver Dixon* DRAFT/UNFINISHED *Page 2 of 39*

 $\mathbf{a}_k = \mathbf{x}_k - \mathbf{z}_k$  and  $\mathbf{b}_k = \mathbf{y}_k - \mathbf{z}_k$  for  $k = 1, \dots, N$ :

$$
d_p(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = \left[ \sum_{k=1}^{N} |\mathbf{a}_k + \mathbf{b}_k|^p \right]^{1/p}.
$$
 (1.8)

The triangle inequality, as stated in [axiom M4,](#page-5-6) requires that

$$
\left[\sum_{k=1}^{N} |\mathbf{a}_{k} + \mathbf{b}_{k}|^{p}\right]^{1/p} \le \left[\sum_{k=1}^{N} |\mathbf{a}_{k}|^{p}\right]^{1/p} + \left[\sum_{k=1}^{N} |\mathbf{b}_{k}|^{p}\right]^{1/p}.
$$
 (1.9)

This inequality is equivalent to the well-known Minkowski's Theorem; thus,  $d_p$  satisfies the triangle inequality over  $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{R}^N$ .  $\Box$ 

## <span id="page-7-0"></span>Lecture 2: Real Analysis Prerequisites

Lecture Two introduces the concept of the *supremum* and *infimum* as properties of any subset of the reals. The sets of *bounded* and *continuous* functions are introduced as  $B([0, 1])$  and  $C([0, 1])$  respectively, and we prove that the "sup-metric"  $d_{\infty}$  forms a metric on  $B([0, 1])$ .





#### <span id="page-7-1"></span>Definition 2.1 Supremum and Infimum

If  $S \subset \mathbb{R}$  is a set, then sup S is defined to be the *least upper bound* of S. This is defined to be the smallest  $b \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $x \leq b$  for all  $x \in S$ . The infimum of S, inf S, is defined analogously as the *greatest lower bound*.



Figure 2.1:  $S \subset \mathbb{R}$  and its bounding points on the real line

<span id="page-7-2"></span>**Definition 2.2** The  $\ell^{\infty}$  Set of Bounded Sequences

Consider  $\mathbb{R}^N$ : the set of all sequences of reals. We cannot work with this entire space, since many real sequences are unbounded, and the  $d_1$  and  $d_2$  canonical metrics give rise to non-finite sums. Therefore, we consider the set  $\ell^{\infty}$  as the *set of all bounded real sequences*:

$$
X \in \ell^{\infty} \iff \exists M > 0 \text{ such that } |X_n| \le M \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}.
$$
 (2.1)

Then, the infinity metric is defined in terms of the supremum, since a sequence with infinite terms mightn't possess a maximum:

$$
d_{\infty}(X,Y) = \sup\{|X_i - Y_i| : i \in \mathbb{N}\} \text{ for } X, Y \in \ell^{\infty}.
$$
 (2.2)

$$
(2.2)
$$

<span id="page-8-0"></span>Definition 2.3 The Set of Bounded Functions

 $B([0,1])$  is the *set of all bounded functions* f such that  $f:[0,1] \to \mathbb{R}$ .

<span id="page-8-1"></span>Definition 2.4 The Set of Continuous Functions

 $C([0, 1])$  is the *set of all continuous functions* f such that  $f : [0, 1] \to \mathbb{R}$ .

<span id="page-8-2"></span>**Theorem 2.1** The set of bounded functions over  $[0, 1]$  with the sup-metric forms a metric space

Consider the  $d_{\infty}$  metric on  $B([0,1])$  defined in terms of the supremum, such that the upper bound needn't lie in the set:

$$
d_{\infty}: B([0,1]) \times B([0,1]) \to [0,\infty) \text{ such that } (f,g) \mapsto \sup\{|f(t) - g(t)| : t \in [0,1]\}.
$$
 (2.3)

Then,  $(B([0,1]), d_{\infty})$  is a metric space.

*Proof.* We must verify that  $d_{\infty}: B([0,1]) \times B([0,1]) \to [0,\infty)$  satisfies the metric axioms described in [definition 1.1](#page-5-1) for all  $f, g, h \in B([0, 1]).$ 

- Since  $f q$  is a bounded function, there exists an  $M \geq 0$  for which  $f(t) q(t) \leq M$  for all  $t \in [0, 1]$ . Thus, sup  $\{|f(t) - g(t)| : t \in [0, 1]\} \geq 0$ , and  $d_{\infty}(f, g) \geq 0$  for all  $f, g \in B([0, 1])$ .
- $\implies$  If  $f = g$ , then  $|f(t) g(t)| = 0$  for all  $t \in [0, 1]$ , so  $d_{\infty}(f, g) = \sup\{0, 0, ...\} = 0$ .

 $\boxed{\Longleftarrow}$  Furthermore, if  $d_{\infty}(f,g) = 0$ , then we know that  $\sup\{|f(t) - g(t)| : t \in [0,1]\} = 0$ . We know that  $|f(t) - g(t)| \ge 0$ , so  $|f(t) - g(t)| = 0$  follows immediately, from which we can conclude that  $f(t) = g(t)$  for all  $t \in [0, 1]$ , hence  $f = g$ .

Thus,  $d_{\infty}(f, g) = 0 \iff f = g$ .

• By the symmetry of the standard metric on R, the symmetry of  $d_{\infty}$  on  $B([0, 1])$  follows immediately:

$$
d_{\infty}(f,g) = \sup\{|f(t) - g(t)| : t \in [0,1]\}\
$$
\n(2.4)

$$
= \sup \{|g(t) - f(t)| : t \in [0, 1]\}\
$$
\n(2.5)

$$
=d_{\infty}(g,f). \tag{2.6}
$$

*Oliver Dixon* DRAFT/UNFINISHED *Page 4 of 39*

 $\Box$ 

*3rd October 2023 TODO TODO*

• By the triangularity property of the standard metric on  $\mathbb{R}$ ,

$$
d_{\infty}(f,g) = \sup\{|f(t) - g(t)| : t \in [0,1]\}\
$$
\n(2.7)

$$
= \sup\{|f(t) - h(t) + h(t) - g(t)| : t \in [0, 1]\}\
$$
\n(2.8)

$$
\leq \sup\{|f(t) - h(t)| : t \in [0, 1]\} + \sup\{|h(t) - g(t)| : t \in [0, 1]\} \tag{2.9}
$$

 $= d_{\infty}(f, h) + d_{\infty}(h, g),$  (2.10)

hence  $d_{\infty}$  possesses the property of triangularity on  $B([0, 1])$ .

Thus,  $(B([0,1]), d_{\infty})$  is a metric space.

## <span id="page-9-0"></span>Lecture 3: Norms, Subspaces, and Isometric Maps

Lecture Three opens with a counterexample to challenge a common misconception. It continues to introduce the concept of *norms* as generalisations of the absolute value function, *metric subspaces*, and *isometric maps*, complemented by a simple example.

<span id="page-9-1"></span>

Despite the examples seen thus far, metric spaces needn't support an associated arithmetic or algebraic structure. For instance, let  $\Sigma = \{0,1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$  be the set of binary strings (sequences of zeroes and ones). If  $\sigma = (a_n)_{n=1}^{\infty} \in \Sigma$  and  $\sigma' = (b_n)_{n=1}^{\infty} \in \Sigma$ , consider the distance function  $d: \Sigma \times \Sigma \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  such that

$$
(\sigma, \sigma') \mapsto \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } a_n = b_n \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}; \\ 1/\min\{n \colon a_n \neq b_n\} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}
$$
 (3.1)

Despite there being no inherent algebraic structure or ordering on  $\Sigma$ , d is a metric that is inversely proportional with the earliest point at which two given binary strings diverge, thus inducing a very natural— although unconventional—notion of *distance*.

This example was adapted from Ben Green's University of Oxford [Metric Spaces and Complex](https://courses.maths.ox.ac.uk/course/view.php?id=65) [Analysis](https://courses.maths.ox.ac.uk/course/view.php?id=65) Michaelmas 2021 course notes.

#### <span id="page-9-2"></span>Definition 3.1 Norm

A *norm* is an abstraction of the absolute value. Suppose that V is a normable vector space. Then  $|| \cdot ||: V \to \mathbb{R}$  is such that, for all  $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in V$ ,

#### *Oliver Dixon* DRAFT/UNFINISHED *Page 5 of 39*

N1)  $||\mathbf{x}|| \ge 0;$ 

N2)  $||\mathbf{x}|| = 0 \iff \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0};$ 

N3)  $||\lambda \mathbf{x}|| = |\lambda| ||\mathbf{x}||$  for all  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ ;

N4)  $||\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}|| \le ||\mathbf{x}|| + ||\mathbf{y}||.$ 

V equipped with || · || is a *normed space*. Note that any norm can give rise to a metric; such a metric is sometimes called the *metric induced by the norm*.

<span id="page-10-0"></span>Definition 3.2 Metric Subspace

Suppose that  $(X, d)$  and  $(Y, e)$  are metric spaces. We say that X is a *metric subspace* of Y if  $X \subseteq Y$  and d is a restriction of e to  $X \times X$ .

<span id="page-10-1"></span>Definition 3.3 Isometric Map

Suppose that  $(X, d)$  and  $(Y, e)$  are metric spaces, and that  $\phi \colon X \to Y$  is surjective. Then  $\phi$  is called an *isometric map* if and only if  $e(\phi(a), \phi(b)) = d(a, b)$  for all  $a, b \in X$ . This will later be used to define the most rigid definition of "sameness" for metric spaces.

<span id="page-10-2"></span>Example 3.2 Complex Isometry

Each  $(a, b) \in \mathbb{R}$  is associated with a unique  $z = a + ib \in \mathbb{C}$  such that  $\Re(z) = a$  and  $\Im(z) = b$ . Notice that  $(a, b) \mapsto a + ib$  is a bijective map from  $\mathbb{R}^2$  to  $\mathbb{C}$ , and hence qualifies as an isometry.

## <span id="page-10-3"></span>Lecture 4: Introduction to the Topology of Metric Spaces

Lecture Four begins an investigation into the topology of a metric space. In particular, we introduce *open and closed balls*, *interior points*, *boundary points*, and *exterior points*, and define *open* and *closed* sets in terms of these concepts. We take an example metric space and rigorously compute its interior, boundary, and exterior, and finally show (by example) that considering objects as either subsets or subspaces can vastly alter their topological properties.

