

# Purity for $S$ -acts

**Victoria Gould**  
**University of York**  
York, 30th October 2013

# What is this talk about? An old question for $S$ -acts

## What are $S$ -acts?

Throughout,  $S$  is a **monoid**.

A (**right**)  $S$ -act is a set  $A$  together with a map

$$A \times S \rightarrow A, (a, s) \mapsto as$$

such that for all  $a \in A, s, t \in S$

$$a1 = a \text{ and } (as)t = a(st).$$

**Remark** (i) For any  $s \in S$ , we have an operation  $\rho_s : A \rightarrow A$  given by  $a\rho_s = as$ . The function  $\rho : S \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_A$  given by

$$s\rho = \rho_s$$

is a monoid morphism.

(ii) Conversely, if  $\theta : S \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_A$  is a morphism, define

$$as = a(s\theta),$$

then check that  $A$  is then an  $S$ -act.

# What is this talk about? An old question for $S$ -acts

## What are $S$ -acts?

Throughout,  $S$  is a **monoid**.

A **(right)  $S$ -act** is a set  $A$  together with a map

$$A \times S \rightarrow A, (a, s) \mapsto as$$

such that for all  $a \in A, s, t \in S$

$$a1 = a \text{ and } (as)t = a(st).$$

**Remark** (i) For any  $s \in S$ , we have an operation  $\rho_s : A \rightarrow A$  given by  $a\rho_s = as$ . The function  $\rho : S \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_A$  given by

$$s\rho = \rho_s$$

is a monoid morphism.

(ii) Conversely, if  $\theta : S \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_A$  is a morphism, define

$$as = a(s\theta),$$

then check that  $A$  is then an  $S$ -act.

# What is this talk about? An old question for $S$ -acts

## What are $S$ -acts?

Throughout,  $S$  is a **monoid**.

A **(right)  $S$ -act** is a set  $A$  together with a map

$$A \times S \rightarrow A, (a, s) \mapsto as$$

such that for all  $a \in A, s, t \in S$

$$a1 = a \text{ and } (as)t = a(st).$$

**Remark** (i) For any  $s \in S$ , we have an operation  $\rho_s : A \rightarrow A$  given by  $a\rho_s = as$ . The function  $\rho : S \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_A$  given by

$$s\rho = \rho_s$$

is a monoid morphism.

(ii) Conversely, if  $\theta : S \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_A$  is a morphism, define

$$as = a(s\theta),$$

then check that  $A$  is then an  $S$ -act.

# Examples of $S$ -acts

- 1  $S$  is an  $S$ -act
- 2 Any right ideal of  $S$  is an  $S$ -act.
- 3 Let  $\rho$  be a right congruence on  $S$ . Let

$$S/\rho = \{[a] : a \in S\}$$

and define  $[a]s = [as]$ . Then  $S/\rho$  is an  $S$ -act. For any  $[a] \in S/\rho$  we have

$$[a] = [1]a.$$

# Elementary observations for $S$ -acts

- An  $S$ -**morphism** from  $A$  to  $B$  is a map  $\alpha : A \rightarrow B$  with  $(as)\alpha = (a\alpha)s$  for all  $a \in A, s \in S$ .
- $S$ -acts and  $S$ -morphisms form a category - products are products, coproducts are disjoint unions
- We have usual definitions of **free**, **projective**, **injective**, etc. including variations on **flat**.
- Free  $S$ -acts are disjoint unions of copies of  $S$ .

Let  $X$  be a set. By general nonsense, the free  $S$ -act  $\mathcal{F}_S(X)$  on  $X$  exists.

**Construction of  $\mathcal{F}_S(X)$ .** Let

$$F_S(X) = X \times S$$

and define

$$(x, s)t = (x, st).$$

Then it is easy to check that  $F_S(X)$  is an  $S$ -act. With  $x \mapsto (x, 1)$ , we have  $F_S(X)$  is free on  $X$ .

Notice that

$$(x, s) = (x, 1)s \equiv xs.$$

Let  $X$  be a set. By general nonsense, the free  $S$ -act  $\mathcal{F}_S(X)$  on  $X$  exists.

**Construction of  $\mathcal{F}_S(X)$ .** Let

$$F_S(X) = X \times S$$

and define

$$(x, s)t = (x, st).$$

Then it is easy to check that  $F_S(X)$  is an  $S$ -act. With  $x \mapsto (x, 1)$ , we have  $F_S(X)$  is free on  $X$ .

Notice that

$$(x, s) = (x, 1)s \equiv xs.$$



Let  $X$  be a set. By general nonsense, the free  $S$ -act  $\mathcal{F}_S(X)$  on  $X$  exists.

**Construction of  $\mathcal{F}_S(X)$ .** Let

$$F_S(X) = X \times S$$

and define

$$(x, s)t = (x, st).$$

Then it is easy to check that  $F_S(X)$  is an  $S$ -act. With  $x \mapsto (x, 1)$ , we have  $F_S(X)$  is free on  $X$ .

