

Cosetal extensions of monoids

Peter Faul

`peter@faul.io`

University of Cambridge

York 2021

Part 1: Group Extension Theory

Split extensions of groups

Let $N \xrightarrow{k} G \xleftarrow[s]{e} H$ be a split extension of groups.

There is a bijection $f: N \times H \rightarrow G$ given by $f(n, h) = k(n)s(h)$.

We may equip $N \times H$ with a group multiplication making f as iso.

Let $k\varphi(h, n) = s(h)k(n)s(h)^{-1}$ and notice that
 $k\varphi(h, n)s(h) = s(h)k(n)$.

The multiplication is given by $(n_1, h_1)(n_2, h_2) = (n_1\varphi(h_1, n_2), h_1h_2)$.

$$\begin{aligned} f((n_1, h_1)(n_2, h_2)) &= f(n_1\varphi(h_1, n_2), h_1h_2) \\ &= k(n_1)k\varphi(h_1, n_2)s(h_1)s(h_2) \\ &= k(n_1)s(h_1)k(n_1)s(h_2) \\ &= f(n_1, h_1)f(n_2, h_2). \end{aligned}$$

Semidirect products of groups

The map φ is a group action of H on N .

Given any action φ we may construct the semidirect product $N \rtimes_{\varphi} H$ with multiplication as discussed.

Semidirect products naturally give split extensions $N \xrightarrow{k} G \begin{matrix} \xleftarrow{e} \\ \xrightarrow{s} \end{matrix} H$ with $k(n) = (n, 1)$, $e(n, h) = h$ and $s(h) = (1, h)$.

They provide a full characterization of split extensions in this way.

Group extensions with abelian kernel

Suppose we have a group extension $N \xrightarrow{k} G \xrightarrow{e} H$, where N is abelian.

The map e is guaranteed to be a surjection and so we may consider a set theoretic splitting s (that preserves unit).

Again each element g may be written uniquely as $g = k(n)se(g)$.

Now if $e(g_1) = e(g_2)$ then $g_1 = k(n_1)se(g_1)$ and $g_2 = k(n_2)se(g_2) = k(n_2)se(g_1)$.

Thus we have the $k(n_1)k(n_2)^{-1}g_2 = k(n_1)se(g_1) = g_1$.

Hence if $e(g_1) = e(g_2)$ there exists a unique $n \in N$ such that $g_1 = k(n)g_2$.

Factor sets

We would like to carry out the semidirect product construction in this new setting. However, we did use that $s(h_1 h_2) = s(h_1) s(h_2)$.

Notice that $e(s(h_1 h_2)) = e(s(h_1) s(h_2))$ and so there exists a unique element $\chi(h_1, h_2)$ such that $k\chi(h_1, h_2)s(h_1 h_2) = s(h_1) s(h_2)$.

We may again define $\varphi(h, n) = s(h)k(n)s(h)^{-1}$. Surprisingly this is again an action. (The proof makes use of the abelian kernel).

We may then define the crossed product $N \rtimes_{\varphi}^{\chi} H$ with underlying set $N \times H$ and multiplication

$$(n_1, h_1)(n_2, h_2) = (n_1 \varphi(h_1, n_2) \chi(h_1, h_2), h_1 h_2).$$

$$\begin{aligned} f((n_1, h_1)(n_2, h_2)) &= k(n_1)k\varphi(h_1, n_2)k\chi(h_1, h_2)s(h_1 h_2) = \\ &= k(n_1)k\varphi(h_1, n_2)s(h_1)s(h_2) = k(n_1)s(h_1)k(n_2)s(h_2) = \\ &= f(n_1, h_1)f(n_2, h_2). \end{aligned}$$

Second cohomology group

Factor sets $\chi: H \times H \rightarrow N$ may be defined generally relative to an action φ .

1. $\chi(1, h) = 1 = \chi(h, 1)$,
2. $\chi(x, y)\chi(xy, z) = \varphi(x, \chi(y, z))\chi(x, yz)$

The associated crossed product forms an extension

$$N \xrightarrow{k} N \rtimes_{\varphi}^{\chi} H \xrightarrow{e} H \text{ where } k(n) = (n, 1) \text{ and } e(n, h) = h.$$

These do not form a full characterisation as χ depends on the choice of splitting.

They have a natural abelian group structure. Quotienting by the subgroup of inner factor sets yields a full characterization.

This induces a Baer sum on the set of extensions.