*5th October 2023 TODO TODO*



#### <span id="page-11-0"></span>Definition 4.1 Open and Closed Balls

Suppose that  $(X, d)$  is a metric space, and that  $x_0 \in X$ . For every  $\epsilon > 0$ , we define the *open ball centered at*  $x_0$  *with radius*  $\epsilon$  to be the set  $B(x_0, \epsilon) = \{x \in X : d(x, x_0) < \epsilon\}$ . Analogously, the *closed ball centered at*  $x_0$  *with radius*  $\epsilon$  is defined to be the set  $\overline{B}(x_0, \epsilon) = \{x \in X : d(x, x_0) \leq \epsilon\}.$ 





Figure 4.1: The open ball  $B(x_0, \epsilon) \subset X$ 

Figure 4.2: The closed ball  $\overline{B}(x_1, \delta) \subset X$ 

#### <span id="page-11-1"></span>Definition 4.2 Interior Points

Let  $A \subset X$ . An *interior point*  $y \in X$  of A is an element for which  $B(y, \epsilon) \subset A$  for some  $\epsilon > 0$ . That is, there is an open ball centred at y with radius  $\epsilon$  that is completely contained within A. The set of all such points is denoted as  $A<sup>o</sup>$ , and is called *the interior of A*.

#### <span id="page-11-2"></span>Definition 4.3 Boundary Points

The element  $y \in X$  is a *boundary point* of A if and only if for any  $\epsilon > 0$ ,  $B(y, \epsilon) \cap A \neq \emptyset$  and  $B(y, \epsilon) \cap A^c \neq \emptyset$ . That is, any open ball centred at y always intersects with A and its complement Ac . The set of all such points is denoted as ∂A, and is called *the boundary of* A.

#### <span id="page-11-3"></span>Definition 4.4 Exterior Points

The element  $y \in X$  is an *exterior point* of A if and only if for some  $\epsilon > 0$ ,  $B(y, \epsilon) \subset A^c$ . That is, there exists an open ball centred at  $y$  which intersects only with the complement of  $A$ ; this can also be interpreted as an interior point of the complement. The set of all such points is denoted as A<sup>e</sup> , and is called *the exterior of A*.

<span id="page-12-0"></span>

Note that the interior, boundary, and exterior are mutually disjoint and can be placed under the disjoint union operation to form the entire ambient space. This fact is henceforth denoted by " $A^o \coprod \partial A \coprod A^e = X$ " for  $A \subseteq X$ .

<span id="page-12-1"></span>Example 4.2 Finding the interior, boundary, and exterior of a set

Consider ( $\mathbb{R}, d$ ) with  $A = (0, 1] \subset \mathbb{R}$ . Intuitively, we can conjecture that  $A^o = (0, 1)$ ,  $\partial A = \{0, 1\}$ , and  $A^e = \mathbb{R} \setminus (0,1) = (-\infty,0) \cup (1,\infty)$ , however these claims must be proven rigorously by (a) showing that the conjectured points do belong to the relevant set, and (b) showing that the conjectured points are the only elements to belong to the relevant set.

• First consider the interior. Take  $x \in (0,1)$ . By [definition 4.2,](#page-11-1) we want to show that there is an  $\epsilon > 0$  such that  $B(x, \epsilon) \subset (0, 1] = A$ . Set  $\epsilon_1 := x$ , and  $\epsilon_2 := 1 - x$ . Given that  $0 < x < 1$ , we can take an  $\epsilon := \min{\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2}$ . Then, since  $\epsilon/2 < \epsilon_1, \epsilon_2$ ,

$$
B(x, \epsilon/2) = \{ y \in \mathbb{R} \colon d(x, y) < \epsilon/2 \} \subset A. \tag{4.1}
$$

This proves that  $(0,1) \subseteq A^o$ .

We now need to eliminate the remaining candidates in  $\mathbb{R} \setminus (0,1)$  from having possible membership in  $A^o$ . The points  $x < 0$  and  $x > 1$  can be discarded immediately, since any open ball centred at these points could never lie totally within  $A$ , due to their positive radii  $\epsilon$ . Finally, we need to show that  $\{0,1\} \not\subset A^o$ . Without loss of generality, pick  $x = 1$ , and take an  $\epsilon > 0$  to consider the open ball  $B(x, \epsilon)$ . Any such ball would contain a point that is strictly greater than 1, and hence would contain points outside of A. Thus,  $x \notin A^o$ , and  $A^{\circ} = (0, 1)$  as claimed.

• Now consider the boundary, as described in [definition 4.3.](#page-11-2) We claim that  $\{0,1\} = \partial A$ ,

#### *Oliver Dixon* DRAFT/UNFINISHED *Page 8 of 39*

and first demonstrate that  $\{0,1\} \subseteq \partial A$ . Without loss of generality, we show that  $0 \in \partial A$ . Let  $\epsilon > 0$ , and consider  $B(0, \epsilon) = (-\epsilon, \epsilon)$ . Clearly, since  $\epsilon > 0$ ,  $(-\epsilon, \epsilon) \cap A \neq \emptyset$  and  $(-\epsilon, \epsilon) \cap A^c \neq \emptyset$ ; thus, 0 is a boundary point.

Now, we show that there are no other boundary points of A in R. We know that  $\mathbb{R} =$  $A^o$  ∐  $\partial A$  ∐  $A^e$ , hence  $A^o \cup \partial A = \emptyset$ , thus  $(0,1) \not\subset \partial A$ . Without loss of generality for  $x < 0$ , consider points  $x > 1$ . Therefore, there exists an  $\epsilon > 0$  such that  $x = 1 + \epsilon$ . Considering  $B(x, \epsilon/2)$ , we can see that  $B(x, \epsilon/2) \subset A^c$ , which implies that  $B(x, \epsilon/2) \cap A = \emptyset$ . Thus,  ${0,1} = \partial A.$ 

• Finally, consider the exterior, as described in [definition 4.4.](#page-11-3) Recall that the entire space can be expressed as a disjoint union, e.g.  $\mathbb{R} = A^o \coprod A^e \coprod \partial A$ . Hence,

$$
A^e = \mathbb{R} \setminus (A^o \cup \partial A) \tag{4.2}
$$

 $= \mathbb{R} \setminus [0, 1]$  (4.3)

$$
= (-\infty, 0) \cup (1, \infty), \tag{4.4}
$$

as conjectured.

#### <span id="page-13-0"></span>Definition 4.5 Open, Closed, and Clopen Sets

Let  $(X, d)$  be a metric space. A subset A of X is *open* if and only if  $A \cap \partial A = \emptyset$ . A subset F of X is *closed* if and only if  $\partial F \subseteq F$ . Note that a set can be both open and closed: typical examples are the empty set and the entire space; these sets are called *clopen*.



 $F^o$  $F^e$ 

Figure 4.6: An open set A does not contain its boundary points.



#### <span id="page-13-1"></span>Example 4.3 Subset vs. Subspace

Consider  $(\mathbb{R}, d)$  with  $A = (0, 1) \cup (1, 2)$ . If A is considered as a *subset* if  $\mathbb{R}$ , then  $\partial A = \{0, 1, 2\}$ . Hence,  $A \cap \partial A = \emptyset$ , and A is open by [definition 4.5.](#page-13-0) Further, A is not closed, since  $\partial A \nsubseteq A$ .

#### *Oliver Dixon* DRAFT/UNFINISHED *Page 9 of 39*

<span id="page-14-4"></span><span id="page-14-3"></span>s Ed

*10th October 2023 TODO TODO*

If A is considered as a *subspace* of R, then  $\partial A = \emptyset$ , since  $\{0, 1, 2\} \nsubseteq A$ , and we cannot consider the points outside of the subspace when determining its topology. Hence, A is closed. But  $A \cap \partial A = \emptyset$ , since  $\partial A = \emptyset$ ; thus A is also open, and is ultimately clopen when interpreted as a subspace.

## <span id="page-14-0"></span>Lecture 5: Results on the Topology of Metric Spaces

Lecture Five proves multiple important theorems: a set is open if and only if its complement is closed; a set is open if and only if we can place an open ball around every point and stay inside of the set; and an open set can be expressed as a union of open balls. We also introduce the notion of *a topology*  $T_d$  as the collection of all open subsets, and prove theorems related to closure under the familiar union and intersection set operations.

<span id="page-14-1"></span>Theorem 5.1 A subset is open if and only if its complement is closed

Consider a set  $A \subseteq X$ . Then, A is open if and only if  $A<sup>c</sup>$  is closed.

*Proof.* This can be proven by unravelling the definitions of open and closed sets [\(definition 4.5\)](#page-13-0) and boundary points [\(definition 4.3\)](#page-11-2).

 $\equiv$  First, suppose that A is open. If  $A = \emptyset$ , then  $A^c = X$ . The entire space is known to be clopen, and thus closed. If  $A \neq \emptyset$ , then  $A \cap \partial A = \emptyset$ , and  $\partial A \subseteq A^c$ . We can now see a useful equality by using the fact that  $(A^c)^c = A$ :

$$
\partial (A^c) = \{ y \in X : \forall \epsilon > 0 \, B(y, \epsilon) \cap A^c \neq \emptyset \land B(x, \epsilon) \cap (A^c)^c \neq \emptyset \}
$$
\n
$$
(5.1)
$$

$$
= \{ y \in X \colon \forall \epsilon > 0 \, B(y, \epsilon) \cap A^c \neq \emptyset \land B(x, \epsilon) \cap A \neq \emptyset \}
$$
\n
$$
(5.2)
$$

$$
= \partial A. \tag{5.3}
$$

Since  $\partial A \subseteq A^c$ , and  $\partial A = \partial (A^c)$ , we know that  $\partial (A^c) \subseteq A^c$ . Hence,  $A^c$  is closed.

 $\leq$  Next, assume that  $A^c$  is closed, hence  $\partial(A^c) \subseteq A^c$ . Given that  $\partial A = \partial(A^c)$ ,  $\partial A \subseteq A^c$ . Since  $A^c \cap A = \emptyset$ , we know that  $\partial A \cap A = \emptyset$ , and thus A is open.  $\Box$ 

<span id="page-14-2"></span>Definition 5.1 The topology of a metric space

The *topology* of a metric space  $(X, d)$  is denoted as  $T<sub>d</sub>$ , and is defined to be *the collection of all open subsets of* X. Note that since  $T_d \subseteq \mathcal{P}(X)$ , for any set  $X, \emptyset, X \in T_d$ , so  $T_d \neq \emptyset$ .

<span id="page-15-0"></span>

Let  $A \subseteq X$  be open. Then, every point of A is an interior point of A. Equivalently, A is open if and only if there is an open ball around every point in A that resides within A:

 $\forall x \in A \exists \epsilon > 0$  such that  $B(x, \epsilon) \subseteq A$ . (5.4)

*Proof.*  $\implies$  First assume that A is open. By definition,  $A \cap \partial A = \emptyset$ . If  $A = \emptyset$ , then there exists no points to select, and the universal quantifier cannot select any points for x. If  $A \neq \emptyset$ , then there must be at least one  $\epsilon > 0$  such that  $B(x, \epsilon) \subseteq A$  or  $B(x, \epsilon) \subseteq A^c$ for any  $x \in A$ . But, since  $x \in A$ , it is not possible that  $B(x, \epsilon)$  is entirely contained within  $A^c$ , since  $x \in B(x, \epsilon)$ . Hence,  $B(x, \epsilon) \subseteq A^c$ , as required.

