

The finite basis problem for unary matrix semigroups

Igor Dolinka

dockie@dmi.uns.ac.rs

Department of Mathematics and Informatics, University of Novi Sad

York, February 12, 2014

Dedicated to $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Siniša Crvenković} \\ \text{Mark V. Sapir} \end{array} \right\}$, *on the occasion of their* $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} 65th \\ 57th \end{array} \right\}$ *birthday*



Glossary of terms

The **equational theory** $Eq(A)$ of an algebra A

= the set of all identities (over some fixed countably infinite set X of variables, or letters) satisfied by A .

Let Σ be a set of identities. An identity $p \approx q$ is a **consequence** of Σ , written $\Sigma \models p \approx q$,

= every algebra that satisfies all identities from Σ also satisfies $p \approx q$.

If $\Sigma \subseteq Eq(A)$ is such that every identity from $Eq(A)$ is a consequence of Σ , then Σ is called an **(equational) basis** of A .

A fundamental property that an algebra A may or may not have is that of having a **finite** basis. If there is a finite basis for identities of A , then A is said to be **finitely based (FB)**. Otherwise, it is **nonfinitely based (NFB)**.

Some classical positive results

Each of the following algebras is FB:

- ▶ finite groups (Oates & Powell, 1964)
- ▶ commutative semigroups (Perkins, 1968)
- ▶ finite lattices and lattice-based algebras (McKenzie, 1970)
- ▶ finite (associative) rings (L'vov; Kruse, 1973)
- ▶ algebras generating congruence distributive varieties with a finite residual bound (Baker, 1977)
- ▶ algebras generating congruence modular varieties with a finite residual bound (McKenzie, 1987)
- ▶ algebras generating congruence \wedge -semidistributive varieties with a finite residual bound (Willard, 2000)

Negative results

Examples of finite NFB algebras:



	0	1	2
0	0	0	0
1	0	0	1
2	0	2	2

(Murskii, 1965)

- ▶ a certain 6-element semigroup of matrices (Perkins, 1968)
- ▶ a certain finite *pointed* group (Bryant, 1982)
- ▶ the full transformation semigroup \mathcal{T}_n for $n \geq 3$ and the full semigroup of binary relations \mathcal{R}_n for $n \geq 2$
- ▶ a certain 7-element semiring of binary relations (ID, 2007)

Tarski's Finite Basis Problem: Is there any algorithmic way to distinguish between finite FB and NFB algebras?

McKenzie's solution of the Tarski problem

No!

Theorem (McKenzie, 1996)

There is no algorithm to decide whether a finite algebra is FB.

This is exactly why it is so interesting to study the (N)FB property, especially for **finite** algebras.

The Tarski-Sapir problem: Is there an algorithm to decide whether a finite **semigroup** is FB? This problem is still open.

M. V. Volkov: *The finite basis problem for finite semigroups*,
Sci. Math. Jpn. **53** (2001), 171–199.

http://csseminar.kadm.usu.ru/MATHJAP_revisited.pdf

Volkov's NFB criterion (1989)

Let A_2 be the 5-element semigroup given by the presentation

$$\langle a, b : a^2 = a = aba, b^2 = 0, bab = b \rangle.$$

This is just the Rees matrix semigroup over a trivial group $E = \{e\}$ with the sandwich matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} e & e \\ 0 & e \end{pmatrix}$$

Fact

Of all varieties generated by Rees matrix semigroups with trivial subgroups, A_2 generates the largest one.

Fact

A_2 is representable by matrices (over any field).

Volkov's NFB criterion (1989)

Theorem (M. V. Volkov, 1989)

Let S be a semigroup and T a subsemigroup of S . Assume that there exist a positive integer d and a group G satisfying $x^d \approx e$ such that

- ▶ $a^d \in T$ for all $a \in S$, and
- ▶ $G \in \text{var } S$, but $G \notin \text{var } T$.

If $A_2 \in \text{var } S$, then S is NFB.