Part 1: Monoid Extensions

Schreier split extensions

Given a split extension of monoids $N \xrightarrow{k} G \xrightleftharpoons[s]{e} H$ can we extract an action?

In general no. In the group setting we made use of conjugation.

Schreier split extensions $N \xrightarrow{k} G \xrightleftharpoons[s]{e} H$ satisfy that each g may be written uniquely as $k(n)se(g)$.

Thus there exists a unique element $\varphi(h, n) \in N$ such that $s(h)k(n) = k\varphi(h, n)se(s(h)k(n)) = k\varphi(h, n)s(h)$.

These give an action φ and in the group setting we only ever used that $\varphi(h, n)s(h) = s(h)k(n)$.

The entire argument carries through.

Special Schreier extensions

A monoid extension $N \xrightarrow{k} G \xrightarrow{e} H$ is special Schreier if whenever $e(g_1) = e(g_2)$ there exists a unique n such that $g_1 = k(n)g_2$.

If s is a set theoretic splitting of e , then there exists a $\chi(h_1, h_2)$ such that $s(h_1)s(h_2) = k\chi(h_1, h_2)s(h_1h_2)$.

When N is an abelian group we can extract an action φ of H on N .

The argument now completely carries through.

Okay, but what about other extensions?

λ -semidirect products

Given two inverse semigroups H and N and an action of H on N we may form the λ -semidirect product $N \rtimes_{\varphi} H$.

It has underlying set $\{(n, h) \in N \times H : \varphi(hh^{-1}, n) = n\}$ and multiplication

$$(n_1, h_1)(n_2, h_2) = (\varphi(h_1h_2(h_1h_2)^{-1}, n_1)\varphi(h_1, n_2), h_1h_2)$$

These form a split extension $N \xrightarrow{k} N \rtimes_{\varphi} H \xleftarrow[s]{e} H$ where $k(n) = (n, 1)$, $e(n, h) = h$ and $s(h) = (1, h)^*$

Now suppose $(n, h) \in N \rtimes_{\varphi} H$, we have

$$k(n)s(h) = (n, 1)(1, h) = (\varphi(hh^{-1}, n), h) = (n, h).$$

However any n' satisfying that $\varphi(hh^{-1}, n') = n$ would also give $k(n')s(h) = (n, h)$.

Leech's normal extensions

Leech consider extensions $N \xrightarrow{k} G \xrightarrow{e} H$ in which $gN = Ng$ for all g and where H is the monoid of cosets.

These are not in general special Schreier. Consider

$\mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{k} \mathbb{Z} \cup \{\infty\} \xrightarrow{e} 2$ where $k(n) = n$, $e(n) = \top$ and $e(\infty) = \perp$.

Since everything is commutative it is clearly Leech normal.

But $e(\infty) = e(\infty)$ and yet $k(n) + \infty = \infty = k(n') + \infty$.

Again we have a failure of uniqueness.

Weakly Schreier split extensions

Let $N \xrightarrow{k} G \xrightleftharpoons[s]{e} H$ be a split extension of monoids. When we require that for each g there exists a (not necessarily unique) n such that $g = k(n) \cdot se(g)$ we call the extension **weakly Schreier**.

Weakly Schreier extensions may be characterized by a generalization of a semidirect product.

The map $t: N \times H \rightarrow G$ is now only a surjection.

We may thus quotient $N \times H$ by the equivalence relation $(n, h) \sim (n', h') \iff k(n)s(h) = k(n')s(h')$.

This induces a bijection $\bar{t}: N \times H / \sim \rightarrow G$ and the quotient then inherits a multiplication from G .

We call the combination of this equivalence relation and data specifying the multiplication a **relaxed action**.

Admissible equivalence relations

The equivalence relation E satisfies the following properties.

0. $(n_1, h_1) \sim (n_2, h_2)$ implies $h_1 = h_2$,
1. $(n_1, 1) \sim (n_2, 1)$ implies $n_1 = n_2$,
2. $(n_1, h) \sim (n_2, h)$ implies $(nn_1, h) \sim (nn_2, h)$,
3. $(n_1, h) \sim (n_2, h)$ implies $(n_1, hh') \sim (n_2, hh')$.

By condition 0 we may view E as an H -indexed equivalence relation.

If H has the divisibility order then the the map from H into equivalence relations

1. Preserves bottom,
2. Selects right congruences,
3. Preserves order.

Any such equivalence relation we call an H -relaxtion of N .

Compatible actions

We know that there exist $\varphi(h, n) \in N$ such that $k\varphi(h, n)s(h) = s(h)k(n)$.