 $\equiv$  Next, suppose that  $\forall x \in A \exists \epsilon > 0$  such that  $B(x, \epsilon) \subseteq A$ . Take any  $x \in A$ . Immediately, we can see that  $x \notin \partial A$ , since  $B(x, \epsilon) \cap A^c = \emptyset$ , because  $B(x, \epsilon) \subseteq A$ . Hence, A is open.  $\Box$ 



Figure 5.1: Every point supports an open ball

#### <span id="page-15-1"></span>Theorem 5.3 The open ball is open

For any  $x \in X$  and any  $\epsilon > 0$ ,  $B(x, \epsilon) \in T_d$ . Since  $T_d$  is defined to be a collection of open sets, this statement is equivalent to the claim that "*the open ball is open*".

*Proof.* Take an  $x \in X$  and construct the open ball  $B(x, \epsilon)$ , for a fixed  $\epsilon > 0$ . Take  $y \in B(x, \epsilon)$  and let  $\Delta := d(x, y)$ . If  $x = y$ , then  $B(x, \epsilon) = B(y, \epsilon) \subseteq B(x, \epsilon)$ , there is nothing to do; therefore, we assume that  $x \neq y$ , thus  $\Delta > 0$  and  $0 < \Delta < \epsilon$ . Let  $\epsilon' \coloneqq \min \{ \Delta, \epsilon - \Delta \}$  and consider  $B(y, \epsilon'/2)$ .

To show the openness of the open ball, it is sufficient to show that  $B(y, \epsilon'/2) \subseteq B(x, \epsilon)$ . By the triangle inequality on d, for any  $z \in$  $B(y, \epsilon'/2),$ 

$$
d(x, z) \le d(x, y) + d(y, z) \tag{5.5}
$$

$$
\leq \Delta + \epsilon'/2 \qquad (5.6)
$$
  
=  $\Delta + \min{\{\Delta, \epsilon - \Delta\}}/2 \qquad (5.7)$ 

$$
\leq \Delta + (\epsilon - \Delta)/2 \tag{5.8}
$$
  
< 
$$
< \Delta + \epsilon - \Delta \tag{5.9}
$$

 $= \epsilon$  (5.10)



Figure 5.2: Careful construction of  $B(y, \epsilon'/2)$ 

Thus,  $z \in B(x, \epsilon)$  for all  $z \in B(y, \epsilon'/2)$ . Therefore,  $B(y, \epsilon'/2) \subseteq B(x, \epsilon)$ , and open balls are indeed open.  $\Box$ 

<span id="page-16-0"></span>Theorem 5.4 Elements of the topology are unions of open balls

If  $A \in T_d$  and  $A \neq \emptyset$ , then A is a union of open balls.

*Proof.* Suppose that  $A \neq \emptyset$  and is open. Take any  $x \in A$ , and we know by [theorem 5.2](#page-15-0) that there exists an  $\epsilon > 0$  such that  $B(x, \epsilon) \subset A$ . Then, we claim that

$$
A = \bigcup_{x \in A} B(x, \epsilon(x)) \iff \left[ A \subseteq \bigcup_{x \in A} B(x, \epsilon(x)) \land A \supseteq \bigcup_{x \in A} B(x, \epsilon(x)) \right].
$$
 (5.11)  
true by the principle of double-inclusion

The leftmost conjunctive on the right-hand-side is clearly true: by placing an open ball of strictly positive radius around every point in A, the entire set will be covered, since  $x \in B(x, \epsilon)$  for any x and  $\epsilon > 0$ . The rightmost conjunctive is also true, since each individual ball is wholly contained within A, and taking the union of all such interior balls cause any elements to "escape" the set in which they reside. Hence,  $A$  is the union of the open balls centered about every point in  $A$ .  $\Box$ 

#### <span id="page-16-1"></span>Theorem 5.5 Any union of open sets is open

Take *any* (finite, countably infinite, or uncountable) collection of open sets  $\Lambda \subseteq T_d$ . Then, for any Λ,

$$
\bigcup_{\Omega \in \Lambda} \Omega \in T_d \text{ is open.} \tag{5.12}
$$

*Proof.* Take  $x \in \bigcup_{\Omega \in \Lambda} \Omega$ . Thus, there exists an open  $\Omega(x)$  such that  $x \in \Omega(x)$ . By [definition 4.5,](#page-13-0)

there exists an  $\epsilon > 0$  such that  $B(x, \epsilon) \subseteq \Omega(x)$ . By transitivity,  $B(x, \epsilon) \subseteq \bigcup_{\Omega \in \Lambda} \Omega$ , and every union of open sets is open by [theorem 5.4.](#page-16-0)  $\Box$ 

#### <span id="page-17-0"></span>Example 5.1 Non-finite open sets are not closed under intersection

Take  $(\mathbb{R}, d)$  and consider  $I_n := (-1/n, 1/n)$ . Under infinite intersection, we calculate the singleton:

$$
\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} I_n = \{0\}.
$$
\n
$$
(5.13)
$$

It is known that all singletons are not open—since any  $B(x, \epsilon)$  with  $\epsilon > 0$  would exceed the bounds of  $\{x\}$ —despite each individual  $I_n$  being open. Thus, the union property shown in [theorem 5.5](#page-16-1) does not apply with such generality to intersections.



Figure 5.3: Nested intersections  $I_1$  through  $I_5$ 

#### <span id="page-17-1"></span>Theorem 5.6 Any finite intersection of open sets is closed

Take *any finite* collection of open sets  $\Omega_1, \ldots, \Omega_N \in T_d$ . Then,

$$
\bigcap_{i=1}^{N} \Omega_i \in T_d \text{ is open.}
$$
\n(5.14)

*Proof.* If  $\bigcap_{i=1}^{N} \Omega_i = \emptyset$ , then there is nothing further to show, since  $\emptyset$  is known to be open and thus a member of all topologies  $T<sub>d</sub>$ . We now assume that the intersection is non-empty, from which we take an element x. Thus, there exists an  $\epsilon_i > 0$  for which  $B(x, \epsilon_i) \subseteq \Omega_i$ . Let  $\epsilon := \min{\{\epsilon_1, \ldots, \epsilon_N\}}$ ; then,  $B(x, \epsilon) \subseteq B(x, \epsilon_i) \subseteq \Omega_i$  for any choice of  $i = 1, \ldots, N$ . Therefore,

$$
B(x,\epsilon) \in \bigcap_{i=1}^{N} \Omega_i,
$$
\n(5.15)

since  $B(x, \epsilon)$  is a member of *every*  $\Omega_i$ . Hence we can place an open ball with positive radius around any point and stay within the intersection; it is therefore open.  $\Box$ 

#### *Oliver Dixon* DRAFT/UNFINISHED *Page 13 of 39*



## <span id="page-18-3"></span><span id="page-18-1"></span><span id="page-18-0"></span>Lecture 6: Closed Sets & Topological Equivalence



#### <span id="page-18-2"></span>Theorem 6.1 Summary of Closed Set Properties

We can easily derive a dual of [theorem 5.7](#page-18-0) for arbitrary and finite collections of *closed* sets.

F1) For any collection of closed sets  $\mathcal{F}$ ,

For all 
$$
F \in \mathcal{F}
$$
,  $\underbrace{F^c \in T_d}_{\text{Theorem 5.1}} \implies \underbrace{\bigcup_{F \in \mathcal{F}} (F^c) \in T_d}_{\text{Axiom T2}} \implies \bigcap_{F \in \mathcal{F}} F \text{ is closed},$  (6.1)

since  $(\bigcap_{F \in \mathcal{F}} F)^c = \bigcup_{F \in \mathcal{F}} (F^c) \in T_d$  by De Morgan's laws.

#### *Oliver Dixon* **DRAFT/UNFINISHED** *Page 14 of 39*

*12th October 2023*

F2) Similarly, for any finite collection of closed sets  $F_1, \ldots, F_N$ ,

$$
\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{N} F_i\right)^c = \bigcap_{i=1}^{N} F_i^c \in T_d \implies \bigcup_{i=1}^{N} F_i \text{ is closed.}
$$
\n(6.2)

<span id="page-19-0"></span>Definition 6.1 Topological Equivalence

Let d and  $d^*$  be metrics on a set X. Then,  $(X, d)$  and  $(X, d^*)$  are *(topologically) equivalent* if and only if  $T_d = T_{d^*}$ ; that is, d and  $d^*$  induce the same topologies.

<span id="page-19-1"></span>Theorem 6.2 Determining Topological Equivalence

Let X be a set and let d and  $d^*$  be metrics on X. Then,  $T_d = T_{d^*}$  if and only if there exists a scalar  $\lambda > 0$  for which

$$
\frac{1}{\lambda}d(x,y) \le d^*(x,y) \le \lambda d(x,y) \tag{6.3}
$$

for all  $x, y \in X$ .

<span id="page-19-2"></span>**Example 6.1**  $\mathbb{R}^2$  is topologically equivalent under the  $d_1$ ,  $d_2$ , and  $d_{\infty}$  metrics

Recall the unit circles  $S_1^2$  [\(figure 1.1\)](#page-6-4),  $S_2^2$  [\(figure 1.2\)](#page-6-5), and  $S_\infty^2$  [\(figure 1.3\)](#page-6-2) from [example 1.1.](#page-6-0) We claim (and give an information demonstration to show) that  $T_{d_1} = T_{d_2} = T_{d_{\infty}}$ ; that is,  $d_1, d_2$ , and  $d_{\infty}$  are topologically equivalent by [definition 6.1.](#page-19-0)

We first consider the set  $S_1^2 = \Omega$  in the space  $(\mathbb{R}^2, d_2)$ . Take a point  $x_0 \in \Omega$  and construct the open ball  $B(x_0, \epsilon/2) \subseteq S_1^2$ ; such a ball can be created by considering an  $\epsilon := \min{\{\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \epsilon_3, \epsilon_4\}}$ , where  $\epsilon_i$  for  $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$  are the perpendicular distances from  $x_0$  to the boundary of  $\Omega$  by the  $d_2$ metric. Then,  $\Omega \in T_{d_2}$ .

Next, consider  $S_2^2 = \Lambda$  in the space  $(\mathbb{R}^2, d_1)$ . Constructing open balls in  $d_1$  around the points in Λ is easier still, since we only need to consider 'diamonds' which lie entirely within the Euclidean  $d_2$  unit circle. By covering the entire set, we can conclude that  $\Lambda \in T_{d_1}$ .