Corollary

The following semigroups are NFB:

- ▶ the full transformation semigroup T_n ($n \geq 3$)
- ▶ the full semigroup of binary relations \mathcal{B}_n ($n \geq 2$)
- ▶ the semigroup of partial transformations \mathcal{PT}_n ($n \geq 2$)
- ▶ matrix semigroups $\mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{F})$ for any $n \geq 2$ and any **finite** field \mathbb{F}

Unary semigroups

Unary semigroup

- = a structure $(S, \cdot, *)$ such that (S, \cdot) is a semigroup and $*$ is a unary operation on S

Involution semigroup

- = a unary semigroup satisfying $(xy)^* \approx y^*x^*$ and $(x^*)^* \approx x$

Examples

- ▶ groups
- ▶ inverse semigroups
- ▶ regular $*$ -semigroups ($xx^*x \approx x$)
- ▶ matrix semigroups with transposition $\mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{F}) = (\text{M}_n(\mathbb{F}), \cdot, {}^T)$

'Unary version' of Volkov's Theorem

For a unary semigroup S , let $H(S)$ denote the **Hermitian subsemigroup** of S , generated by aa^* for all $a \in S$.

For a variety \mathbf{V} of unary semigroups, let $H(\mathbf{V})$ be the subvariety of \mathbf{V} generated by all $H(S)$, $S \in \mathbf{V}$.

Furthermore, let K_3 be the 10-element unary Rees matrix semigroup over a trivial group $E = \{e\}$ with the sandwich matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} e & e & e \\ e & e & 0 \\ e & 0 & e \end{pmatrix},$$

while $(i, e, j)^* = (j, e, i)$ and $0^* = 0$.

Fact

K_3 generates the variety of all **strict combinatorial regular * -semigroups** (studied by K. Auinger in 1992).

'Unary version' of Volkov's Theorem

Theorem (K. Auinger, M. V. Volkov, cca. 1991/92)

Let S be a unary semigroup such that $\mathbf{V} = \text{var } S$ contains K_3 . If there exist a group G which belongs to \mathbf{V} but not to $\mathbf{H}(\mathbf{V})$, then S is NFB.

Corollary

The following unary semigroups are NFB:

- ▶ the full involution semigroup of binary relations \mathcal{R}_n^\vee ($n \geq 2$), endowed with relational converse
- ▶ matrix semigroups with transposition $\mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{F})$, where \mathbb{F} is a finite field, $|\mathbb{F}| \geq 3$
- ▶ matrix semigroups $(\mathcal{M}_2(\mathbb{F}), \cdot, \dagger)$, where \mathbb{F} is either a finite field such that $|\mathbb{F}| \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$, or a subfield of \mathbb{C} closed under complex conjugation, and \dagger is the unary operation of taking the Moore-Penrose inverse.

Further applications (Auinger, ID, Volkov, 2012)

Aside the few ‘sporadic’ cases, the following involution semigroups are NFB:

- ▶ the *partition semigroup* \mathfrak{C}_n ,
- ▶ the *Brauer semigroup* \mathfrak{B}_n ,
- ▶ the *partial* Brauer semigroup $P\mathfrak{B}_n$,
- ▶ the *annular semigroup* \mathfrak{A}_n ,
- ▶ the *partial* annular semigroup $P\mathfrak{A}_n$,
- ▶ the *Jones semigroup* \mathfrak{J}_n ,
- ▶ the *partial* Jones semigroup $P\mathfrak{J}_n$.

All these semigroups play significant roles in representation theory.

However...

The Auinger-Volkov paper remained unpublished for 20 years (!), because the following question remained unsettled.

Problem

Exactly which of the involution semigroups $\mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{F})$ are NFB, $n \geq 2$, \mathbb{F} is a finite field?

Also, the following open problem was both intriguing and inviting.

Problem

*Do finite **INFB** involution semigroups exist at all?*

INFB... (?)

An algebra A is **inherently nonfinitely based (INFB)** if:

- ▶ A generates a locally finite variety, and
- ▶ any locally finite variety \mathbf{V} containing A is NFB.

Said otherwise, for any **finite** set of identities Σ satisfied by A , the variety defined by Σ is **not** locally finite.

Therefore, problems concerning INFB algebras are in fact **Burnside**-type problems.

INFB algebras are a **powerful tool** for proving the NFB property; namely, the INFB property is “contagious”:

if $\text{var } A$ is locally finite and contains an INFB algebra B ,
then A is NFB.

In particular, B is NFB.

Finite INFB semigroups: a success story

M. V. Sapir, 1987: a full description of (finite) INFB semigroups.

Zimin words: $Z_1 = x_1$ and $Z_{n+1} = Z_n x_{n+1} Z_n$ for $n \geq 1$.