The function φ may be characterised as follows.

Let $\varphi: H \times N \rightarrow N$ be a function.

1. $\varphi(h, nn') \sim^h \varphi(h, n) \cdot \varphi(h, n')$,
2. $\varphi(hh', n) \sim^{hh'} \varphi(h, \varphi(h', n))$,
3. $\varphi(h, 1) \sim^h 1$,
4. $\varphi(1, n) \sim^1 n$,
5. $n_1 \sim^h n_2$ implies $n_1\varphi(h, n) \sim^h n_2\varphi(h, n)$,
6. $n \sim^{h'} n'$ implies $\varphi(h, n) \sim^{hh'} \varphi(h, n')$,

Some of these actions give the same multiplication so we quotient them by $\varphi_1 \sim \varphi_2 \iff \varphi_1(h, n) \sim^h \varphi_2(h, n)$ for all $h \in H$ and $n \in N$.

Relaxed actions

We call an H -relaxation E and a compatible action φ , a relaxed action action $(E, [\varphi])$.

The idea is that in the group setting we were able to verify a number of identities involving the action by right multiplying equations by $s(h)$ and then cancelling later.

We cannot do this for monoids and so the H -relaxation remembers the $s(h)$.

This is sufficient to generalise all of the previous cases.

Characterizing weakly Schreier extensions

Let $(E, [\varphi])$ be a relaxed action of H on N .

Theorem

The set $N \times H/E$ equipped with multiplication

$$[n_1, h_1] \cdot [n_2, h_2] = [n_1\varphi(h_1, n_2), h_1h_2],$$

is a monoid.

Theorem

The diagram

$$N \xrightarrow{k} N \times H/E \xleftarrow[s]{e} H$$

where $k(n) = [n, 1]$, $e([n, h]) = h$ and $s(h) = [1, h]$, is a weakly Schreier extension.

Cosetal extensions

We can construct a theory associated to relaxed actions in the obvious way.

A (right) cosetal extension $N \xrightarrow{k} G \xrightarrow{e} H$ is an extension in which if $e(g) = e(g')$ then there exists an n such that $k(n)g = g'$.

These are precisely the monoid extensions in which H is the monoid of right cosets of N .

These generalise special Schreier extensions, Leech's extensions of groups by monoids, Fulp and Steppe's central monoid extensions.

Each cosetal extension has an associated relaxed action.

Extracting the equivalence relation

Let $N \xrightarrow{k} G \xrightarrow{e} H$ be a cosetal extension and s a set theoretic splitting of e .

We may define an H -indexed equivalence relation where $n \sim^h n' \iff k(n)s(h) = k(n')s(h)$. This is an H -relaxation of N .

If s' is another splitting then $e(s(h)) = e(s'(h))$ and so there exists an a such that $k(a)s(h) = s'(h)$.

Now if $k(n)s(h) = k(n')s(h)$ then consider the following calculation.

$$\begin{aligned}k(n)s'(h) &= k(n)k(a)s(h) \\ &= k(a)k(n)s(h) \\ &= k(a)k(n')s(h) \\ &= k(n')s'(h).\end{aligned}$$

Extracting the action

For the action note that $e(s(h)) = h = e(s(h)k(n))$ thus there exist $\varphi(h, n)$ such that $k\varphi(h, n)s(h) = s(h)k(n)$.

For any choice of these $\varphi(h, n)$ they form a compatible action relative to the equivalence relation discussed.

To see that $\varphi(h, nn') \sim^h \varphi(h, n)\varphi(h, n')$ observe

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi(h, nn')s(h) &= s(h)k(nn') \\ &= s(h)k(n)k(n') \\ &= \varphi(h, n)s(h)k(n') \\ &= \varphi(h, n)\varphi(h, n')s(h).\end{aligned}$$

All choices of $\varphi(h, n)$ give compatible actions which are equivalent.

Relaxed factor sets

Cosetal extensions may then be characterised by a relaxed action and a class of **relaxed factor sets**.

These are function $g: H \times H \rightarrow N$ satisfying that

$$g(x, y)g(xy, z) \sim^{xyz} \varphi(x, g(y, z))g(x, yz)$$

The set of these relaxed factor sets form a group.

Quotienting by an appropriate notion of **relaxed inner factor set** gives the second cohomology group $\mathcal{H}_2(N, H, E, \varphi)$.

Its elements correspond to cosetal extensions with associated relaxed action (E, φ) .