Intuitively, we can see that the metrics  $d_1$  and  $d_2$  induce the same topologies; analogous arguments apply for establishing the equivalences to  $d_{\infty}$ .



#### <span id="page-20-0"></span>Definition 6.2 Closure

Let  $(X, d)$  be a metric space and  $A \subseteq X$ . Then the *closure* of A, denoted by  $\overline{A}$ , is defined to be  $\overline{A} = A \cup \partial A.$ 



Figure 6.3: Encapsulating the boundary of an open set  $A$  is the most 'efficient' way of generating a closed set  $\overline{A}$  with the same interior  $A^o$ .

#### <span id="page-20-1"></span>Theorem 6.3 The closure is closed

For an open set  $A \subseteq X$ , the closure  $\overline{A}$  is closed.

*Proof.* By [theorem 5.1,](#page-14-1)  $\overline{A}$  is closed if and only if  $(\overline{A})^c$  is open. If  $(\overline{A})^c = \emptyset$ , then we are done since the empty set is known to be open; thus we assume that  $(\overline{A})^c \neq \emptyset$ . To prove the openness of this non-empty set, we consider an arbitrary point  $x \in (\overline{A})^c$  and construct an  $\epsilon > 0$  such that

#### *Oliver Dixon* DRAFT/UNFINISHED *Page 16 of 39*

 $B(x,\epsilon) \subseteq (\overline{A})^c$ .

Since  $\overline{A} = A \cup \partial A$ ,  $x \notin A$  and  $x \notin \partial A$ . We can use rules from first-order logic combined with De Morgan's laws to negate the definition of a boundary point shown in [definition 4.3:](#page-11-2)

$$
x \in (\partial A)^c = \{ y \in X : \forall \epsilon > 0 \, B(y, \epsilon) \cap A \neq \emptyset \land B(y, \epsilon) \cap A^c \neq \emptyset \}^c \tag{6.4}
$$

$$
= \{ y \in X \colon \forall \epsilon > 0 \, B(y, \epsilon) \cap A = \emptyset \lor B(y, \epsilon) \cap A^c = \emptyset \}
$$
\n
$$
(6.5)
$$

$$
= \{ y \in X \colon \forall \epsilon > 0 \, B(y, \epsilon) \subseteq A^c \vee B(y, \epsilon) \subseteq A \}
$$
\n
$$
(6.6)
$$

Since  $x \notin A$ ,  $B(x, \epsilon) \subseteq A^c$  is the only possibility. We also require that  $x \notin \partial A$ , so we need to show that there is an  $\epsilon > 0$  such that  $B(x, \epsilon) \cap \partial A = \emptyset$ . By way of contradiction, suppose that there exists a  $y \in B(x, \epsilon)$  such that  $y \in \partial A$ . By definition [definition 4.3,](#page-11-2) for all  $\delta > 0$ ,

$$
B(y, \delta) \cap A \neq \emptyset \text{ and } B(y, \delta) \cap A^c \neq \emptyset. \tag{6.7}
$$

If  $d(x, y) =: \epsilon^* < \epsilon$ , and  $\hat{\epsilon} \coloneqq \min{\lbrace \epsilon^*, \epsilon - \epsilon^* \rbrace}$ , then  $B(y, \hat{\epsilon}/2) \subseteq B(x, \epsilon)$ . But  $B(y, \hat{\epsilon}) \cap A \neq \emptyset$ . This is a contradiction: no such y can exist, so  $(\overline{A})^c$  is open, and  $\overline{A}$  is closed.  $\Box$ 



Figure 6.4: The open ball  $B(x, \epsilon)$  lies entirely within the complement of the closure of A, within which  $B(y, \delta)$  is nested.

## <span id="page-21-0"></span>Lecture 7: Limit Points and their Consequences

Lecture Seven demonstrates that a space is closed if and only if it is equal to its own closure, and moves to introduce the topic of limit/accumulation/cluster points whilst proving some useful related properties.



<span id="page-22-0"></span>Theorem 7.1 Relationships between a closed set and its closure

If  $A \subseteq X$  is closed, then  $A = \overline{A}$ ; if  $A = \overline{A}$ , then A is closed. That is, A is closed if and only if  $A = \overline{A}.$ 

*Proof.*  $\implies$  If  $A = \overline{A}$ , then  $\overline{A}$  is closed by [theorem 6.3.](#page-20-1) Thus A is closed.

 $\overline{A}$  If A is closed, then  $\overline{A} = A \cup \partial A$  by [definition 6.2.](#page-20-0) By [definition 4.5,](#page-13-0)  $\overline{A} = \partial A \cup \overline{A} = A$ . □

<span id="page-22-1"></span>Definition 7.1 Limit/Accumulation/Cluster Points

Let  $(X, d)$  be a metric space, and  $A \subseteq X$ . A *limit point*  $y \in X$  of A is an element of X for which

$$
[B(y,\epsilon) \setminus \{y\}] \cap A \neq \emptyset \text{ for all } \epsilon > 0. \tag{7.1}
$$

The *derived set* of A is denoted A′ , and is defined to be the set of all limit points of A. Equivalently (in the context of metric spaces, but not the more general topological spaces), we can say that  $y \in X$  is a limit point of A if, for any  $\epsilon > 0$ , the intersection  $B(y, \epsilon) \cap A$  contains infinitely many points of A. Limit points are sometimes called *accumulation points* or *cluster points*.



Figure 7.1: As the open balls contract *ad infinitum*, we can still find non-centroid points of A.

<span id="page-22-2"></span>Theorem 7.2 The closure of a set is the union of the base set and its derived set

For a set  $A \subseteq X$ ,  $\overline{A} = A \cup A'$ .

*Proof.* If A is closed, then the result is immediate:  $\overline{A} = A$  (by [theorem 7.1\)](#page-22-0), so  $A' \subseteq A = \overline{A}$ . Thus, we assume that A is open. If  $A' = \emptyset$ , then  $A' \subseteq \overline{A}$ , since A has no limit points; therefore, we also assume that A is non-empty. We will show the equality  $\overline{A} = A \cup A'$  for an open non-empty set A through the principle of double-inclusion.

 $\equiv$  Without loss of generality, suppose that y is such that  $y \in \partial A$  and  $y \notin A$ . For any choice of  $\epsilon > 0$ , we know that  $B(y, \epsilon) \cap A \neq \emptyset$ . Since  $y \notin A$ , there exists a  $y' \neq y \in A$ ,  $B(y, \epsilon)$ . This is consistent with [definition 7.1.](#page-22-1) Thus, y is a limit point, so  $A' \subseteq \overline{A}$  and  $A \cup A' \subseteq \overline{A}$ .

 $\leq$  Given that A is not closed, there exists a  $y \in A'$  such that  $y \notin A$ . Take  $\epsilon > 0$  and note that  $B(y, \epsilon) \cap A \neq \emptyset$  and  $B(y, \epsilon) \cap A^c \neq \emptyset$ . Thus, by [definition 4.3,](#page-11-2) y is a boundary point. We now unravel the definitions: A is closed  $\Leftrightarrow \partial A \subseteq A$  [\[Definition 4.5\]](#page-13-0) (7.2)  $\Leftrightarrow$   $A^c$  $[Theorem 5.1]$  (7.3)  $\iff A^c \cap \partial (A^c)$  $[Definition 4.5]$  (7.4)  $\iff A^c \cap \partial A = \emptyset$ [\[Equations 5.1](#page-14-3) to [5.3\]](#page-14-4)  $(7.5)$  $\Leftrightarrow \forall x \in A^c, \exists \epsilon > 0 \text{ s.t. } B(x, \epsilon) \subseteq A^c \quad \text{[Equation 7.3]}$  $\Leftrightarrow \forall x \in A^c, \exists \epsilon > 0 \text{ s.t. } B(x, \epsilon) \subseteq A^c \quad \text{[Equation 7.3]}$  $\Leftrightarrow \forall x \in A^c, \exists \epsilon > 0 \text{ s.t. } B(x, \epsilon) \subseteq A^c \quad \text{[Equation 7.3]}$ (7.6)  $\Leftrightarrow A = \overline{A}$  (7.7)  $\Leftrightarrow$   $A \supseteq A'$ (7.8) Hence,  $\overline{A} = A \cup \partial A = A \cup A'$  for any  $A \subseteq X$ .  $\Box$ 

<span id="page-23-0"></span>Definition 7.2 Topological Interpretation of Closure

Let  $(X, d)$  be a metric space, and  $A \subseteq X$ . Then,

<span id="page-23-3"></span>
$$
\overline{A} = \bigcap_{F \in \mathcal{F}} F,\tag{7.9}
$$

where F is the collection of all open supersets of A. This means that  $\overline{A}$  is the smallest closed superset of A.

#### <span id="page-23-1"></span>Definition 7.3 Density

Let  $(X, d)$  be a metric space and  $A \subseteq X$ . Then A is said to be *dense* in X if and only if  $\overline{A} = X$ . Alternatively—but equivalently—for any  $x \in X$  and any  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists an  $a \in A$  such that  $d(x, a) < \epsilon$ .

## <span id="page-23-2"></span>Lecture 8: Sequences and Convergence

Lecture Eight gives the definition of a *sequence* in a metric space, and motivates the study of various properties thereof, such as *convergence*. Some examples are provided, with familiar concepts from Real Analysis being recast into the more abstract setting of metric and topological spaces.



#### <span id="page-24-0"></span>Definition 8.1 Sequence

A *sequence* in a metric space  $(X, d)$  is an element of  $X^{\mathbb{N}}$ , where any element  $x \in X^{\mathbb{N}}$  can be written as a countable set  $x = \{x_1, \ldots, x_n, \ldots\}$ , such that  $x_i \in X$  for all  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ . Such a sequence is denoted

$$
(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \text{ or } (x_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}.
$$
 (8.1)

<span id="page-24-1"></span>Definition 8.2 Convergence of Sequences (by the Metric)

Let  $(x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  be a sequence in  $(X,d)$ . Then,  $(x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  *converges* to  $x \in X$  if and only if

For all  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists an  $N = N(\epsilon)$  such that  $d(x_n, x) < \epsilon$  for all  $n > N$ . (8.2)

If this is the case, we write  $x_n \to x$  (as  $n \to \infty$ ), and the x is the (unique) limit of  $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ . If no such x exists, then  $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is *divergent*.

$$
(X,d)=(\mathbb{R},d)\leftarrow\longrightarrow\longrightarrow\longrightarrow\longrightarrow\longrightarrow\longrightarrow\longrightarrow\longrightarrow\longrightarrow\longrightarrow\longrightarrow\longrightarrow\longrightarrow\longrightarrow\longrightarrow
$$

Figure 8.1: A sequence in  $(\mathbb{R}, d)$ , where d is the standard metric, converging to an  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ . The *zone of convergence*  $(x - \epsilon, x + \epsilon)$  is denoted with parentheses about x.

