

1 Differential equations

The basic problem:

$$\frac{dx}{dt} = \dot{x} = f(x, t)$$

subject to given boundary conditions (may be initial or end-point values etc.). Of course we may be interested in higher-order differential equations!

2 Initial value problems

If the boundary conditions are all expressed at the same point, then we have an **initial value problem**.

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{x} &= f(x, t) \\ \Rightarrow x(t) &= x(0) + \int_0^t f(x, t') dt'\end{aligned}$$

Unlike conventional numerical integration where we are interpolating between known end-points, we are now *extrapolating* \rightarrow can lead to large errors. How do we solve this?

First we split integral into discrete blocks:

$$\begin{aligned}x(t) &= x(0) + \int_0^{\Delta t} f(x, t') dt' + \int_{\Delta t}^{2\Delta t} f(x, t') dt' + \\ &\dots + \int_{n\Delta t}^{(n+1)\Delta t} f(x, t') dt' + \dots + \int_{t-\Delta t}^t f(x, t') dt'\end{aligned}$$

Write each term $f(x, t)$ as Taylor series about lower limit

$$f(x, t) = f(x, n\Delta t) + (t - n\Delta t) \left. \frac{\partial f}{\partial t} \right|_{t=n\Delta t} + \frac{(t - n\Delta t)^2}{2} \left. \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial t^2} \right|_{t=n\Delta t} + \dots$$

Now we need a way to compute these small integrals.

3 Euler method

If f varies slowly over the range $n\Delta t \leq t < (n+1)\Delta t$ then we can ignore most of the terms in the Taylor series and write:

$$f(x, t) \approx f(x, n\Delta t)$$

n	t_n	x_n	analytic
0	0.0	1.0	1.0
1	0.1	0.90	0.904837
2	0.2	0.81	0.818731
\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots
10	1.0	0.348678	0.367879
\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots
50	5.0	0.005154	0.006738

Table 1: The Euler method for radioactive decay, with $\lambda = 1$ and $\Delta t = 0.1$.

i.e. replace function in each integral by the function *value* at the start:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{n\Delta t}^{(n+1)\Delta t} f(x, t') dt' &\approx \int_{n\Delta t}^{(n+1)\Delta t} f(x(n\Delta t), n\Delta t) dt' \\ &= f(x(n\Delta t), n\Delta t) \Delta t \end{aligned}$$

4 Example 1: radioactive decay

Can solve $\frac{dx}{dt} = -\lambda x$ analytically, but let's use Euler:

$$x_{n+1} = x_n + (-\lambda x_n) \Delta t$$

Let's see what happens for $\lambda = 1$ and $\Delta t = 0.1$ (table 1). Overall, the error doesn't seem too bad.

- Can reduce this **truncation error** by reducing $\Delta t \rightarrow$ more steps.
- Finite precision, or **roundoff error**, limits final accuracy of *any* scheme.

5 Example 2: simple harmonic oscillator

Now we'll look at a *second-order* differential equation:

$$\frac{d^2 x}{dt^2} = -\omega^2 x$$

We'll split this into two coupled first order equations:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dx}{dt} &= v \\ \frac{dv}{dt} &= -\omega^2 x \end{aligned}$$

n	t_n	x_n	analytic x	v_n	analytic v
0	0.0	1.0	1.0	0.0	0.0
1	0.1	1.0	0.995004	-0.1	-0.099833
2	0.2	0.99	0.980067	-0.2	-0.198669
\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots
10	1.0	0.570790	0.540302	-0.882508	-0.841471
\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots
50	5.0	0.343355	0.283662	1.235613	0.958924

Table 2: Example of the Euler method for a simple harmonic oscillator. Here $\omega = 1$ and $\Delta t = 0.1$.

so using the Euler method we have:

$$\begin{aligned} x_{n+1} &= x_n + v_n \Delta t \\ v_{n+1} &= v_n - \omega^2 x_n \Delta t \end{aligned}$$

Typical boundary conditions: $x(0) = 1; v(0) = 0$. Let's use $\omega = 1$ and $\Delta t = 0.1$ as before. The results are in table 2. The Euler method leads to a nonphysical velocity v ! Clearly something has gone wrong, but what?

6 Error analysis for Euler method

Let's measure the error at step n , ϵ_n , by the residual:

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon_n &= x_n^{true} - x_n \\ \Rightarrow x_n^{true} &= x_n + \epsilon_n \end{aligned}$$

Now according to Euler,

$$\begin{aligned} x_{n+1}^{true} &= x_n^{true} + f(x_n^{true}, t) \Delta t \\ \Rightarrow (x_{n+1} + \epsilon_{n+1}) &= (x_n + \epsilon_n) + f(x_n + \epsilon_n, t) \Delta t \end{aligned}$$

Assuming ϵ_n is small, use a Taylor expansion in x to write:

$$f(x_n + \epsilon_n, t) \approx f(x_n, t) + \epsilon_n \left. \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} \right|_{x=x_n}$$

and so we have

$$(x_{n+1} + \epsilon_{n+1}) = (x_n + \epsilon_n) + (f(x_n + \epsilon_n, t)) \Delta t$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\approx (x_n + \epsilon_n) + \left(f(x_n, t) + \epsilon_n \left. \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} \right|_{x=x_n} \right) \Delta t \\
&= (x_n + f(x_n, t) \Delta t) + \epsilon_n \left(1 + \left. \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} \right|_{x=x_n} \Delta t \right)
\end{aligned}$$

But Euler means

$$x_{n+1} = (x_n + f(x_n, t) \Delta t)$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned}
\epsilon_{n+1} &= \epsilon_n \left(1 + \left. \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} \right|_{x=x_n} \Delta t \right) \\
&= \epsilon_n G
\end{aligned}$$

where G is the **error gain term**.

Provided $|G| < 1$ the error term does not grow, so we have a stable scheme.

E.g. for radioactive decay we have $f(x, t) = \frac{dx}{dt} = -\lambda x$, so

$$\begin{aligned}
G &= 1 + \left. \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} \right|_{x=x_n} \Delta t \\
&= 1 - \lambda \Delta t
\end{aligned}$$

Thus the Euler method is stable if $|1 - \lambda \Delta t| < 1$, i.e.

$$\begin{aligned}
-1 &< 1 - \lambda \Delta t < 1 \\
\Rightarrow -2 &< -\lambda \Delta t < 0 \\
\Rightarrow 0 &< \lambda \Delta t < 2 \\
\Rightarrow 0 &< \Delta t < \frac{2}{\lambda}
\end{aligned}$$

7 Beyond Euler

- Predictor-corrector
- Higher-order methods (extending truncation scheme)

These schemes are generally more accurate and stable, allowing a larger Δt , but require more function evaluations per step and more computer storage.

One common scheme is 4th-order Runge-Kutta – see any text on numerical methods for lots of details!