Notice that

$$(x, s) = (x, 1)s \equiv xs.$$

# Congruences for $S$ -acts

- A **congruence**  $\rho$  on  $A$  is an equivalence relation such that

$$a \rho b \Rightarrow as \rho bs$$

for all  $a, b \in A$  and  $s \in S$ .

- $\rho$  is **finitely generated** if  $\rho$  is the smallest congruence containing a finite set  $H \subseteq A \times A$ .
- If  $\rho$  is a congruence on  $A$  then  $A/\rho$  is an  $S$ -act; all monogenic  $S$ -acts are of the form  $S/\rho$ .
- For  $a \in A$  write  $aS$  for  $\{as : s \in S\}$ ; then  $aS$  is an  $S$ -subact of  $A$ .
- If  $X = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ , then

$$F_S(n) = F_S(X) = (x_1, 1)S \cup \dots \cup (x_n, 1)S$$

and we often write

$$F_S(n) = x_1S \cup \dots \cup x_nS.$$

# Finite generation and finite presentation

$A$  is **finitely generated** if

$$A = a_1S \cup \dots \cup a_nS$$

for some  $a_i \in A$ . and **finitely presented** if

$$A \cong F_S(n)/\rho$$

for some finitely generated free  $F_S(n)$  and finitely generated congruence  $\rho$ .

# Algebraically closed $S$ -acts

Let  $A$  be an  $S$ -act. An **equation** over  $A$  has the form

$$xs = xt, xs = yt \text{ or } xs = a$$

where  $x, y$  are variables,  $s, t \in S$  and  $a \in A$ .

A set of equations and inequations is **consistent** if it has a solution in some  $S$ -act  $B \supseteq A$ .

**Definition**  $A$  is **algebraically closed** or **absolutely pure** if every finite consistent set of equations over  $A$  has a solution in  $A$ .

**Definition**  $A$  is **almost pure** if every finite consistent set of equations *in one variable* over  $A$  has a solution in  $A$ .

# Algebraically closed $S$ -acts

Let  $A$  be an  $S$ -act. An **equation** over  $A$  has the form

$$xs = xt, xs = yt \text{ or } xs = a$$

where  $x, y$  are variables,  $s, t \in S$  and  $a \in A$ .

A set of equations and inequations is **consistent** if it has a solution in some  $S$ -act  $B \supseteq A$ .

**Definition**  $A$  is **algebraically closed** or **absolutely pure** if every finite consistent set of equations over  $A$  has a solution in  $A$ .

**Definition**  $A$  is **almost pure** if every finite consistent set of equations *in one variable* over  $A$  has a solution in  $A$ .

# Algebraically closed $S$ -acts

Let  $A$  be an  $S$ -act. An **equation** over  $A$  has the form

$$xs = xt, xs = yt \text{ or } xs = a$$

where  $x, y$  are variables,  $s, t \in S$  and  $a \in A$ .

A set of equations and inequations is **consistent** if it has a solution in some  $S$ -act  $B \supseteq A$ .

**Definition**  $A$  is **algebraically closed** or **absolutely pure** if every finite consistent set of equations over  $A$  has a solution in  $A$ .

**Definition**  $A$  is **almost pure** if every finite consistent set of equations *in one variable* over  $A$  has a solution in  $A$ .

# Algebraically closed $S$ -acts

Let  $A$  be an  $S$ -act. An **equation** over  $A$  has the form

$$xs = xt, xs = yt \text{ or } xs = a$$

where  $x, y$  are variables,  $s, t \in S$  and  $a \in A$ .

A set of equations and inequations is **consistent** if it has a solution in some  $S$ -act  $B \supseteq A$ .

**Definition**  $A$  is **algebraically closed** or **absolutely pure** if every finite consistent set of equations over  $A$  has a solution in  $A$ .

**Definition**  $A$  is **almost pure** if every finite consistent set of equations *in one variable* over  $A$  has a solution in  $A$ .

# Algebraic closure and injectivity

An  $S$ -act  $T$  is **injective** if for any  $S$ -acts  $A, B$  and  $S$ -morphisms

$$\phi : A \rightarrow B, \psi : A \rightarrow T$$

with  $\phi$  one-one, there exists an  $S$ -morphism  $\theta : B \rightarrow T$  such that

$$\phi\theta = \psi.$$

**Proposition** (G, 19■■■) An  $S$ -act  $T$  is injective if and only if every consistent system of equations over  $T$  has a solution in  $T$ .

Restrictions on the  $A, B$  give restricted notions of injectivity and these are related to solutions of special consistent systems of equations.