<span id="page-24-2"></span>Definition 8.3 Convergence of Sequences (by the Open Balls)

An equivalent notion of convergence can be expressed in terms of open balls, such that the tail of the sequence exists in the open ball centered at the limit. Let  $(x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  be a sequence in  $(X, d)$ . Then,  $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  *converges* to  $x \in X$  if and only if

$$
\forall \epsilon > 0 \, \exists N > 0 \text{ such that } x_n \in B(x, \epsilon) \text{ for all } n > N. \tag{8.3}
$$

That is, for any given  $\epsilon > 0$ , we must find an index N for the sequence  $(x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  after which all points  $x_i$   $(i > N)$  exist within the open ball  $B(x, \epsilon)$ . If this holds, then the sequence  $(x_n)$ converges to the centre of the open ball  $x$ .



*Oliver Dixon* DRAFT/UNFINISHED *Page 20 of 39*

Figure 8.2: A sequence converging within the bounds of an arbitrarily sized open ball centred at the limit. In this example,  $N = 5$ , since all sequence points  $x_6, x_7, x_8, \ldots$  belong to the pictured open ball.

<span id="page-25-0"></span>Definition 8.4 Convergence of Sequences (by the convergence of a real sequence)

We can also use results from Real Analysis to consider the real sequence generated by the distances between each point in the space and the proposed limit x. For a sequence  $(x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  in a metric space  $(X, d)$ ,

$$
\lim_{n \to \infty} x_n = x \iff \lim_{n \to \infty} d(x_n, x) \to 0. \tag{8.4}
$$

<span id="page-25-1"></span>Theorem 8.1 Convergences of Real-Dimensional Vector Spaces

 $\text{In } \mathbb{R}^k \text{ with any } d_1, d_2, \text{ or } d_\infty \text{ metrics, } overall\ convergence\ is\ equivalent\ to\ simultaneously\ component$ *wise convergence*. That is, for  $\mathbf{x}_n \in \mathbb{R}^k$  with  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$
\lim_{n \to \infty} \mathbf{x}_n \to \mathbf{x} \iff \lim_{n \to \infty} x_i^{(n)} \to x_i \text{ for each } i \in \{1, \dots, k\}.
$$
 (8.5)

Note that  $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \ldots, x_k)$  denotes a general vector/candidate limit, and  $\mathbf{x}_n = (x_1^{(n)}, \ldots, x_k^{(n)})$  $\binom{n}{k}$ denotes a k-dimensional vector in the sequence at index  $n$ . For this demonstration, we will consider the specific case of  $(\mathbb{R}^k, d_{\infty})$ .

*Proof.*  $\implies$  Suppose that  $\mathbf{x}_n \to \mathbf{x}$  as  $n \to \infty$ . We want to show that, for each  $i \in \{1, \ldots, k\}$ , the components converge such that  $x_i^{(n)} \to x_i$  as  $n \to \infty$ . Let  $\epsilon > 0$  be given. Since  $\lim_{n \to \infty} \mathbf{x}_n = \mathbf{x}$ , there exists an  $N(\epsilon) > 0$  such that  $d_{\infty}(\mathbf{x}_n, \mathbf{x}) < \epsilon$  for all  $n > N$ . By recalling the mapping definition of  $d_{\infty}$  from [definition 1.2,](#page-5-2) we can derive that

$$
\max_{1 \le i \le k} \left| x_i^{(n)} - x_i \right| < \epsilon \text{ for all } n > N. \tag{8.6}
$$

By the nature of the maximum function, we can generalise this inequality to all choices of  $i \in$  $\{1, \ldots, k\}$ :

$$
\left| x_i^{(n)} - x_i \right| < \epsilon \text{ for all } n > N \text{ for all } i \in \{1, \dots, k\} \,. \tag{8.7}
$$

We can immediately arrive at the desired limit for the real sequence:  $\lim_{n\to\infty} x_i^{(n)} = x_i$  for all  $i \in \{1, \ldots, k\}.$ 

Now suppose that  $\lim_{n\to\infty} x_i^{(n)} = x_i$  for all  $i \in \{1,\ldots,k\}$ , and let  $\epsilon > 0$  be given. Then,

#### *Oliver Dixon* DRAFT/UNFINISHED *Page 21 of 39*

for any suitable choice of i, there exists an  $N_i(\epsilon) > 0$  such that  $|x_i^{(n)} - x_i| < \epsilon$  for all  $n > N_i$ .

 $\mathbf{x}_1$  |  $x_1^{(1)}$   $x_2^{(1)}$   $x_3^{(1)}$  ...  $x_k^{(1)}$ k  $\mathbf{x}_2 \quad | \quad x_1^{(2)} \quad x_2^{(2)} \quad x_3^{(2)} \quad ... \quad x_k^{(2)}$ k  $\mathbf{x}_3 \quad | \quad x_1^{(3)} \quad x_2^{(3)} \quad x_3^{(3)} \quad ... \quad x_k^{(3)}$ k . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . .  $\begin{array}{c|c} \hline \hline \hline \hline \hline \hline \hline \end{array}$  $\frac{1}{2}$  $\mathcal{L}$  $N_1$ . . .  $\downarrow$   $N_3$   $\downarrow$   $N_k$ . . . N<sup>2</sup> . . . N<sup>j</sup> . . .

#### Zones of Convergence

Take  $N := \max\{N_1, \ldots, N_k\}$ , such that N is a sufficiently large index to induce convergence on all component sequences. That is,

$$
\max_{1 \le i \le k} \left| x_i^{(n)} - x_i \right| < \epsilon \text{ for all } n > N. \tag{8.8}
$$

Thus,  $\lim_{n\to\infty} x_i^n = x_i$  for all  $i \in \{1,\ldots,k\}$ , as desired.

#### <span id="page-26-0"></span>Example 8.1 Convergence of Continuous Functions

Consider the sequence  $(f_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  where  $f_n \in C([0,1])$  and  $t \mapsto t^n$ . We claim the following:

- 1. In  $d_2$ ,  $(f_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  converges to zero;
- 2. In  $d_{\infty}$ ,  $(f_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  diverges.

First consider the sequence under the  $d_2$  metric. In general, we know that  $x_n \to x$  as  $n \to \infty$  if and only if  $d(x_n, x) \to 0$  as  $n \to \infty$ . Therefore, we compute  $d_2(f_n, 0)$ :

$$
d_2(f_n, 0) = \left[ \int_0^1 |f_n(t)|^2 \, \mathrm{d}t \right]^{1/2} \tag{8.9}
$$

$$
=\sqrt{\frac{1}{2n+1}}\tag{8.10}
$$

Given that  $\lim_{n\to\infty}\sqrt{1/(2n+1)}=0$ , we can conclude that  $f_n\to 0$  as  $n\to\infty$ . Next considering the sequence under the  $d_{\infty}$  metric, recall that, for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$
d_{\infty}(f_n, 0) = \sup\{|f_n(t)| : t \in [0, 1]\} = 1.
$$
\n(8.11)

Since 1 does not approach 0,  $f_n \nrightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Therefore, we can see, by example, that changing the metric on a set can vastly alter its convergence properties.

#### *Oliver Dixon* DRAFT/UNFINISHED *Page 22 of 39*

 $\Box$ 



Figure 8.3: The graphs of  $f_n(t)$  over [0, 1] for  $n = 1, 2, 3, 4$ .

#### <span id="page-27-0"></span>Theorem 8.2 Relationship between Mutual Convergence and Topological Equivalence

Suppose that  $(X, d)$  and  $(X, d^*)$  are topologically equivalent. Then,

$$
\underbrace{(x_n)_{n=1}^{\infty} \to x}_{\text{Convergence in } (X,d)} \iff \underbrace{(x_n)_{n=1}^{\infty} \to x}_{\text{Convergence in } (X,d^*)}.
$$
\n(8.12)

*Proof.* Due to the topological equivalence of  $(X, d)$  and  $(X, d^*)$ , and by [theorem 6.2,](#page-19-1) there exists a scalar  $\lambda > 0$  such that

$$
\frac{1}{\lambda}d^*(x,y) \le d(x,y) \le \lambda d^*(x,y) \text{ for all } x, y \in X. \tag{8.13}
$$

 $\Rightarrow$  Suppose that  $(x_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  → x as  $n \to \infty$ . Let  $\epsilon > 0$  be given, and set  $\epsilon^* := \epsilon/\lambda$ . By [definition 8.2,](#page-24-1) there exists an  $N > 0$  such that  $d(x_n, x) < \epsilon^*$  for all  $n > N$ . Since  $(X, d)$  and  $(X, d^*)$  are topologically equivalent,

$$
\frac{1}{\lambda}d^*(x_n, x) \le d(x_n, x) < \epsilon^* = \frac{\epsilon}{\lambda}.\tag{8.14}
$$

Since  $\lambda > 0$ , we can multiply through by  $\lambda$ :

$$
d^*(x_n, x) \le \lambda d(x_n, x) < \epsilon. \tag{8.15}
$$

Thus,  $d^*(x_n, x) < \epsilon$  for all  $n > N$ , so  $(x_n)_{n=1}^{\infty} \to x$  as  $n \to \infty$  in  $(X, d^*)$ .

 $\equiv$  A near-identical argument applies: derive convergence in  $(X, d)$  by assuming convergence in  $(X, d^*)$ .  $\Box$ 

## <span id="page-28-0"></span>Lecture 9: Cauchy Sequences & More on Convergence

Lecture Nine proves the uniqueness of limits, and begins to formalise *24th October 2023* the Cauchy Condition while introducing its various surprising rela-*TODO* M tionships to 'traditional' convergence. We are also brought to consider *TODO* **R.** the concept of pointwise convergence over a sequence of functions.

<span id="page-28-1"></span>Theorem 9.1 Uniqueness of Limits of Convergent Sequences

Let  $(X, d)$  be a metric space, and let  $(x_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  be a convergent sequence in  $(X, d)$  such that  $x_n \to x$ , and  $x_n \to y$ , as  $n \to \infty$ . Then,  $x = y$ .

*Proof.* Assume, by way of contradiction, that  $x \neq y$ . Thus,  $d(x, y) =: \epsilon < 0$ . Set  $\delta := \epsilon/2$ . Given that  $x_n \to x$  as  $n \to \infty$  there exists an  $N(\delta) > 0$  such that  $d(x_n, x) < \delta = \epsilon/2$  for all  $n > N$ , by [definition 8.2.](#page-24-1) Due to the purported simultaneous convergence to y, there also exists an  $\tilde{N}(\delta) > 0$ such that  $d(x_n, y) < \delta = \epsilon/2$  for all  $n > N$ .