Theorem (Sapir, 1987)

Let S be a finite semigroup. Then

$$S \text{ is INFB} \iff S \not\models Z_n \approx W$$

for all $n \geq 1$ and all words $W \neq Z_n$.

Sapir also found an **effective** structural description of finite INFB semigroups, thus proving

Theorem (Sapir, 1987)

It is decidable whether a finite semigroup is INFB or not.

Examples of finite INFB semigroups

The example: the 6-element Brandt inverse monoid

$$B_2^1 = \langle a, b : a^2 = b^2 = 0, aba = a, bab = b \rangle \cup \{1\}.$$

B_2^1 is representable by matrices (over any field):

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

B_2^1 is obtained by adjoining an identity element to the Rees matrix semigroup over the trivial group $E = \{e\}$ with the sandwich matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} e & 0 \\ 0 & e \end{pmatrix}$$

Examples of finite INFB semigroups

Proposition

B_2^1 fails to satisfy a nontrivial identity of the form $Z_n \approx W$. Hence, it is INFB.

Corollary

For any $n \geq 2$ and any (semi)ring R , the matrix semigroup $\mathcal{M}_n(R)$ is (I)NFB.

Since $B_2^1 \in \text{var } A_2^1$, where A_2 is the 5-element semigroup from Volkov's theorem, we have that A_2^1 is (I)NFB as well.

The same argument applies to \mathcal{T}_n ($n \geq 3$), \mathcal{R}_n ($n \geq 2$), \mathcal{PT}_n ($n \geq 2$),...

What a difference an involution makes? Well...

How on Earth is the case of unary semigroups different?

For example, an involution $*$ can be defined on B_2^1 by $a^* = b$, $b^* = a$, the remaining 4 elements (which are idempotents: $0, 1, ab, ba$) being fixed. This turns B_2^1 into an inverse semigroup.

Surprise...!!!

Theorem (Sapir, 1993)

B_2^1 is not INFB as an inverse semigroup. In fact, there is no finite INFB inverse semigroup at all!

Still, the inverse semigroup B_2^1 is NFB (Kleiman, 1979).

So, once again:

Problem

Do finite INFB involution semigroups exist at all?

An INFB criterion for involution semigroups

Yes!

Theorem (ID, 2010)

Let S be an involution semigroup such that $\text{var } S$ is locally finite. If S fails to satisfy any nontrivial identity of the form

$$Z_n \approx W,$$

where W is an involutorial word (a word over the ‘doubled’ alphabet $X \cup X^$), then S is INFB.*

How about a (finite) example?

‘C’mon baby, let’s do the twist...!’

Rescue: Luckily, B_2^1 admits one more involution aside from the inverse one: define the nilpotents a, b (and, of course, $0, 1$) to be fixed by $*$, which results in $(ab)^* = ba$ and $(ba)^* = ab$.

In this way we obtain the **twisted Brandt monoid** TB_2^1 .

Proposition

TB_2^1 fails to satisfy a nontrivial identity of the form $Z_n \approx W$.
Hence, it is INFB.

Similarly to B_2^1 , this little guy is quite powerful.

Remark

Analogously, one can also define TA_2^1 , the “involutorial version” of A_2^1 , which is also INFB.

Examples of finite INFB involution semigroups

- ▶ \mathcal{R}_n^\vee , the involution semigroup of binary relations, is (I)NFB for all $n \geq 2$,
 - ▶ **Reason:** TB_2^1 embeds into \mathcal{R}_2^\vee .
- ▶ $\mathcal{M}_2(\mathbb{F})$, provided $|\mathbb{F}| \not\equiv 3 \pmod{4}$,
 - ▶ **Reason:** This is precisely the case when -1 has a square root in \mathbb{F} , which is sufficient and necessary for TB_2^1 to embed into $\mathcal{M}_2(\mathbb{F})$.
- ▶ $\mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{F})$ for **all** $n \geq 3$ and **all** finite fields \mathbb{F} .
 - ▶ **Reason:** TB_2^1 embeds into $\mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{F})$ as a consequence of the **Chevalley-Warning theorem** from algebraic number theory (!!!).