# The Baer criterion and injectivity

**Theorem** The following are equivalent for an  $S$ -act  $A$ :

- 1 every finite consistent system of equations over  $A$  of the form

$$xS_1 = a_1, \dots, xS_n = a_n$$

has a solution in  $A$ ;

- 2 for any finitely generated right ideal  $I$  of  $S$  and  $S$ -morphism  $\psi : I \rightarrow A$ , there exists an  $S$ -morphism  $\theta : S \rightarrow A$  such that

$$\iota\theta = \psi;$$

- 3 for any finitely generated right ideal  $I$  of  $S$  and  $S$ -morphism  $\psi : I \rightarrow A$ , there exists an  $a \in A$  such that  $s\psi = as$  for all  $s \in I$ .

(The last criterion is the **Baer criterion**).

# The Baer criterion and injectivity

**Theorem** The following are equivalent for an  $S$ -act  $A$ :

- 1 every finite consistent system of equations over  $A$  of the form

$$xS_1 = a_1, \dots, xS_n = a_n$$

has a solution in  $A$ ;

- 2 for any finitely generated right ideal  $I$  of  $S$  and  $S$ -morphism  $\psi : I \rightarrow A$ , there exists an  $S$ -morphism  $\theta : S \rightarrow A$  such that

$$\iota\theta = \psi;$$

- 3 for any finitely generated right ideal  $I$  of  $S$  and  $S$ -morphism  $\psi : I \rightarrow A$ , there exists an  $a \in A$  such that  $s\psi = as$  for all  $s \in I$ .

(The last criterion is the **Baer criterion**).

# The Baer criterion and injectivity

**Theorem** The following are equivalent for an  $S$ -act  $A$ :

- 1 every finite consistent system of equations over  $A$  of the form

$$xS_1 = a_1, \dots, xS_n = a_n$$

has a solution in  $A$ ;

- 2 for any finitely generated right ideal  $I$  of  $S$  and  $S$ -morphism  $\psi : I \rightarrow A$ , there exists an  $S$ -morphism  $\theta : S \rightarrow A$  such that

$$\iota\theta = \psi;$$

- 3 for any finitely generated right ideal  $I$  of  $S$  and  $S$ -morphism  $\psi : I \rightarrow A$ , there exists an  $a \in A$  such that  $s\psi = as$  for all  $s \in I$ .

(The last criterion is the **Baer criterion**).

# The Baer criterion and injectivity

**Theorem** The following are equivalent for an  $S$ -act  $A$ :

- 1 every finite consistent system of equations over  $A$  of the form

$$xS_1 = a_1, \dots, xS_n = a_n$$

has a solution in  $A$ ;

- 2 for any finitely generated right ideal  $I$  of  $S$  and  $S$ -morphism  $\psi : I \rightarrow A$ , there exists an  $S$ -morphism  $\theta : S \rightarrow A$  such that

$$\iota\theta = \psi;$$

- 3 for any finitely generated right ideal  $I$  of  $S$  and  $S$ -morphism  $\psi : I \rightarrow A$ , there exists an  $a \in A$  such that  $s\psi = as$  for all  $s \in I$ .

(The last criterion is the **Baer criterion**).

# Algebraic closure and injectivity

Recall an  $S$ -act  $C$  is **absolutely pure** if every finite consistent system of equations over  $C$ , has a solution in  $C$ .

**Proposition** An  $S$ -act  $C$  is algebraically closed if and only if for any  $S$ -acts  $A, B$  and  $S$ -morphisms

$$\phi : A \rightarrow B, \psi : A \rightarrow C$$

with  $\phi$  one-one,  $B$  finitely presented and  $A$  finitely generated, there exists an  $S$ -morphism  $\theta : B \rightarrow C$  such that

$$\phi\theta = \psi.$$

# Absolute purity vs almost purity

**Definition** A monoid  $S$  is **completely right pure** if all  $S$ -acts are absolutely pure.

**Theorem (G)** Suppose that all  $S$ -acts are almost pure. Then  $S$  is completely right pure.

# My question

Does there exist an almost pure  $S$ -act that is not absolutely pure?????

# Completely (right) injective monoids

**Definition** A monoid  $S$  is **completely right injective** if all  $S$ -acts are injective.

**Theorem** (Fountain, 1974) A monoid  $S$  is completely right injective if and only if  $S$  has a left zero and  $S$  satisfies

(\*) for any right ideal  $I$  of  $S$  and right congruence  $\rho$  on  $S$ , there is an  $s \in I$  such that for all  $u, v \in S, w \in I$ ,  $sw \rho w$  and if  $u \rho v$  then  $su \rho sv$ .

**Theorem** (Gould, 1991) A monoid  $S$  is completely right pure if and only if  $S$  has a local zeros and  $S$  satisfies

(\*\*) for any finitely generated right ideal  $I$  of  $S$  and finitely generated right congruence  $\rho$  on  $S$ , there is an  $s \in I$  such that for all  $u, v \in S, w \in I$ ,  $sw \rho w$  and if  $u \rho v$  then  $su \rho sv$ .