Thus,

$$
d(x_n, x) < \delta = \frac{\epsilon}{2} \text{ and } d(x_n, y) < \delta = \frac{\epsilon}{2} \tag{9.1}
$$

for all  $n > M$ , where  $M \coloneqq \max\{N, \widetilde{N}\}\.$  By the triangle inequality,

$$
d(x,y) \le d(x,x_n) + d(x_n,y) \tag{9.2}
$$

$$
\langle \delta + \delta \rangle \tag{9.3}
$$

$$
= \epsilon \text{ for all } n > M. \tag{9.4}
$$

Given that  $\epsilon$  was defined to be equal to  $d(x, y)$ , this is a contradiction. Therefore,  $x = y$ , and the limits are unique.  $\Box$ 



Figure 9.1: Given the required sequence convergences, we cannot have distinct limits.

#### *Oliver Dixon* **DRAFT/UNFINISHED** *Page 24 of 39*

<span id="page-29-0"></span>Example 9.1 Abstract Infinite Series

We are often concerned with expressions of the form

$$
S_{\infty} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n,
$$
\n(9.5)

where  $S_{\infty}$  is defined to be the limit of the sequence generated by the partial sums

$$
S_k = \sum_{n=1}^k x_n \tag{9.6}
$$

as k approaches infinity. If a metric space  $(X, d)$  supports an algebra with a suitable notion of 'addition', this idea can be generalised to a more abstract setting.

<span id="page-29-1"></span>Definition 9.1 Pointwise Convergence

Let  $(f_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  be a sequence of functions  $f: X \to Y$ . The function f is the *pointwise limit* of the function sequence if and only if

$$
\lim_{n \to \infty} f_n(x_0) = f(x_0) \text{ for any } x_0 \in X. \tag{9.7}
$$

This equivalence allows us to study convergence in an arbitrary metric space by examining convergence in the codomain Y.

#### <span id="page-29-2"></span>Definition 9.2 The Sequential Characterisation of Continuity

Let  $f: X \to Y$  be a function from  $(X, d)$  to  $(Y, d^*)$ . Then, f is *continuous at*  $x_0 \in X$  if and only if  $f(x_n)$  converges to  $f(x_0)$  whenever the sequence generated by  $x_n$  converges to  $x_0$ .

The function f is *continuous* if and only if f is continuous at every point  $x_0 \in X$ .

<span id="page-29-3"></span>Definition 9.3 Cauchy Sequence

Let  $(x_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  be a sequence. Then,  $(x_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is a *Cauchy sequence* if and only if, for any  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists an  $N > 0$  such that

$$
d(x_n, x_m) < \epsilon \text{ for all } n, m > N \tag{9.8}
$$

The terms of Cauchy sequences grow arbitrarily close, and Cauchy sequences need not be convergent.

<span id="page-30-0"></span>Example 9.2 The Cauchy condition does not imply convergence

Take any sequence of rationals  $p_n/q_n$  for which  $(p_n/q_n)^2 \to 2$  as  $n \to \infty$ . Note the following:

- $(p_n/q_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is Cauchy;
- There is no  $x \in \mathbb{Q}$  such that  $\lim_{n \to \infty} (p_n/q_n) = x$ , since  $\sqrt{2} \notin \mathbb{Q}$ .

These facts lead to the well-known result regarding the incompleteness of the rationals.

<span id="page-30-1"></span>Theorem 9.2 Convergence implies Cauchy

Let  $(X, d)$  be a metric space and let  $(x_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  be a convergent sequence such that  $\lim_{n\to\infty} x_n = x$ . Then,  $(x_n)_{x=1}^{\infty}$  is Cauchy.

*Proof.* Let  $\epsilon > 0$  be given, and set  $\delta := \epsilon/2$ . Given that  $(x_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is convergent, there exists an  $N(\delta) > 0$  such that  $d(x_n, x) < \delta$  for all  $n > N$ , according to [definition 8.2.](#page-24-1) By the triangle inequality, for all  $n, m > N$ ,

$$
d(x_n, x_m) \le d(x_n, x) + d(x, x_m)
$$
\n
$$
(9.9)
$$

$$
\langle \delta + \delta = \epsilon \tag{9.10}
$$

This is precisely the criterion for the Cauchy property on  $(x_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  by [definition 9.3.](#page-29-3)

This result, combined with the counterexample shown in [example 9.2,](#page-30-0) demonstrates that while convergence implies Cauchy, Cauchy does not necessarily imply convergence.

# <span id="page-30-2"></span>Lecture 10: Results on Cauchy Sequences, Subsequences, and Completeness

Lecture Ten establishes more useful relationships between the properties of a Cauchy sequence and a convergent sequences, and introduces the concept of subsequences. The property of completeness is finally defined, along with a statement of the Completion Theorem.

*26th October 2023 TODO TODO*



 $\Box$ 

#### <span id="page-31-0"></span>Example 10.1 The Harmonic Series Sequence is not Convergent

Consider ( $\mathbb{R}, d$ ) and the Harmonic series  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (1/n)$ . Define a sequence  $(S_N)_{N=1}^{\infty}$ , such that

<span id="page-31-3"></span><span id="page-31-2"></span>
$$
S_N := \sum_{n=1}^N \frac{1}{n}.\tag{10.1}
$$

We claim that  $(S_N)_{N=1}^{\infty}$  is not convergent; this can be shown by considering the contrapositive of the statement given by [theorem 9.2:](#page-30-1) "not Cauchy" implies "not convergent". Consider the difference between  $S_{(2N)}$  and  $S_N$ :

$$
S_{(2N)} - S_N = \left(\frac{1}{2N} + \frac{1}{2N - 1} + \dots + \frac{1}{N+1} + \frac{1}{N} + \frac{1}{N-1} + \dots + 1\right) -
$$
  

$$
\left(\frac{1}{N} + \frac{1}{N-1} + \dots + 1\right)
$$
  

$$
\ge \frac{N}{2N} = \frac{1}{2}
$$
 (10.3)

By [definition 9.3,](#page-29-3) given an  $\epsilon > 0$ , we need to find  $K > 0$  such that

$$
|S_M - S_N| < \epsilon \text{ for all } M, N > K. \tag{10.4}
$$

Given [equations 10.2](#page-31-2) to [10.3,](#page-31-3) if  $M := 2N$ , then  $|S_M - S_N| > \epsilon$ , and the Cauchy condition does not hold. By the initial statement, the sequence is therefore not convergent.

#### <span id="page-31-1"></span>Definition 10.1 Subsequence

Given a metric space  $(X, d)$  containing a sequence  $(x_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ , a *subsequence* of  $(x_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is a sequence of elements  $x_{n_1}, x_{n_2}, \ldots, x_{n_k}, \ldots$  such that  $n_1 < n_2 < \ldots < n_k < \ldots$  Intuitively, we are generating a subsequence by selecting elements from an 'ambient sequence' while preserving the order of indices.



Figure 10.1: An ambient sequence (red and blue points) generated by  $x_n$  over  $n = 1, \ldots, 8$ , and a subsequence (blue points only)  $x_{n_k}$  with  $k = 2, 4, 5, 8$ .

<span id="page-32-0"></span>Theorem 10.1 Convergence in Subsequences

If  $(x_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is a sequence in  $(X, d)$  such that  $\lim_{n\to\infty} x_n = x$ , then any subsequence of  $(x_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  $n=1$ shares the limit. The converse also holds; this induces a useful contraposition test for nonconvergence: if any subsequence is not convergent, then the ambient sequence is neither convergent.

<span id="page-32-1"></span>Example 10.2 Determining Divergence by Testing Subsequences

Consider he sequence generated by  $x_n := (\pm x)^n$  in R. Then consider the subsequences generated by extracting terms at even and odd indices and examine their respective limits:

 $n_1 = 1, n_2 = 3, n_3 = 5, \ldots \quad \mapsto \quad (-1, -1, -1, \ldots) \quad \to \quad -1 \quad (\text{as } n \to \infty)$  (10.5)  $n_1 = 2, n_2 = 4, n_3 = 6, \ldots \quad \mapsto \quad (1, 1, 1, \ldots) \qquad \longrightarrow \quad 1 \qquad (\text{as } n \to \infty) \qquad \text{(10.6)}$ 

By the contraposition test described by [theorem 10.1,](#page-32-0) we can see immediately that  $(x_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  $n=1$ diverges, given that its subsequences do not converge to a consistent limit.

<span id="page-32-2"></span>Definition 10.2 Completeness

Let  $(X, d)$  be a metric space. Then, X is *complete* if and only if any Cauchy sequence converges to a point in X. We may assume that  $(\mathbb{R}, d)$  is complete.

If a sequence is Cauchy, and its resident metric space is complete, then the sequence is convergent. To show that a space is *not complete*, we must find a Cauchy sequence in the space which does not converge to another point in the space.



Figure 10.2: [Pluto,](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Bident#In_art) the Greek God of Convergence, brandishing his topological bident

<span id="page-32-3"></span>Theorem 10.2 The Completion Theorem

Let  $(X, d)$  be a metric space. There is a metric space  $(X^*, d^*)$  and an isometry  $\psi: X \to X^*$  such that the following properties hold:

•  $X^*$  is complete (c.f. [definition 10.2\)](#page-32-2); and

•  $\psi(X)$  is dense in  $X^*$  (c.f. [definition 7.3\)](#page-23-1).

 $X^*$  is called a *completion* of X; all completions of X are isometric to  $X^*$ .

*Proof.* Proof sketch:

- 1. If X is already complete, we can set  $X^* := X$  and  $\psi(x) := x$  and finish. Therefore, we assume that  $X$  is not complete.
- 2. Collate the set of all Cauchy sequences in X, and denote the set as  $\mathcal{G}(X)$ . This set is trivially nonempty: for the degenerate case of a singleton  $X = \{x\}$ , we can extract the Cauchy sequence  $(x, x, \ldots)$ .
- 3. Define an equivalence relation  $\sim$  on  $\mathcal{G}(X)$  such that

$$
(x_n) \sim (y_n) \iff \lim_{n \to \infty} d(x_n, y_n) = 0 \text{ for all sequences in } \mathcal{G}(X). \tag{10.7}
$$

- 4. Construct the set of all equivalence classes under the  $\sim$  relation: the quotient set  $\mathcal{G}(X)$  /∼.
- 5. Extend the metric d on X to the metric d<sup>\*</sup> on  $X^*$  by defining a map  $\tilde{d}: \mathcal{G}(X)/\sim \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$
([(x_n)], [(y_n)]) \mapsto \lim_{n \to \infty} d(x_n, y_n) \in \mathbb{R}.
$$
\n
$$
(10.8)
$$

6. Define the isometry map  $\psi: X \to X^*$  such that

$$
x \mapsto [(x)_{n=1}^{\infty}] \in \mathcal{G}(X) / \sim \text{ where } [(x)_{n=1}^{\infty}] = (x, x, \ldots), \tag{10.9}
$$

and prove that  $\psi$  is an isometry.