So, what about $\mathcal{M}_2(\mathbb{F})$ if $|\mathbb{F}| \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$?
(We already know it is NFB.)

Non-INFB results

Theorem (ID, 2010)

Let S be a finite involution semigroup satisfying a nontrivial identity of the form $Z_n \approx W$ such that $B_2^1 \notin \text{var } S$. Then S is not INFB.

Proof idea: Either W is an ordinary semigroup word, or for any $*$ -fixed idempotent e of S , $\text{var } eSe$ consists of involution semilattices of Archimedean semigroups.

Theorem (ID, 2010)

Let S be a finite semigroup satisfying an identity of the form $Z_n \approx Z_n W$. Then S is not INFB.

Proof idea: Stretching the approach of Margolis & Sapir (1995) developed for finitely generated quasivarieties of semigroups to what seems to be the final limits of that method: certain semigroup quasiidentities can be “encoded” into unary semigroup identities.

Non-INF B results

Corollary

No finite regular $$ -semigroup is INF B.*

*(Namely, $x \approx x(x^*x)$ holds.)*

Corollary (ID, 2010)

For any finite group G , the involution semigroup of subsets

$\mathcal{P}_G^* = (\mathcal{P}(G), \cdot, *)$ *is not INF B.*

(Namely, \mathcal{P}_G^ satisfies $Z_n \approx Z_n x_1^* x_1$ for $n = |G| + 2$.)*

Remark

The ordinary power semigroup $\mathcal{P}_G = (\mathcal{P}(G), \cdot)$ is INF B if and only if G is not Dedekind.

Non-INFB results

Proposition (Crvenković, 1982)

If a finite involution semigroup S admits a Moore-Penrose inverse \dagger , then the inverse is term-definable in S .

In particular, such a semigroup satisfies $x \approx x \cdot w(x, x^*) \cdot x$ for some $w \implies$ it is not INFB.

Proposition

The involution semigroup of 2×2 matrices over a finite field \mathbb{F} with transposition admits a Moore-Penrose inverse if and only if $|\mathbb{F}| \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$.

This completes our classification! 

Solution to the (I)NFB problem for matrix involution semigroups

Theorem (ADV = Auinger, ID, Volkov)

Let $n \geq 2$ and \mathbb{F} be a finite field. Then

- (1) $\mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{F})$ is not finitely based;
- (2) $\mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{F})$ is INFB if and only if either $n \geq 3$, or $n = 2$ and $|\mathbb{F}| \not\equiv 3 \pmod{4}$.

The gap

Unfortunately, we have not yet accomplished a full classification of finite involution semigroups with respect to the INFB property. We don't know what to do with finite involution semigroups (if they exist) such that:

- (a) $B_2^1 \in \text{var } S$,
- (b) S satisfies a nontrivial identity of the form $Z_n \approx W$,
- (c) S , however, fails to satisfy an identity of the form $Z_n \approx Z_n W'$.

This “gap” does not occur for ordinary semigroups, as (b) renders (a) impossible. But this is no longer the case for involution semigroups!

Test-Example

Is $xyxzxyx \approx xyxx^*xzxyx$ implying the non-INFB property?

New developments: ADV + T. V. Pervukhina (August, 2012)

Proposition

Let S be an involution semigroup such that:

- ▶ the *semigroup reduct* of S is INFB,
- ▶ $\text{var } S$ contains the 3-element involution semilattice SL_3^* .

Then S is INFB.

Proposition

Let S be an INFB involution semigroup such that its semigroup reduct is regular. Then $SL_3^* \in \text{var } S$.

Remark

So, the answer to the *Test-Example* is YES when restricted to regular semigroups.

New developments: ADV + T. V. Pervukhina (August, 2012)

Corollary

*The involution semigroup $(T_n(\mathbb{F}), \cdot, *)$ of $n \times n$ upper triangular matrices over a finite field \mathbb{F} with the skew transpose involution $*$ is INFB if and only if $|\mathbb{F}| \geq 3$ and $n \geq 4$.*

Conjecture (K. Auinger)

A finite involution semigroup S is INFB if and only if it is INFB as a plain semigroup and $SL_3^* \in \text{var } S$.

THANK YOU!

Questions and comments to:

dockie@dmi.uns.ac.rs

Further information may be found at:

<http://people.dmi.uns.ac.rs/~dockie>