

Submission : PINS Ref. CROW/8/M/04/ 3456 Brubberdale

Case Officer : Paul Eland

From : Martin Biggs
Area Access Officer
East Yorkshire & Derwent Area of the
Ramblers' Association
Wynstow
7 Caedmon Close
York YO31 1HS

Tel 01904 424072

Date : 5 January 2005

Contents

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Methodology
- 3 Comments on the Grounds of Appeal
- 4 Site Map and photographic views

1 Introduction

This submission is made on behalf of the Ramblers' Association (RA) in the interests of those who wish to exercise the right to enter and remain on access land for the purposes of open air recreation as conferred by the Countryside and Rights of Way Act 2000 (CROW).

The submission seeks to prove that the site qualifies as 'down' under the terms of the CROW Act and that the appeal is invalid.

2 Methodology

- 2.1 Botanical evidence :** the botanical evidence consists of an analysis of historical survey data which was supplemented by site visits by a team of specially trained volunteers. The basis for this analysis is fully described in the document entitled "Habitat classification systems and data sets used". We have supplied Jenny Tynan at the PINS office with an electronic copy of this document and she has undertaken to produce and distribute copies to each inspector and all the parties to an appeal where we have submitted evidence.
- 2.2 Geological evidence :** we have cited the National Landscape Typology Definitive Attributes Survey (taken from www.magic.gov.uk) as geological proof of the calcareous nature of the underlying soil where it applies to the site being submitted.
- 2.3 Topographical evidence :** we have described the topography of the site as observed from the site visits wherever this was possible and in many cases have submitted photographs to support our view that the area does qualify as 'open country '. We have also cited countryside stewardship agreements and archaeological evidence where appropriate.

2.4 Previously submitted evidence : much of the evidence referred to above was submitted to the Countryside Agency (CA) at the Draft Map stage. Where this is the case we have simply summarised the main points in this submission, as we understand that the CA will have sent copies of all such evidence to the inspector.

The inspector should have the following documents in relation to this appeal, under the heading

RA Map 8, Brubberdale (area B)

1 A submission form containing the text setting out the evidence for the inclusion of the site on the map.

2 A species list of grasses and herbs.

3 A map identifying the site marked with references to the text.

4 An aerial photograph of the site.

5 A map showing the extent of such features as the Grassland Inventory, and Countryside Stewardship Agreements where these apply to the site (taken from www.magic.gov.uk).

3 Comments on the Grounds of Appeal

We disagree with the appellant's grounds of appeal which are:

3.1 Ground 1

The site is predominantly improved and semi-improved grassland.

The Phase II Habitat Survey [3] shows the area to be unimproved calcareous grassland supporting the National Vegetation Classification (NVC) communities CG2 and CG4 (see previous RA submission 8 for details). The Inspectors' Handbook [2a] states that these communities are characteristic of unimproved calcareous grassland. Among the species found in the area are clustered bellflower *Campanula glomerata*, rock rose *Helianthemum nummularium*, salad burnet *Sanguisorba minor* and sheep's fescue *Festuca ovina* which are all strong indicators of unimproved calcareous grassland.

3.2 Ground 2

The vegetation is not consistent with the definition of mountain, moorland, heath or down in MME.

The vegetation of this site is predominantly unimproved calcareous grassland (see details in paragraph 3.1). The Countryside Agency (CA) identifies unimproved calcareous grassland as 'down'.

3.3 Ground 3

The site does not have the general characteristics of open country.

The description of 'down' given in the C A's Mapping Methodology (revised July 2002) is as follows:

“In describing down as being ‘generally within an open landscape’ we mean that, whilst individual land parcels might comprise enclosures of varying size, they will be part of a typical chalk or limestone landscape, sometimes with vistas across undulating countryside and sometimes comprising steep-sided ‘scarp’ slopes and dry valleys with more limited views”.

We have enclosed photographic evidence to support our view that the area does have the general characteristics of ‘open country’

3.4 Summary.

The Agency’s criteria for identifying Down include:

- (a) the equivalence of ‘down’ to ‘unimproved calcareous grassland’, which in the Yorkshire Wolds particularly is represented by the NVC communities CG2, CG3, CG4, CG5, CG7 and MG5 (where it occurs in mosaics with CG communities)
- (b) the recognition of the Yorkshire Wolds as being part of the English downland.

On the basis of the information we have provided previously [4] this area qualifies as ‘down’ because its vegetation is predominantly unimproved calcareous grassland, and it can be described as open country.

3.5 Conclusion

We therefore conclude that the Countryside Agency has correctly mapped the area as open country on the Provisional Map.

References

- [1] British Plant Communities, Vol 3, J.S. Rodwell (Ed.) CUP, 1992.
- [2] Inspectors’ Handbook, (a) Annexe 5.4.2, (b) Annexe 5.4.3, (c) Annexe 5.4.7.3.
- [3] A Survey of Chalk Grassland in Humberside and North Yorkshire, England Field Unit, Project 30, M J Wiggington (Coordinator), 1985.
- [4] Ramblers’ Association’s Comments on the Draft Map, Ref.8, area B, Brubberdale, October 2003.
- [5] Mapping Methodology for England, Countryside Agency, (revised) July 2002.