- 7. Show that  $\overline{\psi(X)} = \mathcal{G}(X) / \sim = X^*$ , thereby confirming density.
- 8. Show that any Cauchy sequence in  $\mathcal{G}(X)$  /∼ converges to a common limit in the same quotient set, and prove uniqueness.

The detailed proof is completed in Section 1.5 of [\[SV06\]](#page-43-0).

<span id="page-33-0"></span>Theorem 10.3 A real-valued vector space is complete under the sup-metric

The space  $(\mathbb{R}^k, d_{\infty})$  where  $k \geq 2$  is complete.

*Proof.* By [definition 10.2,](#page-32-2) and in the same vein as [theorem 10.2,](#page-32-3) we take an arbitrary Cauchy sequence  $(\mathbf{x}_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  from  $(\mathbb{R}^k, d_{\infty})$ , and let  $\epsilon > 0$  be given. Since  $(\mathbf{x}_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is Cauchy, there exists

 $\Box$ 

an  $N(\epsilon) > 0$  such that, for all  $n, m > N$ ,

$$
d_{\infty}(\mathbf{x}_n, \mathbf{x}_m) = \max_{1 \le i \le k} \left\{ \left| x_i^{(n)} - x_i^{(m)} \right| \right\} \quad \text{[Definition 1.2]} \tag{10.10}
$$

 $< \epsilon$  [\[Definition 9.3\]](#page-29-3) (10.11)

Given that  $\mathbb R$  is axiomatised to be complete, each real subsequence converges to a real limit. Since we know the limit to exist, we can construct a candidate limit  $x$  to be the component-wise limits, as pictured below.



After the index N,  $\mathbf{x}_n$  and  $\mathbf{x}_m$  are sufficiently close by the  $d_{\infty}$  metric; that is,  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^k$  is composed of the component-wise limits of  $x_i$  for  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ . We can use [theorem 8.1](#page-25-1) to show that the candidate limit  $x$  is valid, given that the coordinate sequences converge component-wise (by construction of  $\mathbf{x}$ ).

Thus, any arbitrary Cauchy sequence  $(\mathbf{x}_n)_{n=1}^{\infty} \in (\mathbb{R}^k)^{\mathbb{N}}$  converges to  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^k$ , so  $(\mathbb{R}^k, d_{\infty})$  is complete by [definition 10.2.](#page-32-2)  $\Box$ 

## <span id="page-34-0"></span>Lecture 11: Generalising Continuity

Lecture Eleven introduces a general form of continuity according to the  $\epsilon-\delta$  definition and hence motivates five superficially different, albeit equivalent, notions of a continuous map in terms of highly abstract open and closed sets. The sets of bounded and continuous functions are revisited with greater care, and an example helps to illustrate  $B(X, Y)$  with the  $d_{\infty}$  metric.



<span id="page-34-1"></span>

<span id="page-35-0"></span>Definition 11.2 Inverse Image (Fibreoptic Interpretation)

Following from [definition 11.1,](#page-34-1) we can define a *fibre* of y to be the inverse image of the singleton set  $\{y\} \subseteq Y$ , denoted  $F_y$ :

$$
F_y := \{ x \in X : f(x) = y \}.
$$
\n(11.2)

Then, the *inverse image* of A is the union over all fibres of the singletons in A:

$$
f^{-1}(A) = \bigcup_{y \in A} F_y.
$$
\n(11.3)

<span id="page-35-1"></span>Definition 11.3 Continuity on the Real Line

A function  $f: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  is *continuous* if and only if, for all  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists a  $\delta(\epsilon) > 0$  such that

$$
|x - x_0| < \delta \implies |f(x) - f(x_0)| < \epsilon. \tag{11.4}
$$

This is the least abstract, yet formally correct, definition of continuity, as it applies only to the set of real numbers. Over the next set of definitions, we define increasingly abstract—albeit equivalent—notions of *continuity in a metric space*.

<span id="page-35-2"></span>Definition 11.4 Continuity in an Abstract Metric Space

Let  $(X, d)$  and  $(Y, \tilde{d})$  be metric spaces. The function  $f: X \to Y$  is continuous at  $x_0 \in X$  if any of the following equivalent definitions holds:

- 1. (Analytic Definition) For any  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists a  $\delta > 0$  such that  $\tilde{d}(f(x), f(x_0)) < \epsilon$ whenever  $d(x, x_0) < \delta$ .
- 2. (Open Ball Definition) For any  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists a  $\delta > 0$  such that  $f(B(x_0, \delta)) \subseteq$  $B(f(x_0, \epsilon))$ . See [figure 11.1.](#page-36-2)
- 3. (Open Set with Ball Definition) For any open set  $V \subseteq Y$  with  $f(x_0) \in V$ , there exists a *ball*  $B \subseteq X$  such that  $x_0 \in B$  and  $f(B) \subseteq V$ .
- 4. (Purely Topological Definition) For any open set  $V \subseteq Y$  with  $f(x_0) \in V$ , there exists an *open set*  $U \subseteq X$  with  $x_0 \in U$  and  $f(U) \subseteq V$ .
- 5. (Sequence Definition) For any sequence  $(x_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  with  $\lim_{n\to\infty}x_n=x_0$ , the sequence generated by  $f(x_n)$  is such that  $\lim_{n\to\infty} f(x_n) = f(x_0)$ .

To prove the equivalence between these definitions, we need to demonstrate the following cycle of implications:

 $\#1 \implies \#2 \implies \#3 \implies \#4 \implies \#5 \implies \#1.$  (11.5)

In particular, we will show that  $\#4 \implies \#5$  [\(theorem 11.1\)](#page-36-0), followed by  $\#5 \implies \#1$  [\(theo](#page-36-1)[rem 11.2\)](#page-36-1). The equivalences of statements  $\#1$  through  $\#4$  can be trivially established through previously established results on the connexions between open balls and open sets, such as those enumerated throughout [lecture 5.](#page-14-0)



<span id="page-36-2"></span>Figure 11.1: An open ball  $B(x_0, \delta) \subseteq X$  with two open balls in Y such that  $f(B(x_0, \delta)) \subseteq B(f(x_0), \epsilon) \subseteq Y$ , marked by dotted, dashed, and solid paths respectively.

<span id="page-36-0"></span>Theorem 11.1 The sequential statement of continuity follows from the topological definition

Let  $x_0 \in X$  and let  $(x_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  be a sequence in X such that  $\lim_{n\to\infty} x_n = x_0$ . If, for any open set  $V \subseteq Y$  with  $f(x_0) \in V$ , there exists an open set  $U \subseteq X$  with  $x_0 \in U$  and  $f(U) \subseteq V$ , then the sequence generated by  $f(x_n)$  is such that  $\lim_{n\to\infty} f(x_n) = f(x_0)$ .

*Proof.* Take an arbitrary open set  $V \subseteq Y$  with  $f(x_0) \in V$ ; thus, there exists an open set  $U \subseteq X$ such that  $x_0 \in U$  and  $f(U) \subseteq V$ . Given that U is open, there exists an open ball by [theorem 5.2:](#page-15-0)  $\exists \epsilon > 0$  such that  $B(x_0, \epsilon) \subseteq U$ . As  $(x_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  tends to  $x_0$ , we can find an index point  $N > 0$  such that  $d(x_n, x_0) < \epsilon$  for all  $n > N$  (by [definition 8.2\)](#page-24-1).

Thus,  $f(x_n) \in f(U) \subseteq V$  for all  $n > N$ . Given that V was chosen arbitrarily, any sequence generated by  $f(x_n)$  must converge to  $f(x_0)$ .  $\Box$ 

<span id="page-36-1"></span>Theorem 11.2 The analytical statement of continuity follows from the sequential definition

For any sequence  $(x_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  such that  $\lim_{n\to\infty} x_n = x_0$ , if the sequence  $(f(x_n))_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is such that  $\lim_{n\to\infty} f(x_n) = f(x_0)$ , then given an  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists a  $\delta > 0$  such that  $d(f(x), f(x_0)) < \epsilon$ whenever  $\tilde{d}(x, x_0)$ .

*Proof.* We will prove this using contraposition (modus tollens); the argument is visualised below

*Oliver Dixon* DRAFT/UNFINISHED *Page 32 of 39*



We will assume  $\neg Q$  and derive  $\neg P$ . For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , define the set

$$
A_n \coloneqq \left\{ x' \in X \colon d\left(x', x_0\right) < \frac{1}{n} \land \widetilde{d}\left(f\left(x'\right), f\left(x_0\right)\right) \geq \epsilon \right\}.\tag{11.6}
$$

By the assumption of  $\neg Q$ ,  $A_n$  is non-empty for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Thus, for each n, pick an element  $x_n \in A_n$  and note that  $\lim_{n\to\infty} x_n = x_0$ , but  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \tilde{d}(f(x_n), f(x_0)) \geq \epsilon$ , so the sequence generated by  $f(x_n)$  does not converge to  $f(x_0)$ . Hence, P does not hold, as required.  $\Box$ 

## <span id="page-37-0"></span>Lecture 12: Applications of Continuity

Lecture Twelve reframes much of the theoretical discussion around continuity into a more applied context, in which the equivalent definitions of a continuous map are considered in bounded and continuous function spaces. Some remarks are made in the realm of closed sets, particularly with regard to the concept of *global continuity*, where we have previously focussed on manipulations with open sets to show *local* continuity.





<span id="page-37-1"></span>Definition 12.1 Global continuity (by way of local continuity)

Let  $f: X \to Y$ . Then, f is *globally continuous* if and only if it is (locally) continuous, by [definition 11.4,](#page-35-2) at every point  $x_0 \in X$ .

<span id="page-38-0"></span>Definition 12.2 Global continuity (topological perspective)

If  $V \subseteq Y$  is open, then f is globally continuous if and only if  $f^{-1}(V) \subseteq X$  is also open; this is justified formally by [theorem 12.3.](#page-39-3) We can eventually restate this definition in terms of a closed set  $F \subseteq Y$ , justified by justified by [theorem 12.4:](#page-40-0)

$$
f \text{ is globally continuous} \iff V \in T_e \implies f^{-1}(V) \in T_d \tag{12.1}
$$

$$
\iff f^{-1}(F) \text{ is closed.} \tag{12.2}
$$



Figure 12.1: Considering the membership of the pre-image of V under f in the topology of the domain space, versus membership in the topology of the codomain space, can reveal  $f$  to be a globally continuous map.

<span id="page-38-1"></span>

*Oliver Dixon* **DRAFT/UNFINISHED** *Page 34 of 39* 

By [definition 12.2](#page-38-0) it is easy to verify that  $\Gamma$  is closed in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ : given that f is globally continuous, and given that  $\{1\}$  is closed—as are all singletons—its inverse image is also closed. Since  $\Gamma =$  $f^{-1}(\{1\}), \Gamma$  is closed.

<span id="page-39-0"></span>Theorem 12.1 Constant functions are globally continuous

Let  $f: X \to Y$  be such that  $x \mapsto k$ , where k is fixed. Then, f is continuous.

*Proof.* The singleton  $\{k\}$  is known to be closed, and the entire domain space  $f^{-1}(\{k\}) = X$  is known to be clopen, and thus closed. Hence, by [definition 12.2,](#page-38-0) f is continuous.  $\Box$ 

<span id="page-39-1"></span>Theorem 12.2 Continuity is preserved under function composition

Let  $f: X \to Y$  and  $g: Y \to Z$  be a pair of continuous functions. Then,  $g \circ f: X \to Z$  is also continuous.

*Proof.* Let  $V \subseteq Z$  be open. Given that f and g are continuous,  $g^{-1}(V) \subseteq Y$  and  $f^{-1}(g^{-1}(V)) \subseteq Y$  $X$  are open in their respective spaces, by [definition 12.2.](#page-38-0) It is known from set theory that

> $(g \circ f)^{-1}(V) = f^{-1}(g^{-1}(V))$  $(12.4)$

and hence the composition is continuous. It follows immediately that  $g \circ f$  is continuous.  $\Box$ 

<span id="page-39-2"></span>Example 12.2 Continuous Composition of Non-Continuous Constituents

Consider the pair of functions f and g from  $\mathbb R$  to [−1, 1] such that

$$
f(t) := \begin{cases} 1 \text{ if } t \ge 0; \\ -1 \text{ otherwise.} \end{cases}
$$
 (12.5)

$$
g(t) \coloneqq 0 \text{ for all } t \in \mathbb{R}.\tag{12.6}
$$

f is clearly non-continuous. Despite that,  $(g \circ f)(t) = 0$  for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ , which is continuous. Therefore, continuity on a composition does not generally imply continuity on the individual components.

<span id="page-39-3"></span>Theorem 12.3 Global continuity is equivalent to open pre-images

Let  $f: X \to Y$ . Then, f is globally continuous if and only if, for any open set  $V \subseteq Y$ ,  $f^{-1}(V)$  is open in X.

#### *Oliver Dixon* DRAFT/UNFINISHED *Page 35 of 39*

*Proof.*  $\implies$  (Using fibres.) Suppose that  $f: X \to Y$  is globally continuous, and consider an open set  $V \subseteq Y$ . If  $V = \emptyset$ , then  $f^{-1}(V) = \emptyset$ , and we are done, since the empty set is open. Assuming that  $V \neq \emptyset$ , take  $y \in V$ , and note the multiple possibilities for the nature of y:

- If  $y \notin f(X)$ , then  $F_y = \emptyset$ , and we are done.
- If  $y \in f(X)$ , then  $F_y \neq \emptyset$ ; that is, there exists an  $x \in X$  such that  $f(x) = y$ . Given that V is open and f is continuous, by [theorem 5.2,](#page-15-0) there exists an open ball  $B \subseteq X$  such that  $x \in B$  and  $f(B) \subset V$ . Take the union over all such balls to acquire the entire inverse image of  $V$  in  $X$ :

$$
f^{-1}(V) = \bigcup_{\substack{y \in V \\ F_y \neq \emptyset}} F_y = \bigcup_{x \in f^{-1}(V)} B_x \tag{12.7}
$$

By [theorem 5.5](#page-16-1) with [theorem 5.3,](#page-15-1)  $f^{-1}(V)$  is a union of open balls, and is therefore open, as required. (This is an example of an *open cover*.)

 $\equiv$  (Using epsilon–deltas.) Conversely, suppose that  $f^{-1}(V) \subseteq X$  is open for all open sets  $V \subseteq V$ . Take an  $x \in X$ , and note that  $B(f(x), \epsilon)$  is open in Y, and  $f^{-1}(B(f(x), \epsilon))$  is open in X. Since  $x \in f^{-1}(B(f(x), \epsilon))$ , there exists a  $\delta(\epsilon) > 0$  such that  $B(x, \delta) \subseteq f^{-1}(B(f(x), \epsilon))$ . Hence, f is continuous at x. Given that  $x \in X$  was chosen arbitrarily, f is globally continuous.  $\Box$ 



Figure 12.3: Mapping V with open balls in Y, and their respective pre-images in X.

<span id="page-40-0"></span>Theorem 12.4 Global continuity is equivalent to closed pre-images

Let  $f: X \to Y$ . Then, f is globally continuous if and only if, for any closed set  $F \subseteq Y$ ,  $f^{-1}(F)$ is closed in X.

*Proof.* Recall from [theorem 12.3](#page-39-3) that the property of global continuity on f is equivalent to the openness of  $V \subseteq Y$  implying the openness of  $f^{-1}(V) \subseteq X$ .

 $\implies$  Suppose that  $F \subseteq Y$  is closed. By [theorem 5.1,](#page-14-1)  $F^c$  is closed, and by standard results from

set theory, for a globally continuous  $f$ ,

 $F^c$  is closed  $\implies f^{-1}(F^c)$  is open (12.8)

$$
\implies f^{-1}(F) \text{ is closed.} \tag{12.9}
$$

 $\leq$  Suppose that *V* is open, so *V<sup>c</sup>* is closed. As above,  $f^{-1}(V^c)$  is closed, and  $f^{-1}(V)$  is open.  $\Box$ 

## <span id="page-41-0"></span>Lecture 13: The Contraction Mapping Theorem

Lecture Thirteen introduces the concepts of Lipschitz constants, Lipschitz functions, (strict) contractions, and the Contraction Mapping Theorem. It also restates the existence of the spaces of bounded and continuous function, and considers a stronger notion of continuity induced by the  $d_{\infty}$  metric. *Note:* this lecture was unusually short.



<span id="page-41-1"></span>Definition 13.1 The space of continuous bounded functions

The entire space of all continuous functions from  $(X, d)$  to  $(Y, e)$  can be difficult to handle. From [definition 2.3,](#page-8-0) we can consider  $B(X, Y)$  to be set of all bounded functions  $f: X \to Y$ ; there exists an open ball  $B \subseteq Y$  such that  $f(X) \subseteq B$ . Now consider the *set of continuous bounded functions* from X to Y, denoted  $\mathcal{C}(X, Y)$ , such that

$$
\mathcal{C}(X,Y) = B(X,Y) \cap C(X,Y). \tag{13.1}
$$

We will normally impose the  $d_{\infty}$  metric on this space, such that

$$
d_{\infty}(f,g) = \sup \{ e(f(x), g(x)) : x \in X \}
$$
\n(13.2)

for all  $f, g \in \mathcal{C}(X, Y)$ .

#### <span id="page-41-2"></span>Definition 13.2 Uniform Convergence

Let  $(f_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  be a sequence of functions from  $(\mathcal{C}(X,\mathbb{K}),d_{\infty}),$  where K is a field ( $\mathbb{R}$  or  $\mathbb{C}$ ). The sequence  $(f_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is *uniformly convergent* on X with a limit  $f: X \to \mathbb{K}$  if and only if, for every  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists an  $N > 0$  such that

$$
d_{\infty}(f_n, f) \text{ for all } n > N. \tag{13.3}
$$

The central point of this definition is that we are using the  $d_{\infty}$  metric, sometimes called the *uniform metric*, to measure distances between continuous functions from  $X$  to  $K$  and hence

#### *Oliver Dixon* **DRAFT/UNFINISHED** *Page 37 of 39*

classify convergence properties.

#### <span id="page-42-0"></span>Definition 13.3 Fixed Points

A point  $x \in X$  is called a *fixed point* of the mapping  $T: X \to X$  if  $T(x) = x$ .

<span id="page-42-1"></span>Definition 13.4 Lipschitz Function and Lipschitz Constants

Suppose that  $(X, d)$  and  $(Y, e)$  are metric spaces, and that  $f: X \to Y$ . If there exists a  $k > 0$ such that

 $e(f(a), f(b)) \leq kd(a, b)$  for all  $a, b \in X$ , (13.4)

then f is called a *Lipschitz function* on X with a *Lipschitz constant* of k.

<span id="page-42-2"></span>Definition 13.5 Contractions

A *strict contraction*, henceforth called a *contraction*, is a Lipschitz function for which the Lipschitz constant k is such that  $0 < k < 1$ . In such cases, the Lipschitz constant of the contraction map is sometimes called the *contraction factor*.

<span id="page-42-3"></span>Theorem 13.1 The Contraction Mapping Theorem

Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete metric space and consider a contraction  $f: X \to X$ . Then,

- f has a unique fixed point  $y \in X$ ; and
- for any  $x_0 \in X$ , the sequence  $(x_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  converges to y, where  $x_n = f(x_{n-1})$  for  $n \ge 1$ .

This result is due to Stef[a](#page-42-4)n Banach<sup>a</sup>, and is often called the Banach Fixed Point Theorem.

*Proof.* TODO: see lecture XIV.

<span id="page-42-4"></span><sup>a</sup>1892–1945; responsible for the discovery of [Functional Analysis](https://www.york.ac.uk/students/studying/manage/programmes/module-catalogue/module/MAT00107M/latest)

 $\Box$ 

## Recommended Texts & Further Reading

- <span id="page-43-1"></span>[OSe07] Mícheál O'Searcoid. *Metric Spaces*. Springer Undergraduate Mathematics Series. Core module text. London, United Kingdom: Springer, 2007. ISBN: 978-1-846283697.
- <span id="page-43-0"></span>[SV06] Satish Shirali and Harkrishan Lal Vasudeva. *Metric Spaces*. Core module text. London, United Kingdom: Springer, 2006. isbn: 978-1-852339227.
- [Hut81] John Hutchinson. "Fractals and Self Similarity". In: *Indiana University Mathematics Journal* 30.5 (1981). For interest only, pp. 713–747. issn: 0022-2518, 1943-5258. url: [https://www.](https://www.jstor.org/stable/24893080) [jstor.org/stable/24893080.](https://www.jstor.org/stable/24893080)
- [Bee13] Gerald Beer. "The Structure of Extended Real-Valued Metric Spaces". In: *Set-Valued and Variational Analysis* 21 (2013). For interest only, pp. 591-602. ISSN: 1877-0541. DOI: [10.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11228-013-0255-2) [1007/s11228-013-0255-2.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11228-013-0255-2)
- [Kop88] Ralph Kopperman. "All Topologies Come From Generalized Metrics". In: *The American Mathematical Monthly* 95.2 (1988). For interest only, pp. 89–97. ISSN: 0002-9890, 1930-0972. URL: [https://www.jstor.org/stable/2323060.](https://www.jstor.org/stable/2323060)